

Electronically recorded in Boulder County Colorado. Recorded as received.

Laurence R. Goodman
P.O. Box 3792,
Boulder, Colorado 80307-3792

FILED IN DISTRICT COURT
COPY-CRIM

June 18, 2018
Hand Delivered

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

JUN 19 2018

UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO,
Alfred A. Arrja Courthouse 901 19th Street, Denver Colorado 80294-3588
Re: Case No. 1:17-cv-01680-RM-KLM.

JEFFREY P. COLWELL
CLERK

LINDSEY-FLANIGAN COURTHOUSE
520 West Colfax Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80204
Re: Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM

Supreme Court of Law for the United States of America.
This court maintains a mailing address at 39854 Proctor Boulevard, 347:
Sandy Oregon, [97055]

STATE OF COLORADO)
) ss.
County of Boulder)

FOURTH COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST
For a three-week Jewish International Law.
(21 day) statutory Grace Period
18 USC 4 Issue: A Criminal Counter Complaint,
Abatement, and removal and/or dismissal of Denver
District Court Case No. 17CR10088 to United States
District Court Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM

CAVEAT

This plaintiff, Laurence Rene Goodman, an organic constituent member of the constitutional de jure state of Colorado, hereby assert my right as a member of the body politic of the sovereign state of Colorado to bring a criminal complaint against the below listed corrupted and accused public servants. This process is recognized by the old writ of quo warranto modernly referred to as Writ of Quo Warranto Ex. Rel. pursuant to C.R.S. 12-6-208 (2017). The issues that I am raising are only not in my interest, but also in the interest of justice of the people of the state of Colorado. This criminal complaint is being filed in the three above named courts because of the epidemic corruption in the courts in the hopes that one of them might be capable of producing constitutionally guaranteed justice.

While we are not dependent on the following citations for the legitimacy or standing of our arguments; the following citations contain universal principals of truth and justice which are generally embodied within the Commercial and Common Law traditions which we are hereby invoking.

Parties

Michael James Spear, BAR No. 19986, 4000 Justice Way 2009, Castle Rock, CO 80109.
Robert Scott Shapiro, BAR No. 26869, 1300 Broadway 10th Floor, Denver, CO 80203,
Michael Anthony Martinez, BAR No. 16559, 1437 Bannock Street, Rm 256, Denver, CO 80202,
Diedre Wachbrit Braverman, BAR No. 42101, 1823 Folsom Street, Suite 101, Boulder, CO 80303,
Raymond P. Moore, BAR No. 9104, 901 19th Street, Chambers A641, Denver, CO 80294.

Page 1 of 8

BOULDER COUNTY, State of Colorado
Certified to be a full, true and complete copy
as appears upon the records of my office.
HILLARY HALL, CLERK & RECORDER

By *Maria Pereyra-Compian* JUN 19 2018
Deputy Clerk

Maria Pereyra-Compian



Jeff Sessions, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20530,
Christopher Wray, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20530.
And Others.

Allegations

I, Laurence Rene Goodman, affiant, uncompromised freeman, in pro per and sui juris, state and affirm:

The origins of this case began with an FBI investigation by agents Et. Al. who are associated with this criminal oligarchy which is part of the DOJ, DUNS No. 011669674 under the principles of United States Inc. DUNS No. 052714196. Jeff Sessions and Christopher Wray are principles in the origins of this matter in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242.

Robert Shapiro, prosecuting attorney and creator of the indictment in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088 and Michael Spear Judge in the same case, have rendered the judicial process with deficiencies that are so unfair, as to result in my loss of rights, liberty, and property without due process of law. The alleged Grand Jury Proceeding Case No.: 16CR001 was conducted contrary to the U.S. Const. amends. I, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, and XIV thereby eliminating my natural right of self-defense.

The lack of response to the COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST filed in United States District Court Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM shows, that, the prosecuting attorney in Denver Colorado District Court Case No. 17CR10088, one "Robert Scott Shapiro", is not an organic representative or constituent member of the plaintiff named in this case, who is "the People of the State of Colorado".

