------ Forwarded Message ------From: Charles8854 <Charles8854@protonmail.com> Date: On Saturday, November 23rd, 2024 at 1:22 AM Subject: Charles Editing To Essential-Points, Eric's Email of Sept-11, ... Re: Seeming Failure of Alternative-Banking Plan, Lost Money, Traumatized Women, & Leaked Email. To: Eric Dinges <ericdingis@gmail.com> CC: Roxanne Dye <roxiedtn@gmail.com>, Charles-Cgus <Charles@gov1.us>

Eric;

Here-in below, i have edited-down to its essential-points, your most recent email, from September-11, & there-in replying to our emailed subject-line of:

"Subject: Re: Seeming Failure of Alternative-Banking Plan, Lost Money, Traumatized Women, & Leaked Email."

I have also inserted there-in, my own comments; where-in i attempt to bring your essential-points there-in into what i believe is more directly responding to the essential-issues involved in this case.

Please correct me if i am making any errors there-in.

And please elaborate, if you have the time; by composing & inserting your own additional-text into any reply-email which you might feel inspired to compose.

I intend to build further on what we can build our-own consensus around here; & i desire to keep you involved in every stage of these proceedings.

Here-in below is what i have worked-up thus far:

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Eric: "Greetings, I am writing to you in regards to the Depository and Exchange. ... the Hardware/Software package, the documents created and time spent over two months and a deposit to retain my services constitute legitimate expenses. All but my retainer also constitute legitimate deliverables ..."

CS: Re-Worded For Clarity:

 $\sim \sim \sim$ 

Eric claims that he spent over two months of his personal time & energies focused on creating various documents &

purchasing & engineering the "Hardware/Software Package" which he produced in his efforts to complete the project.

On its surface, this does seem to be a strong argument in Eric's favor.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Eric:

"This is my second attempt to rectify this situation. My first attempt was with the Founding Trustee ..."

CS: That would be 'Carrie Wagner', I do believe.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Eric:

"and was 1st told to deliver it to their office but when asked for a statement of agreement or something confering that all involved parties agreed, I was then told not to deliver said property."

CS: Yes; Lynn Wagner has affirmed to me, in the past, that, after she began to have concerns about 'Trust Issues' with Eric, on this issue, she advised her sister, Carrie Wagner, to 'Refuse Delivery' of the hardware which had been previously agreed on.

Apparently, Carrie followed Lynn's advice, & Refused Delivery of the product, just as Eric is here affirming.

While i do believe Lynn had some Legitimate Concerns here; I, personally would not have advised Carrie to Refuse Delivery of this product.

Here-under, & in my judgement, thus far; Eric does seem to now have some legitimacy in using this issue as a "mitigating factor", in these charges being brought against him.

Perhaps Lynn or Carry can counter-argue persuasively; but, imho, at this point, that still remains to be seen.

\*

Eric:

"It is the responsibility of you the investors to decide on how to move forward, as I was and am merely acting as a paid consultant and was not an investor or participant. ..."

CS: Re-Wording For Clarity:

~~~

Eric here seems to be saying, effectively, that, this entire project was not really his idea, & that it was the idea of the "investors or participants"; & that they contacted him, with their idea, because, they knew him to be a consultant professional in these areas.

I believe the Available Evidence shows clearly, that, Eric was More Actively "Participating" in the promotion of this project, than, that which his above-words here might seem to imply.

I think it likely, that, Eric will admit, that, Eric has here 'chosen his words poorly'; &, that, he did not mean to imply, that, he was not actively "participating" in raising-funds for this project, nor that he was not actively involved in organizing support-groups to gain this project's completion.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Eric:

"... those that suffered a loss of funds (due to their backing out 2 months into a startup) ...

CS: Re-Wording For Clarity:

 $\sim \sim \sim$ 

This argument does seem to be another "Mitigating Factor" in Eric's favor. When investors back-out of a project, & refuse delivery of the hard-ware involved in that project, then, the "interests of justice" mandate, that, this entirely new-event now needs to also be contemplated & adjudicated.

While Lynn & Carrie may have been entirely justified in refusing delivery of the hardware, (as remains to be shown); their decision to follow that course of action now makes this entire case more complex. \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Eric: "Please keep in mind ... I have not, nor can I make any guarantees or promises of any outcomes."

I do, however, want to try my best to help everyone ease their hardships. ..."

CS: Re-Wording For Clarity:

 $\sim \sim \sim$ 

I think it likely the Evidence will show, that,

Eric has not really done the best he is really capable of in his efforts at "easing the hardships" of everyone suffering economic-losses or other hardships as the direct result of the disastrous-results thus far for this entire project.

But, Eric has been making some powerful arguments to me, in our most recent phone conversation, on November-16th; which was the same day that Roxanne emailed-out our rough-draft of her Complaint Against Eric; &, here-under, it is possible, Eric may prove my presumptions here to be in error.

\*\*\*\*\*