

Harlan Smith, continuing.

Harlan said their motives, including for liens, were to "get answers". "Everybody in the group was angry about Charlene's imprisonment."

"We caused problems for public officials!"

"I broke the law of the state of Colorado".

"The public officials should have responded to our letters," Larry cross-examined Harlan.

Larry habitually states "incomplete sentences", & then waits for the recipient to complete his sentence.

Harlan said: Charlene violated her court-order.

Larry raised child-tortricing issue. Shapiro objected to Larry's asking Harlan about "Foreclosure Fraud".

Speer said "Foreclosure Fraud" was not relevant to this case. Larry tried to introduce case-law

about "treason" to Harlan, but Shapiro objected, &

Speer affirmed the objection. Harlan affirmed

"Citizens Arrest". Shapiro motioned to take "Judicial Notice" of that Colorado statute; & Larry affirmed; &

Speer read the statute into the record & for the jury.

Larry read "Chisolm v. Georgia" case-law.

Harlan said his house Foreclosure case was treated in court in manners "un-fair".

"Judge Ignored" the issues Harlan raised.

Speer declared these issues "off limits".

Harlan Smith, testimony, continuing.

Harlan could not confirm Larry's concern about "United States Corporation";

Shapiro focused on "Citizens Address"; + that such had to be committed in 'presence' of citizen

Harlan said

"Liens were NOT Legal"

said Docket was NOT Lawful Judge,

said Marshals were NOT Lawful,

Spearroutinely insisted on addressing all issues of Law from out-side of presence of jury.

Afternoon Session: Larry asked for time

to prepare for case, ^{+ opening statement} + Spear said Larry

already had 2-years, + said No.

Larry's "Opening Statement".

Denied! Fully informed jury, Shapiro Objected,

Spear "Law is For Me to decide"

Larry: Lack of Oath + Bond, ^{U.S. Supreme Court case,}

Non-compliance with Law, Refusals of officials to comply,

officials have to be held Accountable, ^{They Refuse} to respond.

Larry did NOT use his full 15-minutes for opening statement.

2019 - January - 16, Wednesday,

Page - 23.

Larry's testimony, in "Narrative fashion".

Larry cited Colorado case of Paul Adams, Mandamus case.

Adams worked with Larry for many years on the

"Oath of Office" issue. Shapiro objected for "Hearsay".

Spear affirmed Shapiro's objection. Larry asked if he

could just "summarize", + Spear said "No". Larry criticized

Burns for throwing Hearsay-Corpus. Larry switched focus to

"Defendant has been surrendered to this case". Larry

reported from previously. Spear refused to recognize that.

Larry switched to discussing "CasiP Numbers", + alleged

that they evidenced "Human Trafficking". ~~Spear~~ Long silence.

Spear finally asked "Anything Else?", Larry switched to

alleging "Problems with the Judicial System" in Rio-Grand County.

Larry switched nicely to discussing that "ALL of the

Officials had plenty of opportunity to Respond".

If they had responded by saying "Let's work this out",

that likely would have solved the whole problem.

Larry explained why high \$ value on liens was justified.

Larry said this court does not have jurisdiction to

try the sorts of "Commercial Liens" that have been used

in this case. Larry submitted a historical document which

describes the issues related to "Oath of Office" in Colorado.

Spear refused to allow the document.

Larry's testimony, continued

Larry raised "Dunn + Broadstreet Numbers",

but Shapiro objected as "hearsay", because it was from the Internet.

Larry tried to get Hartford's 11 US Code cites

In the record, Spear denied that Request.

"We do not have to engage the jury in a Law-School Education Program. We do not have the time."

Larry concluded his personal testimony.

Shapiro Cross-Examined Larry. Shapiro focused on

whether or not Larry was born in the U.S.A.

Shapiro asked Larry if Larry expected to use, if needed, Sheriff's of Fire Protection Services.

Larry consistently shifts subjects when Shapiro asks him simple "Yes or No Questions".

Dana said, "Liens are not to be used by the Group". Shapiro asked Larry this, "...as a tool to get back at public officials".

Shapiro asked if Larry recalled Sheriff Mack said

"Common-Law grand-juries" are "kooky".

Larry said he is "not very comfortable in public".

Larry consistently changes subjects when Shapiro asks simple "Yes or No Questions".