It is apparent there is no plaintiff in Case No. 17CR10088 that can sue or be sued, there is no claim, no legal or lawful case. By reason of C.R.C.P. 17, Plaintiff and Defendant; which states:

"Capacity: Public Officers (a) Real Party in Interest. (1) Designation in General, clearly states, an action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest".

making public all actions executed by the Colorado Attorney General's Office null, void, and visible.

Prosecutor Shapiro derives all of his color of legitimacy to proceed in that case, from his franchise as a private corporate municipal governmental agent; and there is no organic member of the Colorado State body politic who has sworn to have suffered any corpus-delicti/body-harming crimes having been committed against them by the counter claimant "Goodman".

The evidence in fact provides immutable proof that the private corporation cannot possibly claim to own and control "THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO", whereby admitting to human trafficking and slavery of every man, woman and child in Colorado.

The lack of response to the SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST filed in United States District Court Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM evidences that attorney Robert Scott Shapiro, Judge Michael James Spear, and Chief Judge Michael Anthony Martinez have rendered a malicious, felonious, and fraudulent Grand Jury Indictment in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088.

Braverman, is a BAR attorney for the defendant in a criminal proceeding that could result in incarceration. Under the Colorado Rules of Professional Rules of Conduct Rule 3.1 and 8.4, Braverman has a fiduciary duty to represent her client to the highest standards and to require that every element of the case be established. Braverman, having read the alleged grand jury indictment and having been trained in the law, disregarded the glaring defects, false accusations, fraudulent signature, missing elements and lack of valid certifications required by title 16 of Colorado Revised Statutes. The nature of the franchise of all BAR member attorneys includes mandates that they act with diligence and fidelity in handling their

client's legal work and in his representation of his client in court can be found in *People v. Bugg*, 200 Colo. 512, 616 P.2d 133 (1980).

The SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST and subsequent Notice of Default, evidence the admission by Matthew David Grove, defense attorney in United States District Court Case No. 1:17-cv-01680-RM-KLM, to the said glaring defects in the grand jury indictment in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088. Instead of acting in her client's best interest, Braverman is attempting to conceal and cover-up the truth rather than expose the fraud and aligned herself with my enemy to deprive me of the unalienable natural right of the people to self-defense. The principle that failure to take any action on behalf of a client after being retained and entrusted with work and in making representations to his client which were false, an attorney violates the code of professional responsibility and C.R.C.P. 241.6. can be found in *People v. Southern*, 638 P.2d 787 (Colo. 1982).

Braverman, has failed to recognize this defendant's and the People's unalienable natural right the people to self-defense in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088. Additionally, the defendant in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088 and the People of Colorado have the unalienable right to keep and bear liens against persons posing as government officials who are making a mixed war on the people.

Braverman, has failed to recognize that the defendant in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088 and the People of Colorado have a duty to report the crimes of People who purport to be public officials and hold them accountable pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 4. The restrictions of 18 U.S.C. § 4 implies a contract subject to commercial disclosure.

The principle that an attorney has a high duty as an officer of the court to never participate in any scheme to obstruct the administration of justice or the judicial process. This principle can be found in *People v. Kenelly*, 648 P.2d 1065 (Colo. 1982) and *People v. Haase*, 781 P.2d 80 (Colo. 1989). As I recall, Attorney Braverman has stated to this Defendant, to the effect, that, so long as she is acting as my BAR attorney, in this Colorado Denver District Court Case of No. 17CR10088, that, the officers of the court who are concerned with this case would refuse to recognize any of the arguments that I might try to present. Upon reason and belief, presiding Denver District Court Judge Michael Spear appointed Braverman on the case to block and oppress evidence in fact and evidence of law necessary for my defense. the assistance of BAR attorney Braverman in promoting of this counter plaintiff Goodman, in such manners as actively subvert my own efforts to defend myself through submitting absolutely necessary lawful evidence, arguments, and documents before the Denver Colorado District Court.

This public defender system evidences a pattern of extortion in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242. The court appointed attorneys in this case are backed by a private municipal corporation. The private municipal corporation are going to control the work the person they are paying. It is a conflict of interest. Braverman, appointed as public defender has a conflict of interest in this case; because, Braverman derives her authority and pay from the same private municipal governmental corporation as is the party/plaintiff against me in this case.

