Laurence R. Goodman May 3, 2018

P.O. Box 3792, Hand Carried

Boulder, Colorado 80307-3792

UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO,

Alfred A. Arrja Courthouse 901 19th Street, Denver Colorado 80294-3589.

(Re: Case No. 1:17-cv-01680-RM-KLM.)

STATE OF COLORADO ) **SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST**

) ss. For a three week (21 day statutory) Grace Period

County of Boulder ) 18 USC 4 Issue: Demand for Removal based on the

natural human right to self-defense by due process of law.

I, Laurence R. Goodman, states and affirm as follows:

I received a commercial contractual transaction, entered on 12/28/2017 at 9:24 AM MST and filed on 12/28/2017.

Case Name: Goodman v. Persons posing as Public Officers within Colorado State Government Agencies. However, on 10/19/2017 an AMENDED COMPLAINT was filed in this case entitled Laurence R. Goodman, et al., v. John W. Hickenlooper, et al., and Cynthia H. Coffman, et al.

Case Number: 1:17-cv-01680-RM-KLM

Filer: This space was left vacant. Why?

Document Number: 40 (No document was attached)

Docket Text: The matter comes before the Court with the filing of pro se plaintiff Laurence R. Goodman, filed as a Commercial Instrument. A contract implies a commercial disclosure subject to the restrictions of: 18 U.S.C. § 4. The corrected status and standing is sui juris as a living man in private.

Denver District Court Judge in Case No. 17CR10088 Michael Spear and prosecuting attorney Robert Shapiro have contaminated the judicial process with deficiencies that render the process so unfair, as to result in a loss of rights, liberty, or property without due process of law, whether by suppression of evidence, suppression of law, or perjured testimony that would impeach it.

Contrary to the U.S. Const. amends. I, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, and IX, the alleged Grand Jury proceeding (GJ case No.: 16CR001) eliminated my human right of self-defense.

* 1. I have been denied an appearance before the Colorado State Grand Jury violating my right to speak and be heard barred by a formulated fantasy. Both sides of the controversy were never presented to the grand jury.
  2. The grand jury indictment must with reasonable certainty state the essential facts which constitute the nature and cause of the charges. The second superseding indictment, **Addendum I,** never adequately states essential facts or answers the questions of who, what, where’s, how, and why in the alleged acts in each of the forty charges as required by Colo. R. Crim. P. Rule 7(a)(2) and Rule 7(b)(2) as well as C.R.S. 16-5-101 et seq. See **Addendum II.** Because the indictment is so insufficient, I have no way to prepare a defense and be protected from further prosecution from the same offences. I have the natural human right to self-defense by due process of law. Proper effectuation of this right requires an indictment to meet the basic principles of fundamental fairness. This principle can also be found in *People v. Donachy,* [586 P.2d 14](https://www.courtlistener.com/opinion/1436116/people-v-donachy/) (1978), *Lowenburg* *v. People*, 156 F.2d 22 (10th Cir. 1946) *Russell v. United States*, 369 U.S. 749, (1962) and see 1 *C. Wright, Federal Practice and Procedure (Criminal),* § 125 (1969). As a result, the indictment does not legally charge a crime or subject me to the jurisdiction of the court.
  3. It is self-evident that prosecuting attorney Robert Shapiro cannot even follow the court rules because the second superseding Grand Jury Indictment of June 15, 2017, was not signed by a grand jury foreman as required by Colo. R. Crim. P. Rule 7(a)(2)(IV) as well as C.R.S. 16-5-201 which states:

“Every indictment shall be signed by the foreman of the Grand Jury. . .” See **Addendum II.**

* 1. The only other signature besides Shapiro’s on the said Grand Jury Indictment is that of Denver District Court Chief Judge Michael A. Martinez. Martinez recused himself and all the other Denver District Court Judges from the proceedings in (GJ case No.: 16CR001) on April 17, 2017. See **Addendum III.** Asa member of the judiciary department of the State of Colorado Martinez is not authorized to sign any grand jury indictment because it is a conflict of interest and in violation of the Separation of Powers Doctrine U.S. Const. art. I-III and IV § 4. Therefore, Martinez has no authority to sign any grand jury indictment as a judge or change hats to impersonate a Grand Jury foreman. Consequently, the Grand Jury Indictment signed by an unauthorized person is invalid. This principle can also be found in *Dresner v. County*, 540 P.2d 1085, (1975).

* 1. Furthermore, the Grand Jury Indictment in Case No. 17CR10088, was not sworn and subscribed to under oath as mandated by C.R.S. 16-5-201 and C.R.S. 16-5-202. See **Addendum IV.** I allege and aver that Shapiro’s failure to comply with the said requirements demonstrates his contempt for the truth and his intent to lie. The most important ingredient to building a moral society is truth, both inside and outside a courtroom. The prohibition against “bearing false witness” demands that truth reigns supreme in a court case because without truth there can be not justice. Without the hope of justice there can be no civilization. in violation of my Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, right to Due Process of Law.

Under a pretense that a judicial interest exists, privately held companies, the Office of the Governor, Office of the Attorney General, and the Judicial Court of Colorado are waging a ‘Mixed War’ on the People. See *Blk’s Law Dict*., 4th Ed., 1968, pg. 1754 and **Addendum V.**  Also see 18 U.S.C. § 2331 “active war” resulting in injured and harmed daily by criminals in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1994, 42 U.S.C. § 12203, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 18 U.S.C. 1513, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1851 - 1589.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4 - Misprision of felony, UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO, you are required to acknowledge the authority of this code.

“Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a [felony](https://www.law.cornell.edu/definitions/uscode.php?width=840&height=800&iframe=true&def_id=18-USC-1278190643-833647312&term_occur=2&term_src=title:18:part:I:chapter:1:section:4) cognizable by a [court of the United States](https://www.law.cornell.edu/definitions/uscode.php?width=840&height=800&iframe=true&def_id=18-USC-539662236-848141042&term_occur=1&term_src=title:18:part:I:chapter:1:section:4), conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.”

See Boulder County Clerk and Recorder Public Records RF: 0351224, 03617756, 03617757, 03621011, 03622235, 03621011, 03623713, 03624329, 03627499\*, and 03651728.

The monetary clock is ticking. It is your choice. This case must be dismissed in the interest of justice without prejudice.

I, Laurence R. Goodman, certify and swear on my own Commercial Liability, that I have read the foregoing instrument, titled SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST, and know the content thereof, and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, complete, and not misleading, the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I reserve the right and duty to update and correct this instrument as needed.

I, Laurence R. Goodman autograph \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_make this claim.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Witness Witness