Shapiro focused on Ester + Michael Williams,

Shapiro asked if Ester helped prepare documents.

Case should have begun with
Shapiro's cross-examination of Larry.
Excellent main-points were here drawn
into question.

Shapiro cross-examining Larry:

Larry said "Liens were intended to prompt
communications from public office holders".

Shapiro has to go thru all sorts of gymnastics before
he can get a straight answer from Larry, ^{IT IS} embarrassing.

Brant said: "It is open-season on the son", + Larry
agreed. Shapiro asked if the court complaint to
declare Liens "SPURIOUS" was a ^(legitimate) response to the
notices + complaints of the group. Larry nicely said
that was not a reasonable response.

Larry consistently refuses to answer simple "Yes or No" questions.

Shapiro asked Larry if he said "... haul her ass down there".

Larry responded that all that was in effort to get
communications from her. ~~PAIRS~~ IRS case was "Fraudulent".

Larry testified about Sheriff's lying to him
before Sheriff's helped IRS steal Larry's house.

Larry said such ^{deceptive} tactics are common-place.

Larry said similar evils have happened to other
group members.

Earlier, Shapiro asked Larry if ~~he~~ Larry knew
that "Hartford had been convicted of a Felony";
+ Larry said "Yes".

2019 - January - 17, Thursday.
Page 26.

Union taxi Driver is
From Morocco.

Brian Homat + Eric Brand
had friend Michael appear
+ other supporters

Prosecution moved to modify jury instructions
related to the definition of the word "threat" +
"True Threat". All related to "Public Servants".

Spear is frequently concerned about "time"; +
he uses this issue as an excuse to "Rush to Judgment".
Larry raised the issue "this is NOT an "Article
Three Court"", Larry again declared he was here
by "Special Appearance", + that he reserved all
of his rights, Spear frequently referenced
"terms of Art", Larry proposed that when
"Public officials" do NOT have legitimate "oaths +
Bonds", that they are NOT legitimate "Public Servants".
Shapiro advocated "Assisting the Jury, so they are
NOT confused". This was in pursuit of defining the
word "Conspiracy", in the jury-instructions.

Larry asked to have instructions defining "treason"
included in jury instructions. Spear + Shapiro objected
because NO charges or complaints ALLEGE "treason".
Larry submitted case-law arguing about "treason",
but Spear ruled that Larry's request for this to be
included in jury-instructions was "Rejected".

Larry tried to include instructions concerning "two types of citizenship" in America, between "American National" + "U.S. Citizens".

Shapiro objected because it was "Legal Argument", + Spear sided with Shapiro, + against Larry.

Spear voiced repeated + emphatic concerns about "time", all of which seems to me to indicate that his "Ideology" is similar to that of the Greco/Roman God

"Chronos", which seems related to "Saturn", + which in turn relates to "Satan", This is institutionalized "Rush to Judgment". Shapiro alleged Larry spoke

Falsely when Larry testified IRS had billed him for "ten million dollars", + that documents seem to prove that that bill was only for \$462,000.00 or so.

Spear again spoke of concerns about Brian Combs.

Spear apologized to jury for making them wait + wasting their "time".

Shapiro again called witness "Ryan English".

English testified alleging Larry was wrong in claiming that the IRS was billing Larry for "ten million dollars".

English had obtained IRS documents that seemed to support his allegation that the true IRS bill against Larry was only about \$462,000.00.

2019- January-17, Thursday.
Page 28.

Spear is obviously running
his court ~~as a~~
"court of limited-jurisdiction".

Larry asked English if he is an attorney, & if he could produce a bar-card. At Larry's prompt, English admitted there was "NO JURY TRIAL", & THAT THE CASE WAS COLONDBLY CONCLUDED THY "SUMMARY ^{Judgement}".
Spear said: "Law demands I READ these 'Jury Instructions' to you." There-in ~~he~~ Spear said JURY MUST APPLY RULES SPEAR READS, & THAT JURISTS SHOULD NOT CONSIDER WHAT ~~THE~~ SPEAR'S EVENTUAL PUNISHMENT MIGHT BE.

~~he~~ Spear's lengthy reading of "Jury Instructions" was a big "waste of time", imho.

