

DATE FILED: March 29, 2018 3:23 PM

EXHIBIT

1



LINDSEY-FLANIGAN COURTHOUSE, 520 West Colfax Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80204

An 18 U.S.C. § 4 Mixed War Affidavit to JAG Officers: [COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST]

The issue of this Notice: Criminal oligarchy acting in treason to the U.S. Military Selective Service oath.
 Donald Trump, U.S. President, 1600 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, DC 20500
 Secretary of Defense, 1000 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1000
 U.S. Navy, OJAG, 1322 Patterson Ave., Suite 3000 Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374 - 5066
 U.S. Navy, Staff Judge Advocate's Office, Larson Hall Annapolis, MD 21402 - 0000
 U.S. Army, OSJA - Criminal Law, 9990 Belvoir Drive, Building 257, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
 U.S. Army, JAG, Building 6222, 1633 Mekong St., Fort Carson, CO 80913
 U.S. Air Force, Buckley AFB, 460 SW/JA, 510 S. Aspen Street, Suite 230, Buckley, AFB, CO 80011
 U.S. Marine Corps Forces Reserve, Augment, Reinforce, Support, 2000 Opelousas Ave., New Orleans, LA 70114

THE PEOPLE [THE OFFICIALS]
 OF THE STATE OF COLORADO
 Plaintiff, [18 U.S.C. § 1621]

Case No. 17CR10088, [17CR10087] (GJ case No.: 16CR001)
COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST
 For a three week (21 day) statutory grace period
 for exhausting any commercial remedies.

v.

Laurence R. Goodman, P.O. Box 3792, Boulder, Colorado 80307
 and [Steve Byfield, U.S. Navy, honorably discharged in 1980, domestically still active.]
 Defendant.

[OFFICIAL] PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO
 The Mixed War belligerents (combatants),
 The Mixed War Offensive Declarant Parties

Robert S. Shapiro, et al.,
 Michael Spear, et al.

v.

Laurence R. Goodman,
 and [Steve Byfield, U.S. Navy, honorably discharged in 1980, domestically still active.]
 The Mixed War belligerents (combatants) common citizens
 Mixed War Defensive Party
 Defending the Constitution for the United States of America against all enemies foreign and domestic

This is not in a court jurisdiction; it is currently a Military Imperative!

Laurence R. Goodman,
 and [Steve Byfield, U.S. Navy, honorably discharged in 1980, domestically still active.]
 The Mixed War belligerents (combatants) common citizens
 Third party counter plaintiffs
 Defending the Constitution for the United States of America
 against all enemies foreign and domestic.

v.

[OFFICIAL] PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO
 Mixed War belligerents
 Mixed War declarant third parties including Deputy Attorney General, Robert Shapiro, "Esq. nobility class", U.S. Army
 Intelligence, who by declaring himself to be a member of the nobility class BAR Registration No. 26869, is deliberately
 violating the Constitution for the United States of America and military Selective Service oath by failing to defend the
Constitution for the United States of America against all enemies foreign and domestic.

State of Colorado)
 County of Denver) ss.

I, Laurence R. Goodman, one of the common People of Colorado, a defendant, and a third party counter plaintiff,
 and on the other side the commercial Enterprise known as [OFFICIAL] PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, cannot

proceed any further with Case No. 17CR10088, [et. Al.], without a response to the following COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST and counter claims:

Goodman and all the common People of Colorado have been denied a republican due process form of law, government resulting in a loss of constitutionally protected rights, liberty, and property to a criminal oligarchy [the BAR Association nobility], which has infiltrated all branches of the enterprise known as the Colorado State Government. It is the duty of the common People of Colorado under 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony) to report all crimes cognizable under the laws of the United States of America.

Mr. Robert Shapiro "Esq. nobility class", BAR Registration No. 26869, who was a U.S. Army Intelligence Officer, has presumably taken the Selective Service oath to defend the Constitution for the United States of America against all enemies foreign and domestic under 5 U.S.C. § 3331, an oath to serve the public, by public loyalty, and bonded by his life, an oath which never expires until the end of one's life or the complete healing of his battle injuries. By virtue of the nobility clauses: Article I, Sec. 9, Cl. 8, Article I Sec. 10, Cl. 1, and the original Amendment XIII Constitution for the United States of America (1819), Assistant Attorney General for Colorado, Shapiro has betrayed and is acting in treason against the Constitution by not honoring his said Selective Service oath, and working for a state government not loyal to the Constitution for the United States of America. See (Addendum I), two pages*.

