

From: Charles Stewart, & other concerned Constitutionalist Patriots; in Lafayette, Colorado.

To: Robert Shapiro, Ryan English, Christian Byrne, Michael Spear; & all others who approve of the colorable Conviction of Laurence Goodman.

Subject: Letter & EMail Seeking Open Communications & Re-Examination of the Legitimacy of the colorable Conviction of Laurence Goodman.

Date: 2019-April-22

Prosecutor Shapiro, Agent English, Deputy Byrne, Judge Spear; & all others who might consider the colorable Conviction of Laurence Goodman to be Legitimate:

This letter & email is our team's attempt at following-up on the court proceedings & related discussions which occurred during Mr Goodman's trial, especially the one on the last day of the trial, when Mr Shapiro, Mr English, Mr Byrne & I were waiting for the verdict of the jury. I consider those court proceedings & related discussions to raise issues which are of great importance in securing the Peace, Safety, & Happiness of the People of Colorado, & the entire USA.

I was hoping to open discussions like this with your team well before the start of Mr Goodman's trial; but Mr Goodman objected to my proceeding in that manner. Since your prosecution team gained their apparent win in that trial, & with a bit of time here for our team to contemplate those developments; my constraints have now been loosened, thus allowing me to proceed here.

While I find numerous issues pressing to be raised to your prosecutorial team, & to the Judicial Officers who affirmed that your accusations against Mr Goodman were Legitimate; I believe the most efficient way to open these discussions, is by directing your attention to Two Documents which I have composed, as related to that trial. The first & more important of those documents, is a partial "Transcript" of the proceedings in that trial, as I typed-up later from the notes which I took during that trial. Both my original notes, & my later typed-up & much easier to read 30-page summary of those transcribed notes, are in a specific directory under a web-page that we have set-up in our efforts to secure a more community-conscience-bound sense of "Justice" for Mr Goodman; as shown here:

<https://constitutionalgov.us/sub/PoliticalSubdivisions-Local/10-SouthWestSS/Colorado/Cases/LaurenceGoodman/Z5-NotesFromTrial-&-AfterTrial/>

Perhaps more significant as Evidence, three of the documents there-in are different sections of scanned-copies of my hand-written notes from the trial; with a fourth file there-in being my later typed-up & much easier to read 30-pages of transcribed notes. Another document in this directory contains my Summarized Notes of a Discussion which Prosecutor Shapiro, Agent English, Deputy Byrne & I all shared on the last day of the trial.

Please examine these documents for any significant errors that any of you might find in the words that I composed there-in. I am certain that I made at least a few minor errors; but I do believe that none were either significant, nor were any un-fairly slanted against your side of our socially & legally significant ideological debate.

Please inform me in a timely manner of any such errors that you might find there-in. I believe that 30-days should be sufficient time for for your team's members to inform us of any such objections; where-after, if no such objection is communicated to us, that it would be conscionable & justified for us to Presume that You Have No Objections to the Legitimacy of these documents; & for us to there-under use them as "Evidence", through our continuing efforts at lawfully invoking a more Disciplined, Justified, Reasonable, Organic Community-Conscience rooted, & Constitutionally-Compliant form of

“Due Process of Law”.

Here-under, & one way or the other; we intend to avail our-selves of a number of Constitutionally Prioritized Judicial Procedures which we feel are quite likely to result in the Over-Turning of the Verdict that your prosecutorial team colorably secured against Mr Goodman. We further seek there-by to gain Mr Goodman’s release from prison; & to there-after consider the similar implications for the other Colorado Constitutionals with whom Mr Goodman has been associated.

Related to these points, & during the Court’s later “Sentencing Hearing”, presiding Judge Spear authorized me to submit commentary which might bear on the severity of the sentence which Judge Spear pronounced against Mr Goodman. I then declared for the Court’s Record my well-considered belief, that, there were numerous & significant “Procedural Errors” which presiding Judge Spear had allowed to go un-checked during your team’s prosecution of Mr Goodman, all of which are of such significance as-to amount to a “Mis-Trial”.