There is an extortion process going on with regard to the \$10,000 that is a violation of my due process rights. The intent of the officers of the Enterprise/private municipal corporation is to prevent me from testifying by interfering with my power of Affidavit, the unalienable natural right to defend myself resulting in a loss of rights, liberty, or property without due process of law. Without my consent I do not have a commercial liability to Braverman. Since being appointed by the Enterprise/private municipal corporations Braverman is attempting to double dip by being financed by my enemy, the private municipal corporation/the defacto State of Colorado, and Braverman is extorting \$10,000 from me.

The lack of response to the THIRD COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST filed in United States District Court Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM evidences that attorney Robert Scott Shapiro, and Judge Michael James Spear, have rendered a malicious, felonious, and fraudulent Grand Jury Indictment in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088.

This counter accusation is "True"; because, prosecutor Shapiro has, in effect, brought forth a "Motion in Limine"; which has been explained in detail through a previously referenced and submitted law article from an orthodox, reputable, and scholarly source. Such motions are intended to obstruct "Due Process of Law", as guaranteed to all the People of Colorado, including this Ex. Rel. counter plaintiff; pursuant to Article I, Section 25, Constitution of the State of Colorado.

Such misused "Motions in Limine" are primarily used as methods for removing the merits of this dispute away from the judicial forum of the courtroom; and to obstruct the well-settled course for achieving social Justice, through further obstructing that specific "Due Process of Law" which is obligatory to all the people of Colorado, including this counter plaintiff. This counter plaintiff's natural right of self-defense is being obstructed and denied. Deputy prosecutor Shapiro, and his superior Cynthia H. Coffman, are both acting beyond their lawful authority, as delegated to them through their private corporate municipal charter.

At a hearing in Denver Colorado District Courtroom 1G, in Case Number: 1:17-cr-010088, on 4/4/2018, at [10:09 - 10:43]; municipally-franchised prosecutor Shapiro made a motion to engage a Professional Psychological/Mental Doctor, one "Timothy Foster".

That motion, by prosecutor Shapiro, is, in effect, "Obstruction of Public Justice", as codified in the entirety of Part I, of Article 8, of Title 18, which comprises the entire Criminal Code of the Civil Government of the State of Colorado.

In their malicious scheming to circumvent these "Requirements of Law", those prosecutors have attempted to enlist the support of this Psychologist and Mental Health Professional, Timothy Foster. Those prosecutors are so conspiring through fraud, conversion, and personage; and, through complicity with Speer, they are denying me my inherent free will Article II, Section 4, Colorado Constitution, right to present my own defense. In order for this psychological professional to be lawfully involved in this case, he would need to prove to any Court of Law and Justice, his testimony's relevance to the "Facts in Dispute" that he is somehow capable of telepathically comprehending my motives and intentions. Neither Timothy Foster nor these prosecuting attorneys have demonstrated to any Court concerned with this case that Doctor Foster has any such documentable physical science qualifications recognized. Further, Dr. Foster is being paid by the same private municipal corporation that is prosecuting me; all of which compromises his qualifications to serve in any Court of Law and Justice, because it inherently results in a "conflict of interest".

Raymond P. Moore judge in United States District Court Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM has misrepresented the second and third COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST filed in said case by construing and converting affidavits, as motions, without stating reasons on the record under a 'matter of law', in violation of F.R.C.P. Rule 56, also recognized in but not dependent on 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242. Now, the real test in an alleged criminal matter is that this is a civil rule. According to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (F.R.C.P.), Rule 1, there is only one form of action, a civil action: 1) all crimes are commercial, (27 CFR 72.11) and 2) Every alleged crime has to have "nature and cause", and be prosecuted in the name of the people of the state as [the] REAL PARTY IN INTEREST -- NOT the "PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO" as that is the corporation and is an impossibility, confirming no ratification of commencement, thus summarily dismissed since there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the alleged defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