Shapiro has violated his covenant oath with the Selective Service pursuant to the Uniform Code of Military Justice found at 10 U.S.C. § 899 and is using military skills against the common People of Colorado. Shapiro has combined with others to subject the common People of Colorado to a jurisdiction foreign to the Constitution and unacknowledged by their laws; giving his consent to other acts of pretended law. (See Addendum II), two pages*. Additionally, Shapiro has utilized FBI agents, other military personal who violated their covenant Selective Service oath, and to act in collusion with him to incite insurrection and to spy on the common People of Colorado. This included but was not limited to a Marshal Springer former Lance Corporal, USMC and Keith Heavilin, also a former U.S. Army Intelligence Officer.

Shapiro is attacking the common People of Colorado who are trying to correct the official criminal activity in Colorado. Shapiro is treating the common People of Colorado as criminals, which is disgusting and dishonorable, by putting them into jail for doing their civilian duty under title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). Those common People of Colorado have not received one dime of pay and have spent much of their own savings fighting this Mixed War. One of the common People of Colorado indicted for doing his duty under 18 U.S.C. § 4, defending the Constitution pursuant to his Selective Service Oath is Steve Byfield. He was on active duty in the U.S. Navy 1974-1980 serving aboard a guided missile cruiser as a third class petty officer electrician's mate in addition to serving on shore patrol when he was overseas. Steve Byfield was sentence to 30 years in Fremont Correctional Facility in Colorado as a political prisoner under the guise of the frivolous paperwork to prevent him from exposing the truth about the aforesaid criminal activity.

The Indictment against nine of the common People of Colorado was frivolous because it violates the truth. See (Addendum II), two pages*.

CERTIFICATION

I, Laurence R. Goodman, certify and swear on my own Commercial Liability, that I have read the foregoing instrument, titled COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT - NOTICE OF INTEREST, and know the content thereof, and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, complete, and not misleading, the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I reserve the right and duty to update and correct this instrument as needed.

Laurence R. Goodman make this claim.

Tom Cornell
Witness 3-16-2018

Maxwell Powers
Witness 03-16-2018

Addendum I *- Memorandum of Law The Colorado State Racketing Enterprise

The History of the Colorado Enterprise

The origin of the Colorado Attorney System

The common People/publics' legal documentary creations must be construed liberally because the common people are accustomed to using ordinary words from ordinary dictionaries. The common People/public are not given a formal education in the government's own self-created language and self-serving fantasies, fictions, and machinations, applied by the nobility class operating the judicial system.

To put it simply, the English attorney system is the foundation of the BAR association. [British Accreditation Registry]. The BAR Association is the British Labor Union of Law. It is Great Britain's method of controlling America from England. The Judges of the American courts are the labor union bosses of the closed union shops (courts) of the American branch of the English BAR association, and the American attorneys are the inferior judicial officers of the labor union shop (courts) of the American based English BAR association. Attorneys that operate as public defenders are licensed operators in the closed union shops, (courts). The legal system of the American BAR association is under absolute control of the judges. If an attorney does not absolutely obey the orders of the judge, the judge can exercise his power to take away his bar card. Simply put, if the common person on the street does not pay off to the BAR Association then that common citizen will be deprived of his due process of law. That condition is a form of racketeering. Therefore, the primary commercial racketeering Enterprise of the State of Colorado is a BAR Association protection insurance racket Enterprise.

The origin attorney system

The so called "Colorado Nine" have been accused of exercising or committing a racketeering enterprise. The state of Colorado is engaged in a racketeering enterprise. The Colorado State enterprise will now be described. Hereinafter, the Colorado State Enterprise will be referred to as "THE ENTERPRISE". The foundation of THE ENTERPRISE was established in approximately 1190 AD. Of course the State of Colorado was not in existence yet. To fully understand the foundation of THE ENTERPRISE, it is necessary to reach back a bit further in time. Like all other enterprises, its purpose was to provide money. This historical account will begin in old England. The Kings of England fought battles. Their military were called knights. The care takers and shield bearers of the Knights were called Esquires. A Knights' allegiance to the King was rewarded in landed property, what is now called "real estate". These Knights were called land-lords. Serfs tilled the land and the Knights profited from their labor. This was called the feudal system of England.

The Kings' necessity.