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/mistrial>

Here-under; our partial “Transcript” would provide significant “Color of Legitimacy”, for our team to move to so Over-Turn the Verdict that was then colorably rendered against Mr Goodman. Further here-under; our team,’s ability to quickly bring this entire lingering Dispute to what we would adjudicate to be a more truly “Lawful Resolution”, would be significantly Enhanced, if, your team would simply Concede the basic Accuracy & Legitimacy of this partial “Transcript”.

Perhaps these are enough issues to raise to your team, in our opening efforts here to invoke a judicial re-examination the legitimacy of the jury verdict which your team colorably gained over Mr Goodman. But, as you are all surely well aware; many in our patriotic/constitutionalist ranks have not completed sufficient studies of true “Law”, in order to compose & mount any sufficiently solid argument as would be necessary in order to use this partial Transcript to gain the release of such prisoners as Mr Goodman.

Here-under; we would like to include in this email/letter to you; a smidgen of back-up issues & points which we do hope will prompt you-all to take our communication here to you, “seriously”.

First; your team grilled Mr Goodman & the other members of the Colorado group about what you referred to repeatedly as our “Sovereign Citizens Ideology”. On page two of our above-linked Transcript, Mr Garard is noted as pressing this issue in his efforts to gain your team’s conviction of Mr Goodman. How-ever; please note Mr Goodman’s response was to mount a counter-argument, by asking Mr Garard “What is Your Ideology?”. And note please also my recording in that partial Transcript that Mr Goodman never got a “straight-answer” from Mr Garard on this important point.

While the District Court’s Record should confirm that this issue of “Ideology” was raised a multitude of times in this trial; I believe there was never any meaningful opportunity for Mr Goodman to press this counter-argument, that, perhaps your team holds something which I propose would be accurately termed as your “Municipal Ideology”. Please note our team’s perception & concern here, that, your team is seriously “mis-guided” in your collective embracing of that sort of a “Municipal Ideology”. In support of our contention here, please note that reputable scholarly definitions of that word “Municipal” affirm that Ideology to Not be of a “Public Interest” nature; but rather that it is first in the service of “Private Interests”, & only there-after does that form of governing attempt to serve any sort of a “Public Interest. Our teams quoted “Black’s Law Dictionary” definitions affirm the accuracy of these words, in at least four entries similar as that word “Municipal”, as web-linked here:

<https://constitutionalgov.us/Blacks5th.htm>

These problems with your teams “Municipal Ideology” exist, because, the very nature of that Ideology is to brutally terrorize & intimidate innocent people; & by way of confusing them in-to submitting to what is described as a “perfect system of despotism”, & as a weaponized form of judicial process, all by way of presenting to them “the most extraordinary confusion”, as is clearly described in the reputedly sourced law article which is web-linked in original & abbreviated forms, here:

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/CivilLaw&CommonLaw-TylersIntroduction-FullCleanOriginal.pdf>

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/CivilLaw&CommonLaw-TylersIntroduction-Abbreviated&Underlined.pdf>

Here-under, please note, that, this “Municipal” form of governing is based on the top/down & authoritarian Model-of-Government which existed under the Roman Empire; & where-under the Roman Emperor held god-like powers, when he imposed such despotic brutality as sparked the “Jewish Revolt” of ad-66; all of which is described more fully here:

<https://www.britannica.com/event/First-Jewish-Revolt>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/First_Jewish%E2%80%93Roman_War

There-under; the Roman Emperor & his blindly obedient agents condemned multitudes of innocent people to be either thrown to the lions in the gladiatorial arenas; or else they were nailed to crosses, similarly as the biblical new-testament account of the man who claimed to be the Messiah/Christ of the Nation of Israel.