Private municipal corporations cannot be Sovereign Governments: as they are fictions defined by words on a piece of paper. STATE OF COLORADO – DUNS No. 07643862, Denver CO. Executive Office of the State of Colorado, NAICS Code – DUNS No. 921110; 136 State Capitol Building, Denver, CO 80203. ATTORNEY GENERAL, COLORADO, DUNS Nos. 802032104, 802031700, 802031714, 1300 N Broadway, 10th Floor, Denver, CO 80203; Judiciary Courts of The State of Colorado: DUNS number: 361723943, Denver City & County Bldg., 1437 Bannock Street Room 256 (Clerk's Office), Denver, CO 80202; Judiciary Courts of the State of Colorado – DUNS No. 802032104, 1300 N Broadway Ste. 1200, Denver, CO 80203. I have no nexus with any of these private municipal corporations.

It should be noted that ATTORNEY GENERAL, COLORADO, DUNS Nos. 802032104, 802031700, 802031714, Judiciary Courts of the State of Colorado – DUNS No. 802032104, have the same DUNS No. This evidence of this break-down in the "Separation of Powers Doctrine" further evidences that the Civil/Municipal form of government over the People of Colorado has completely abandoned all pretense at legitimacy under such fundamental constitutional concepts as a republic and that this same community of franchisees are now functioning purely under purely "Executive" forms of "Emergency/War-Powers": similarly as the worst moments in the history of the Roman Empire, in its final days after the Roman Republic, and shortly before its complete collapse.

On 4/8/2018, I ordered an audio CD of the said hearing. I paid the \$35 fee when picked up the audio file in person from Denver District Courts Clerks office at LINDSEY-FLANIGAN COURTHOUSE, 520 West Colfax Avenue, Denver, Colorado. Even though both the attached computer generated order form print out and CD its self, were labeled Case No. 17CR10088, upon viewing the disk, there were eight identical files each of about five minutes in length giving the appearance of a 40-minute record. Upon listening to the files it became evident they were from a different case that occurred in June of 2017. To copy the same audio file eight times and misrepresent it as being the correct file as described above evidences the intent these private municipal corporate officers to obstruct justice in Case No. 17CR10088.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4 - Misprision of felony, UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO, you are required to acknowledge the authority of this code.

"Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

Abatement

Pleas in abatement will be considered as relating, 1. to the jurisdiction of the court; 2. to the person of the plaintiff; 3. to that of the defendant; 4. to the writ; 5. to the qualities of such pleas; 6. to the form of such pleas; 7. to the affidavit of the truth of pleas in abatement.

The principle that if you are not identifying both the plaintiff and the defendant accurately, then the complaint fails and should be abated can be found in: *Arch. Civ. Pl. 305; 1 Chitty's Pleading, Index, tit. Misnomer, Com. Dig. Abatement, E 19, E 20, E 21, E 22; 1 Mass. 75; Bac. Abr. h.t.*

The acquiesce to the COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST filed in United States District Court Case No. 17-cv-01680-RM-KLM evidences that there is no real party of interest ratification under ratification of commencement, no organic representative, or constituent member named as plaintiff in this case, who is "THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO". Since it is apparent there is no plaintiff in Case No. 17CR10088 that can sue or be sued, by reason of C.R.C.P. 17, which clearly states, "an action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest", the principle of misjoinder in abatement applies and can be found, *Arch. Civ. Pl. 304; Com. Dig. Abatement, E 15.*

The **SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST** and subsequent Notice of Default, evidencing that the Grand Jury indictment was defective the defendant is entitled to abatement pursuant to the following: As these pleas delay the trial of the merits of the action, the greatest accuracy and precision are required in framing them; they should be certain to every intent, and be pleaded without any repugnancy, 3 T. R. 186; Willes, 42; 2 Bl. R. 1096 2 Saund. 298, b, n. 1; Com. Dig. 1, 11 Co. Lit. 392; Cro. Jac. 82, and must in general give the plaintiff a better writ. This is the true criterion to distinguish a plea in abatement from a plea in bar, 8 T. R. 615; Bromal. 139; 1 Saund. 274, n. 4; 284 n. 4; 2 B. and P. 125; 4 T. R. 227; 6 East) 600; Com. Dig. Abatement, J1, 2; 1 Day, 28; 3 Mass. 24; 2 Mass. 362; 1 Hayw. 501; 2Ld. Raym. 1178; 1 East, 634. Great accuracy is also necessary in the form of the plea as to the commencement and conclusion, which is said to make the plea. Latch. 178; 2 Saund. 209, c. d; 3 T. R. 186.