"Necessity is the mother of invention." (Plato) The Kings needed to raise money for their armies and their wars. The Jews of the time were a nomadic people. The Jews had been barred from owning real property and barred from joining trade guilds. So to speak, the Jews carried their property on their back and the tools of their trade in their brains. They became very clever with numbers, mathematics, and the sciences. The Jews had remarkable street savvy and success in the subject of handling money as bankers. The Jews had money to offer upon which they charged and gained interest. In order for the King to borrow the Jew' money, the King, had to come up with collateral, and that collateral consisted of real property, land. In order to get money from these bankers the King had to take back property from the Knights. This threatened to cause an upset in the social class structure of England. When property was transferred or attorned from Knight to Knight, the class structure had to be protected by a ceremony. The property was being turned over attorned. The ceremony was called attornment. The ceremony was conducted by an Esquire also known as an attorney. The purpose of the attorney was to guarantee the class structure of England, to keep the rich rich and make the poor poor.

The process of using real property, land, as collateral to guarantee the loaning of money, brought the English feudal system to an end. In 1215 AD the Magna Carta was established. Even more important, all of these events served to weld together, into one system of law, the common law of England and the commercial law of the Jews. By 1300 AD the kings thought they could do without the help of the Jews. For a detailed history of this period from 1190 AD to 1300 AD refer to the Georgetown Law Journal at vol. 71, pgs. 1179 to 1200, to an article titled "The Shetar's Effect on English Law -- A Law of the Jews Becomes the Law of the Land", authored by Judith A. Shapiro, a member of a famous family of attorneys. The figurehead that brought the Jews back into Europe was Mayer Amschel Rotchschild, who's famous quote was, "Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes its laws."

Addendum II*

The Indictment against nine of the common People of Colorado violates the truth.

Due Process: "No State shall pass any law impairing the Obligation of Contracts" Article I, Section 10, Clause 1, of the Constitution for the United States of America.

A form of Obligation of Contract for the collection of a debt stated on paper, is an Affidavit Invoice called a Lien. Such a Lien, under the conditions of Mixed War, or the deliberate intent to avoid the payment of a debt, has the power of a Letter of Marque and Reprisal, legally defined as a letter to march or cross boundaries and to seize property (take and return). Therefore, "No State shall pass any Law impairing a Lien."

Without Commercial Affidavit Invoices/Liens, nations could not collect debts from each other without war. Therefore, International Commercial Treaty Law mandates the continuity of the commercial collection process, which has, at its very foundation, the Commercial Lien.

Commercial Liens and Commercial Distresses are writings used to establish a citizen's reasonable right to act with Legal Force, and to seize property, to satisfy commercial obligations. Commercial Liens and Commercial Distresses can [pursuant to: 18 U.S.C. § 4 MANDATORY COMPLAINT and 42 U.S.C. § 1986 REASONABLE DILIGENCE] be lawfully used by a private citizen, or by a Public Minister, or by a Public Proxy, as weapons of self-defense and as weapons of Mixed War against corrupt officers of the government. Therefore, Commercial Liens and Commercial Distresses are akin to firearms, and are guaranteed to the citizen as lawful remedies under Amendment II of the Constitution for the United States of America, Right to keep and bear Arms.

Robert Shapiro, posing as a state officer, and the Denver District Court, have no commercial jurisdiction over Commercial Affidavit Liens, which are filed pursuant to the 18 U.S.C. § 4 mandate to report violations of the Constitution for the United States of America committed by persons unlawfully posing as real public officials. Therefore, the issues relating to the said Commercial Liens, as filed in state courts are erroneous and fraudulent, and must be dealt within a commercial court which is functionally legitimately and harmonious with the Constitution for the United States of America and the United States Selective Service Oath to defend that constitution.

The common People of Colorado are laying claim to the evidence of Shapiro's foregoing said error of due process. The indictment is constructed in a manner which is violation of the correct method of presenting the Nature and Cause of the Accusations which is guaranteed under the Amendment VI, Constitution for the United States of America. Shapiro's signature is illegible, the signature of a person who is arrogant and or does not want to accept responsibility for his action. When there is only one signature on a brief without any other witnesses, and that one signature is illegible, then the person who signed it, and is commercially responsible for it cannot be identified with any certainty. It has no commercial reality.

Additionally, the Indictment fails to tell the truth that the persons who are posing as public officials, who are required to know the law, who were notified that they are not doing their jobs, and who are receiving payment for services not rendered, are committing embezzlement, fraud, and theft. See reference to federal Case No. 1:17-cv-02151 below.