To pursue this point a bit further, please note also here, that, serious law scholars recognize your “Municipal Ideology” as being in a “Fundamental Ideological Conflict” with the deeper Principles of “Public-Law”, “Constitutional-Law”, & “Common-Law”, the later of which are clearly & firmly rooted in our American & Colorado Systems of Constitutional Government. Some web-links to brief & reputable texts which support our team’s “ideological point of view” here, read as follows:

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/Jurisdiction-PublicLaw-Political-JudicialIndependence-Republic/PublicLaw-PublicInterest-PublicOffice-Copies/CommonLawIsBasisOfPublicLaw-EclpdaAmericana1963.pdf>

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/Jurisdiction-PublicLaw-Political-JudicialIndependence-Republic/PublicLaw-PublicInterest-PublicOffice-Copies/PublicLaw-BlacksLD.pdf>

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/Jurisdiction-PublicLaw-Political-JudicialIndependence-Republic/PublicLaw-PublicInterest-PublicOffice-Copies/PublicPolicy-BlacksLD.pdf>

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/Jurisdiction-PublicLaw-Political-JudicialIndependence-Republic/PublicLaw-PublicInterest-PublicOffice-Copies/PublicPurpose-BlacksLD.pdf>

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/Jurisdiction-PublicLaw-Political-JudicialIndependence-Republic/PublicLaw-PublicInterest-PublicOffice-Copies/JusPublicum-PublicJus-BlacksLD.pdf>

<https://constitutionalgov.us/CitationsShort/Jurisdiction-PublicLaw-Political-JudicialIndependence-Republic/PublicLaw-PublicInterest-PublicOffice-Copies/ConstitutionsReferenceCommonLaw-AmJur1.pdf>

And, as a closing point directly related to this “Fundamental Ideological Conflict Issue”, & also to our above referenced effort to secure a legitimate form of “Judicial Review” of Mr Goodman’s conviction; please note another argument, that, a massive amount of Judicial & Executive Power has been Constitutionally Recognized as residing in Smaller Communities of our common People in Colorado, & the USA. More specifically; this same power is specifically & clearly described in “Article 5 Section 25” of Colorado’s Constitution; supportive citations of which are web-linked, here:

<https://constitutionalgov.us/sub/PoliticalSubdivisions-Local/10-SouthWestSS/Colorado/LawDocuments/ConstitutionCo/Article5-Section25-Local&SpecialLaws-Prohibited.pdf>

<https://law.justia.com/constitution/colorado/cnart5.html#25>

Please note there-in, what appears to us to be a prohibition against your municipal jurisdiction's law-making "legislative department", from:

"regulating County or Township affairs; regulating the practice in Courts of Justice; regulating the jurisdiction and duties of justices of the peace, and of constables, ... summoning or impaneling grand or petite juries, ..."; & numerous other very powerful guarantees of non-intervention in what clearly seems to our team as our Common People's "Rights of Self-Government".

This powerful constitutional provision seems to us to recognize that smaller communities of our common "People of Colorado", have the Constitutional Right to Re-Examine such Judicial Proceedings as Judge Spear presided over in the Denver District Court; & to focus intensely on such issues as I raised in the Sentencing Hearing before Judge Spear, where-in I then clearly declared that there was "No Mens-Rea" established by your prosecutorial team in this case; because, Mr Goodman & his associates were Only trying to secure "Government Accountability" through their actions, (how-ever dysfunctional their legal-strategy then might have been).

Further; the constitutionally prioritized cause of "Justice", as itemized in the "Preambles" of both our American Federal & Colorado State "Constitutions", seem to us to Mandate that precisely these sorts of Organically Decentralized Powers of "Judicial Review", are firmly in the hands of Smaller Communities of the Common-People of Colorado. This would include even smaller communities who feel divine inspiration to Organize based on a Private/Special/Ideological & Non-Localized "Ideology of Liberty", which is also described as "Due Process of Law" in our State & National Constitutions, & in the bible book of James as the "Perfect Law of Liberty".

Yes. It seems to our team that your team has mis-guidedly embraced something similar to the "Municipal Ideology" which is generally recognized in the above citations as being "Opposed to the Public Interest"; & that, here-under, our team might have a realistic chance of obtaining the Release from prison of Mr Goodman, & perhaps also his other associates. It seems to us that a serious Judicial Activism Campaign on what we believe is a point-of-vulnerability here, might produce these results.