I, Laurence Rene` Goodman, have fulfilled all elements under an affidavit of truth to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, complete, and not misleading, the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. (1.) All pleas in abatement must besworn to be true, 4 Ann. c. 16, s. 11. The affidavit may be made by the defendant or a third person, Barnes, 344, and must be positive as to the truth of every fact contained in the plea, and should leave nothing to be collected by inference; Sayer's Rep. 293; it should be stated that the plea is true in substance and fact, and not merely that the plea is a true plea. 3 Str. 705, Litt. Ent. 1; 2 Chitt. Pl. 412, 417; 1 Browne's Rep. 77; see, 2 Dall. 184; 1 Yeates, 185.

Damages

The order by Spear for the change of hands of currency in this case is subject to 42 U.S.C. § 1994 and 18 U.S.C. §§ 1851 - 1589. By reason of the forgoing, Spear is trafficking in currency that is not backed, by the issuing of an order compelling the trafficking in slavery, unless Spear wants to prove his judicial bonding company will cover all resulting liabilities.

Under a pretense that a judicial interest exists, privately held companies, the Office of the Governor, Office of the Attorney General, and the Judicial Court of Colorado are waging a "Mixed War" on the People. Blk's Law Dict., 4th Ed., 1968, pg. 1754. Also see 18 U.S.C. § 2331 "active war" resulting in people being injured and harmed daily by criminals in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1994, 42 U.S.C. § 12203, 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242, 18 U.S.C. 1513, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1851 - 1589.

By reason of 18 U.S.C §§ 2340 - 2340A, considered to be breaches of Art. III, of The Hague Convention IV, and 18 U.S.C. § 1513, Goodman was threatened, intimidated, and retaliated against that has resulted in a lingering respiratory infection and loss of hearing in one ear. Goodman is in immediate danger of further long term injury.

Goodman is being injured on a daily basis, by and humiliating him and denying him liberty as a free citizen causing him to wear said device. By reason of Amend. XIII, Constitution for the United States of America (1789), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1581 and 1589 Goodman is being held in a condition of peonage and forced labor, chained to an electrical outlet three to six hours per day while having money extorted from him for the use of the device. By reason of C.R.S. 18-6.5-103(2016) Elder abuse:

- a. The device using harassing audio warnings interferes with the defendant's sleep causing sleep deprivation which is unhealthy for any elder or non-elder.
- b. The device also inflicts pain for the individual wearing it.
- c. The device has caused two fall injuries to knees, ankles, and elbow from tripping while walking or stepping down stairs.
- d. This device serves to reduce the quality of life for any elder or non-elder.
- e. This device also serves as a form of mental control.

- f. The device prevents physical activity necessary to maintain a healthy style.

Paperwork and records on hard copies and stored on defendant's computer were seized and in the custody and control of the prosecution. Those records are essential to the defense because they contain those records necessary to alibi, exonerate, exculpate and/or mitigate elements of the prosecution's case Colo. R. Crim. P. 16(1)(a)(2).

Additionally, all private property including, identification instrument, mail, cash, gold coin, PWRN notes no. 3020, 3021, and 3022, and family heirlooms held by the government that are irrelevant to this case be must returned forthwith. This is a consistent ongoing and never ending trend of the government taking property from the people. Failure to return any items not being used as evidence will demonstrate the prosecution's intent to commit theft.

There is no Republican form of government in the State of Colorado as required by the "Separation of Powers Doctrine," Articles I – III, and Article IV, section 4, Constitution for the United States of America (1789). A criminal insurgency (criminal oligarchy), as defined in a case named and numbered: In re Charge to Grand Jury, 62 F. 828 (1803), has taken total control of the Colorado State Government.

There are no Article III judicial courts of law or in equity within Colorado to provide remedy and relief for the People. There are no judges to hear judicial matters in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088 or to hear any other case because there are no judges lawfully holding office.

