Laurence R. Goodman, P.O. Box 3792, Boulder, Colorado 80307-3792 April 16, 2018, Certified Mail No. 7016 2710 0000 4448 5270

## UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO, Alfred A. Arrja Courthouse 901 19<sup>th</sup> Street, Denver Colorado 80294-3589. (Re: Case No. 1:17-cv-01680-RM-KLM.)

STATE OF COLORADO )	SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST
) ss.	For a three week (21 day statutory) Grace Period
County of Boulder )	18 USC 4 Issue: Demand for Removal based on the
	natural human right to self-defense by due process of law in
	opposition to "Motions in Limine"

## I, Laurence R. Goodman, states and affirm as follows:

I received a commercial contractual transaction, entered on 12/28/2017 at 9:24 AM MST and filed on 12/28/2017.

Case Name: Goodman v. Persons posing as Public Officers within Colorado State Government Agencies. However, on 10/19/2017 an <u>AMENDED COMPLAINT</u> was filed in this case entitled Laurence R. Goodman, et al., v. John W. Hickenlooper, et al., and Cynthia H. Coffman, et al.

Case Number: <u>1:17-cv-01680-RM-KLM</u> Filer: This space was left vacant. Why?

Document Number: 40 (No document was attached)

Docket Text: The matter comes before the Court with the filing of pro se plaintiff Laurence R. Goodman, filed as a <u>Commercial Instrument</u>. A contract implies a commercial disclosure subject to the restrictions of: 18 U.S.C. § 4. The corrected status and standing is sui juris as a living man in private.

Denver District Court Judge in <u>Case No. 17CR10088</u> Michael Spear and prosecuting attorney Robert Shapiro have contaminated the judicial process with deficiencies that render the process so unfair, as to result in a loss of rights, liberty, or property without due process of law, whether by suppression of evidence, suppression of law, or perjured testimony that would impeach it.

Contrary to the Article, I, IV, and V, Constitution for the United States of America (1789/1819), the alleged Grand Jury proceeding (GJ case No.: 16CR001) eliminated my human right of self-defense.

- 1. I have been denied an appearance before the Grand Jury violating my right to speak and be heard before the Grand Jury barred a formulated fantasy.
- 2. The indictment limits my right to be informed with reasonable certainty as to the nature and cause of the charges. The indictment never adequately states essential facts or answers the questions of who, what, wheres, how, and why in the alleged acts in each of the forty charges as required by Colorado Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 7(a)(2) and 7(b)(2)(IV) See **Addendum I.**
- 3. The indictment and the information was not signed by a grand jury foreman as required by Colorado Rules of Criminal Procedure Rule 7(a)(2)(IV). See **Addendum II.**
- 4. I allege and aver that Denver District Court Chief Judge Michael A. Martinez recused himself and all the Denver District Court Judges on April 17, 2017 and therefore has no authority to sign the second superseding indictment on June 15, 2017, as a judge or to impersonate a Grand Jury foreman. See **Addendum III.**
- 5. The indictment in <u>Case No. 17CR10088</u>, was not sworn to under oath in violation of my Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, right to Due Process of Law.

I allege and aver that the intent of the so-called grand jury indictment in its entirety is a fantasy created for the purpose of applying Motions in Limine. A <u>Motion in Limine</u> is not just an instrument or document. A Motion in Limine is a type of concept. Any process that is laid down to deny me of the natural human right to self-defense is a Motion in

Limine. It is actually a theoretical approach to destroy somebody. The thing that distinguishes man from the animals is that man has created guiding moral principles in which he has to be treated fairly. Meaning that man is not to be denied the natural human right to self- defense. When that right is taken away it is a limiting factor. That is why it is called a Motion in Limine. It is better worded as an activity in limitation. Limine is French for limit. By reason of the following facts in Case No. 17CR10088 I have been denied my natural human right to a self-defense:

- a. A <u>People's Motion in Limine</u>, was filed on 10/31/2017 in <u>Case No. 17CR10088</u> to eliminate my right of self-defense, amounting to a procedural <u>Motion in Rape</u> like bending a woman over a barrel, in violation of Amendments I, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, and IX of the <u>Constitution for the United States of America</u> (1789).
- b. Denver District Court Judge Michael Spear declared a suppression of evidence in fact and evidence in law, prohibiting me from speaking about purported activities relating to C.R.S. 24-22-101(1)(2017), in violation of Amendments I, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, and IX of the Constitution for the United States of America (1789).
- c. Prosecuting attorney Robert Shapiro admitted during a hearing on January 4, 2018, that there were 360 gig of discovery data in <u>Case No. 17CR10088</u>. However, the thumb drive he has provided is limited to 128 gigabytes of memory, thereby violating the 1963 Brady Material Law.
- d. Prosecuting attorney Robert Shapiro has denied me the effective and essential means of defense by refusing to return computers, private records, and books purloined from my residence on 4/6/2017 in violation of the 1963 Brady Material Law and U.S. Const. amend. V, that states:

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, **supported by Oath or affirmation**, and particularly describing the place to be searched and the person or things to be seized."

e. Denver District Court Judge Michael Spear denied me the right to the council of my choice and appointed a BAR Attorney on the case to block and oppression evidence in fact and evidence of law for defense.

Under a pretense that a judicial interest exists, privately held companies, the Office of the Governor, Office of the Attorney General, and the Judicial Court of Colorado are waging a 'Mixed War' on the People. See Blk's Law Dict., 4<sup>th</sup> Ed., 1968, pg. 1754. Also see 18 U.S.C. § 2331 "active war" resulting in injured and harmed daily by criminals in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1994, 42 U.S.C. § 12203, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, 18 U.S.C. 1513, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1851 - 1589.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4 - Misprision of felony, UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO, you are required to acknowledge the authority of this code.

"Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a <u>felony</u> cognizable by a <u>court of the United States</u>, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

See Boulder County Clerk and Recorder Public Records RF: 0351224, 03617756, 03617757, 03621011, 03622235, 03621011, 03623713, 03624329, 03627499\*, and 03651728.

The monetary clock is ticking. It is your choice. This case must be dismissed in the interest of justice without prejudice.

I, Laurence R. Goodman, certify and swear on my own Commercial Liability, that I have r	ead the foregoing
instrument, titled SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST, and know to	the content thereof,
and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, complete, and not misleading, the	he truth, the whole
truth, and nothing but the truth. I reserve the right and duty to update and correct this instrument a	as needed.
I Laurence R Goodman autograph	make this claim

1, Laurence R. Goodman autograph		miake this claim.
Witness	Witness	