Of course; your team can ridicule this sort of an un-fashionable & "political" argument; but our team is strongly inclined to believe, that, these results are realistically achievable, if we build sufficient grass-roots & popular support to obtain our own more Legitimate "Judicial Remedy", for what we perceive as this & the related multitude one-sided & fundamentally un-fair prosecutions & convictions.

In closing; please note the smaller 2-page document in our above-linked directory, where-in I transcribed my recollection of conversation between my-self & Mr Shapiro, as follows:

"I pointedly asked Prosecutor Shapiro, something similar as, what concerned constituents can do, when confronted with what they sincerely believe to be unjustifiable abuses of the powers of government executive officers.

Prosecutor Shapiro said, in effect, that, his office is open to hear concerns from members of the public."

Prosecutor Shapiro; please consider this email/letter our Formal Request that You Open these sorts of lines of Communication with us about what we "sincerely believe to be Unjustifiable Abuses of the Powers of Government Executive Officers", & Judicial Officers, with-in our State of Colorado.

Jefferson County Sheriff's Deputy Byrne; please consider this letter/email as our teams Formal Request that you Open Discussions with our team, concerning the Legitimate Role of all "County Sheriff's Departments" in Colorado; & how the Sheriffs & Deputies there-in are modernly & routinely

Letter/EMail Seeking Communications & Re-Examination of Conviction of Laurence Goodman. Page 4 of 5.

“Breaching the Peace”, through their prioritization of the very “Municipal Ideology” as above referenced; & all of which is in bold faced Conflict & Violation of each County Sheriff’s Constitutionally Prioritized Duties to “Keep the Peace”.

Please note here, that, the fundamental nature of your team’s “Municipal Ideology” encourages social-engineering through process similar to “Malum Prohibitum”, or “Mala Prohibita”; as opposed to “Malum In Se”; all three phrases of which may easily & accurately be defined from reputable internet sources, including our own Black’s Law Dictionary definition, as web-linked here:

<https://constitutionalgov.us/Blacks5th.htm>

FBI Agent English; please consider that the issues being raised in this case concerning Laurence Goodman & his associates are of “National Significance”, as your very involvement in this case clearly so evidences. Please consider this email/letter as our teams Formal Request that your FBI bureaucracy Open Discussions with our team about our team’s perceived & above referenced “Ideological Conflict” between your teams perceived “Municipal Ideology”, as against our teams “Constitutional Ideology”, or perhaps more comfortably phrased as our team’s “Common-Law Ideology”.

These issues are clearly of “National Significance”; & they are Not going to simply “go away”; unless, hopefully, we might share in “Open Discussions” about the Merit’s of each team’s “Ideological Views”; & work towards some form of “Well-Reasoned Consensus”, similarly as how the more ancient Anglo/Saxon & early American “Common-Law Juries” arrived at their “Unanimous Verdicts”, which there-by accurately reflected the “Conscience of the Community”.

Our American People in All Fifty States Need for Your FBI Bureaucracy to Show Initiative in Opening these sorts of “Good-Faith Communications” with our grass-roots populace in these manners.

In summary; a large number of the common People of Colorado & the USA do sincerely believe that the colorable conviction by your prosecutorial team over our compatriot Laurence Goodman, is Fundamentally “Flawed”, riddled with “Procedural Irregularities”, & essentially a “Void Judgement”.

We respectfully beseech you, that you promptly point-out to us any errors in our reasoning.

If you can not accomplish that task, then please concede the legitimacy of our reasoning on this matter; & exercise responsible diligence in assisting us in securing the prompt Habeas-Corpus Release of our wrongfully convicted compatriot, Laurence Rene’ Goodman.

Sincerely;

“Charles Bruce”, of the Clan “Stewart”.
General Delivery; in Lafayette Colorado [80026-9999].
charles@constitutionalgov.us
720-583-1684 (Land-Line).
503-867-9452; (Cell, seldom used).