Unalienable Rights referenced in the Declaration of Independence are non-existent. As a result, a condition of Mixed War exists between the so-called public authority and the People in Colorado because the so-called public authority holds its position by tyranny and armed might over the People.

Surety

The Colorado Supreme Court Website for Attorney Search evidences that neither Michael James Spear, Robert Scott Shapiro, Michael Anthony Martinez, Diedre Wachbrit Braverman, nor Raymond P. Moore have professional liability insurance.

Evidence

The following evidence and other supportive documents are being kept in this court of public record all of which is available through the following web link: [http:// constitutionalgov.us/supremecourttoflaw/cases/Colorado](http://constitutionalgov.us/supremecourttoflaw/cases/Colorado).

The 18 U.S.C. § 4 Mixed War Affidavit to JAG Officers COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST evidence that Shapiro, who has violated his selective service oath to defend the constitution against all enemies foreign and domestic. Shapiro is committing act of treason by altering the form and administration of government in Colorado. Found in Boulder County Clerk and Records Office RF: 03656416

The COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST and subsequent Notice of Default, creates a Judgment in Commerce evidencing that Robert Scott Shapiro, prosecuting attorney in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088 is not an organic representative of the people of the State of Colorado. By reason the said Judgment in Commerce, the Denver District Court may not lawfully proceed. Found in Boulder County Clerk and Records Office RF: 03651728, 036546416.

The **SECOND COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST** and subsequent Notice of Default, creates a Judgment in Commerce evidencing that Robert Scott Shapiro, Michael James Spear, and Michael A. Martinez have rendered a malicious, felonious, and fraudulent Grand Jury Indictment in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088. By reason the said Judgment in Commerce, the Denver District Court may not lawfully proceed. Found in Boulder County Clerk and Records Office RF: 03654046, 03658561.

The **THIRD COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST** and subsequent Notice of Default evidences that Robert Shapiro, prosecuting attorney in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088, and Michael Spear Judge in the same case, are engaged in a malicious prosecution by a process that is laid down to deny me of the natural unalienable right to self-defense by use of Motions in Limine, a theoretical approach to actually destroy somebody. By reason the said Judgment in Commerce, the Denver District Court may not lawfully proceed. By reason the said Judgment in Commerce, the Denver District Court may not lawfully proceed. Found in Boulder County Clerk and Records Office RF: 03656417

THE MOTION IN LIMINE IN POLITICALLY SENSITIVE CASES: SILENCING THE DEFEND AT TRIAL, 39 Stan. L. Rev. 1271, July, 1987, Douglas L. Colbert

ADDENDUM I On 4/8/2018, I ordered an audio CD of the said hearing. I paid the \$35 fee when picked up the audio file in person from Denver District Courts Clerks office at LINDSEY-FLANIGAN COURTHOUSE, 520 West Colfax Avenue, Denver, Colorado.

JAILS, PRISONS, BONDS Research explaining how living souls are made prisoners for the making of Billions of Dollars for the slave making Governments and their Banking henchmen through incarcerations. Jack Smith and Gene Keating’s research. And **OWNERS OF THE PRISON SYSTEM IN AMERICA** Research and excerpts from **LETTERS FROM JAIL: Profiteering off the prisoners / Prime stockholders in Correction Corp. of America / Funding Streams Exposed / Corporate Public Private Scheme Exploiting, Criminalizing Vulnerable People From:** Lynn Schmaltz

THE RIGHT TO KEEP AND BEAR LIENS – by Hartford VanDyke...
<https://scannedretina.com/2013/11/03/the-right-to-keep-and-bear-liens>

I, Laurence Rene Goodman, certify and swear on my own Commercial Liability, that I have read the foregoing instrument, titled **FOURTH COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT – NOTICE OF INTEREST**. A Criminal Complaint and know the content thereof, and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, complete, and not misleading, the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I reserve the right and duty to update and correct this instrument as needed.

I, Laurence Rene Goodman autograph: Laurence Rene Goodman make this claim.

Michael A. Gallace
Witness

Cindy Moller
Witness

Michael A. Gallace

Cindy Moller