Spear has read "Instructions" which include "STATUTORY LANGUAGE", much of which include words which have absolutely "NO RELATION" what-so-ever ^{TO THIS} case. Definition of "Threat" seems pretty good, ^{+ would be good to review,}
Much precous "Time Wasted" by Spear, in just reading TO JURISTS ~~many~~ 'Definitions of words'.

CLOSING STATEMENTS; PROSECUTOR STARTED:
SHAPIRO; QUOTED LARRY: "HAIL HER ASS DOWN THERE!"
REFERENCED CHATLINE'S HOME FORECLOSURE +
IMPRISONMENT, + DEFECTS WERE "SIMPLY DOING
THEIR JOB", ACCUSED GROUP OF "PAPER TERRORISM".
REFERENCED "FREEING AMERICA FROM BRITISH TYRANY"
WHINED ABOUT POWERFUL "PUBLIC OFFICE" HOLDERS
RECIIVING SERIOUSLY CONFRONTATIONAL PAPER-WORK.
"OUR RULE OF LAW". ACCUSED LARRY OF BEING
"THE ENGINE THAT MADE THIS THING GO."
"LEGISLATURE 'CHANGED THE LAW' ABOUT PUBLIC OFFICE-
HOLDERS NEEDING 'BONDS'." ^{SHAPIRO} POINTED AT LARRY FREQUENTLY,
FOCUSED ON LARRY'S "MENTAL STATE".

LARRY: "THE GROUP, 'WE THE PEOPLE', SHOULD BE CONSIDERED
'WHISTLEBLOWERS', UPHOLDING THE CONSTITUTION." LARRY RAISED
ON "ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGEMENT"; BUT SHAPIRO OBJECTED,
"PEACE ~~DECLARATION~~ ^{NOTICE}", LARRY READ A PARAGRAPH. LARRY REFERENCED
"OUR PRESIDENT TRUMP", LARRY REFERENCED "NO SWORN
AFFIDAVITS" OF COMPLAINT FROM PUBLIC-SERVANTS,
"IT IS OUR DUTY TO REPORT CRIMES" + THAT WAS
OUR "INTENT". DECLARED HE IS "NOT THE DEFENDANT"
NAMED IN THIS CASE, BUT RATHER A "CESI QUE TRUST"
IS WHAT WAS ACTUALLY NAMED IN THE COMPLAINT.

Larry's closing Arguments, continued.

Larry said Judge Spear is now the trustee in this case
 Larry said he had "No taxable income" during the time
 of the IRS case. "Supreme Law of the Land is Perverted
 thru Private Statutes + codes", they are "Altering the
 Form + Administration of Government", "Commercial
 Law is common sense", "Unauthorized Exercise of
 Law will destroy civilization", this was an "Effort
 to correct problems going on in the state of Colorado".

Shapiro - Roberts: Accused Larry of violating
 "Rule of Law", + that Larry wanted to do what-ever
 he wanted, Charles problems were "of her
 own making", as with other group members.
 Quoted Dorosh + Mack speaking against Liers
 + Grand-Juries, ... "That is not how we solve
 problems in America", we "discuss + debate".
 Shapiro referenced "our Law", + that Larry + the group
 were causing "Chaos". "Show how Representative
 Democracy functions under the 'Rule of Law'."

~~He~~

Spear affirmed "unanimous verdict" is required
Twelve are not solidified until after closing ^{Arguments}
Lawful Jurisdiction is not solidified until that ^{Moment}.

2019-January-18, Friday

Spear said jurors asked what to do if they can not
Agree on one of the counts. Larry again affirmed ^{decided}
his presence under "Special Appearance", reserving his
rights. Spear said this jury is taking more time than
the juries did in the cases of Nulty + Ducett + Bifield.

Jury returned to court-room at jury's request.

"You are Judges, Judges of the Facts"; Spear said

GUILTY COUNTS. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,
13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25.

Spear polled each juror, affirming each juror
~~and~~ confirmed each juror's verdict. "All restrictions
are listed." Jurors can talk about verdict.

Sentencing will occur on future date

No Bond allowed, ~~to be~~ March 20 = ^{sentencing} Date.

I arrived in the court-room late, + I did NOT hear
Spear's reading of "COUNT 1"; but I presume that
reading was also "GUILTY"; there by rendering
The verdict alleging that Larry was/is ^{FOUND} "GUILTY
of all 25 Counts" of crimes alleged in the indictment.