Shapiro cannot be trusted because he is acting in treason against his Selective Service Oath. No one has sworn to the truth of the Indictment. Therefore, the entire Indictment is hearsay F.R.E. 801.

Shapiro signed the Indictment for ulterior reasons; to make money; to satisfy the officials Shapiro worked with rather than to fulfill his obligation to this nation's Constitution and the nations Selective Service Oath; to conceal the crimes of other Colorado Officials; and Shapiro's retaliation for exposing those crimes 18 U.S.C. § 1513; and Shapiro is engaging in human trafficking of the common People of Colorado in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1994, 18 U.S.C. § 1581, 18 U.S.C. § 1589, 18 U.S.C. § 241, 18 U.S.C. § 242, 18 U.S.C. § 4, 18 U.S.C. § 3, 18 U.S.C. § 1622, and 18 U.S.C. § 1621.

By virtue of the following, Shapiro has no legitimate authority to issue an Indictment against any of the common People of Colorado: A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS, WINFRED P. ADAMS, Major, USAF, Retired v. GOVERNMENT OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, Case No. 1:17-cv-02151, filed in United States District Court in and for the District of Colorado, on September 5, 2017. As a result, the GOVERNMENT OF THE STATE OF COLORADO has acquiesced to the fact that there are no public officials lawfully holding public office in the State of Colorado.

Shapiro and the court have prevented me (Laurence R. Goodman Affiant) from presenting information, arguments, and filings in my own defense without the consent of my attorney. Shapiro and the person posing as a judge, Michael Spear, in Denver District Court Case No. 17CR10088 blocked all of my defense by imposing a motion in limine on me. That motion in limine was used by Shapiro and Spear as a weapon against me and my first amendment guarantees, which makes it practically impossible for me to obtain a fair trial. I have been prevented from filing any affidavits on my own behalf, that is a fine example of duress of threat, and denied the means to inspect discovery as a result the theft of private property.

"The prosecutor's recent expanded use of the motion in limine to exclude an entire defense threatens traditional concepts of criminal trial practice. When the courts requires the defense to respond to the government's filing of such a motion, it has already skewed the scales of justice by providing the prosecution with information on the defendant's case in advance of trial and consequently lightening the prosecutions burden of proof at trial. At the same time, the requirement of answering to this motion subverts three crucial rights of criminal defendants: the right against self-incrimination, the right to remain silent, and the right to be presumed innocent. When such motions are granted, a defendant's right to present a full defense becomes severely compromised. And in the context of trial by jury, the effect of granting such a motion may be to remove the determination of guilt or innocence from the jury's hands." ... "but also because it suppresses evidence concerning government policy that is essential to the cultivation of an informed citizenry." See Stanford Law Review, July 1987 vol. 39 pg. 1271 an article titled THE MOTION IN LIMINE IN POLITICALLY SENSITIVE CASES: SILENCING THE DEFENDANT AT TRIAL authored by Douglas L. Colbert.

A witness at a related trial, March 2018, Denver District Court, reported that one of Shapiro's victims, an American man named Bruce Doucette asked pointed questions and hypotheticals about the Seventh Amendment (the right to trial by jury). "Does it not say that common law applies?" Doucette asked the [FBI] agent. "No, that's a misinterpretation." FBI English replied on the stand. "Oh," Doucette answered meekly, then stalled for more than a minute... "So if somebody believes they have a constitutional right to do something, do you believe that they are committing a crime if they believe they are following the constitution?" "There...could still be [criminal] intent there," [FBI Agent], English replied after thinking a moment. FBI Agent English, exposed the paramount conversion of law in one rather unintelligible word: whereby the supreme law of the land is now a formulated jurisdictional nature process of 'Substantive rights/duties' and its handmaiden of 'Procedures'. An absolute defiance to the rules of the common law is a criminal, contemptible, insanity of evil perversion, to a quasi 1% house rule game of chance.

Conclusion

The Constitution for the United States of America, was established as a commercial contract with the world at large, known as a Commercial Treaty. It told, all the world, that the people of the United States of America would operate as a viable nation with full commercial respect for all of the other nations, in the conduct of international business, and pay its international trade debts to the other nations of the world. But a nation of people who violate the Constitution, and allow their leaders to violate the Constitution, will lose the economic benefits that the Constitution was designed to provide and protect, and that nation will lose the respect of the world, and be overrun by the other nations.