https://wcca.wicourts.gov/pdfs/B4FD120608FBC26145C7B4FA98B45CA1.render6/criminalCaseDetails8028836195044377836.pdf

Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA)

State of Wisconsin vs. Jason J Goodwill

Sheboygan County Case Number 2013CF000360

One or more charges in this case were dismissed. The dismissed charges were not proven and have no legal effect. Jason J Goodwill is presumed innocent of the dismissed charges.

Notice to employers: It may be a violation of state law to discriminate against a job applicant because of an arrest or conviction record. Generally speaking, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant on the basis of a conviction only if the circumstances of the conviction substantially relate to the particular job. For more information, see <u>Wisconsin Statute 111.335</u> and the Department of Workforce Development's <u>Arrest and Conviction Records under the Law publication</u>.

Wisconsin Circuit Court Access (WCCA) State of Wisconsin vs. Jason J Goodwill

Sheboygan County Case Number 2013CF000360

Charge(s)/Sentence(s)

Charge Detail

The Defendant was charged with the following offense:

Count No. Statute Cite Description Severity Offense Date Plea

1 301.45(6)(a)1 Sex Registry Violation Felony H 12-17-2012 Not Guilty on 11-04-2013

On 01-31-2014 there was a finding of:

Action Court Official

Dismissed on Prosecutor's

Stengel, L Edward Motion

View Prior Charges in this Case



1	STATE OF WISCO	NSIN CIRCUIT COURT SHEBOYGAN COUNTY
2		BRANCH I
3	STATE OF WISCO	NSIN,
4	Plainti	ff,
5	vs	Case No. 13CF360
6	JASON J. GOODW	
7	Defendar	nt.
8 .		
9		TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10		
11	Proceeding:	Motion Hearing
12	Date:	January 31, 2014
13	Before:	HON. L. EDWARD STENGEL CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE
14		CIRCUIT COOKI JUDGE
15	Appearances:	Mr. James Haasch
16		Assistant District Attorney 615 North 6th Street
17		Sheboygan WI 53081 Appearing on behalf of the State
18		
19		Mr. Robert Wells 630 Riverfront Drive #110
20		Sheboygan WI 53081 Appearing on behalf
21		of Jason Goodwill, who appeared in person DEFENDANTS
22		EXHIBIT
		\$ NO. 4 No
23		
24	Reported by: J	Mudith A. Walters, Certified Court Reporter

25

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE COURT: State of Wisconsin versus Jason Goodwill. Mr. Goodwill is here in person by Attorney 3 Wells. Mr. Haasch on behalf of the state. Did we have 4 anything on the calendar other than motions in limine? 5 MR. HAASCH: No, and we can short circuit 6 7 that, Judge, because I have a motion. I will explain it in regards to this. For quite some time, I've been 8 . 9 trying to get in contact with a Tonya, T-O-N-Y-A, Lont, L-O-N-T. I have finally been able to do that through the 10 11 Department of Corrections. She is a social worker, at, I 12 believe -- I want to say at Jackson, but that may not be 13 the correct one.

In any event, at the preliminary hearing there was an exhibit marked, Exhibit No. 3, that was introduced by counsel. If you go to Paragraph 3 of that, that is the operative language plus the <u>Dinkins</u> case, and I will review that in a minute. In looking at the document initially, I was suspicious about the document because it does not contain any letterhead. It does not contain any official seal of the Department of Corrections, and I wanted to be sure that this was, in fact, written by Tonya Lont. She did indicate that she did author the October 10th, 2012 letter to Mr. Goodwill and explained in Paragraph 3 what she had meant by

1	maximum	discharge
2		It tal

requirements.

8 .

It talks in there with being a free man with no ties to DOC and for the Department of Corrections. The problem is on that basis, one may understand that he may not have the reporting agreement. The other problem, even more problematic and I have reviewed this ad nauseam and tried to find case law in opposition. There is none. That is the case of State of Wisconsin versus William
Dinkins, D-I-N-K-I-N-S, a Supreme Court case, 330 Wis.2d 591. We had somewhat broached this before, but it talks about an individual who does not have an address, who is homeless, not being able to comply with the reporting

Mr. Dinkins -- or Mr. Goodwill, apparently at the time of these instances, was homeless. I believe in talking with counsel now, he still does not have a home. I have discussed this with the district attorney in regards to this case. There is no way around proving this case beyond a reasonable doubt with the case law and that letter. And therefore, I'm afraid that I have to move to dismiss this case.

I asked Mr. Wells if Mr. Goodwill has a place to reside. He indicates at this point, no, that he was going to talk with him about Salvation Army, things of that nature. But from a legal standpoint, Judge, with

1	the facts and circumstances and the case law, and that
2	letter, this case can't go forward, and it should be
3	terminated now.
4	THE COURT: With respect to Exhibit 3, that's
5	not a part of the file that was withdrawn, and I don't
6	remember exactly now what the reason was.
7	MR. WELLS: Judge, we had not admitted it,
8	and I ask the right to withdraw that so I can make a
9	copy.
10	THE COURT: Sure. So I think for the
11	purposes of these proceedings, we will make what was
12	previously marked Exhibit 3 part of the record.
13	MR. HAASCH: Can you? And could you please
14	review Paragraph 3? I think it establishes somewhat I'm
15	talking about.
16	THE COURT: It's your call at this point,
17	Mr. Haasch.
18	MR. WELLS: Judge, the only thing I would is
19	that in response to that letter, Jason wrote that young
20	lady and said among other things, bus ticket, where? I
21	haven't been told how I can be released. What are the
22	conditions? What home? I haven't had a home since 2008.
23	This is a 2012 letter, Judge. When when it was
24	framed, unless you count years in the prison system. The
25	documents he filled out with her that day also indicated

1	he had no place to go, no family to help him. And
2	Dinkins had the Department of Corrections to help him
3	find a residence. That letter shows that Jason did not.
4	THE COURT: Well, I think certainly for the
5	reasons stated, that this is a decision made by the
6	prosecutor, that it is in the interest of justice, and
7	it's not one that we should necessarily feel bad about
8	making. It's the right call. Unfortunately, it could
9	have been made earlier perhaps.
10	MR. HAASCH: I wanted to be sure.
11	THE COURT: Sure. But the bottom line is,
12	for the purposes of those proceedings, the matter is
13	dismissed, and the defendant is released from any bond
14	that may be presently affecting his liberty.
15	Mr. Goodwill
16	MR. WELLS: I apologize. I was talking to
17	him.
18	THE COURT: Is he what was your question,
19	Mr. Goodwill?
20	MR. GOODWILL: I was just asking if this be
21	dismissed with prejudice? I'm also seeking possible
22	relief due to the fact that I was federally removed from
23	another state without a valid warrant and have been left
	in a city against whom I've got a federal lawsuit.
24	THE COURT: Well, with your respect to your
25	

1	request for it to be dismissed with prejudice, the Court
2	really doesn't have the authority to do that. There is
3	no way that prejudice has attached in the legal sense. I
4	appreciate, obviously, your circumstances but as it
5	relates to that term, I cannot grant that request.
6	It certainly sounds to me that Mr. Haasch has
7	made the determination as an experience prosecutor in
8	understanding the law at this point that this is not a
9	case that should go forward. So as far as future
10	prosecutions go in this jurisdiction, I think that would
11	be highly unlikely. As to any of the issues that
12	those aren't really before the Court as I'm sure you can
13	appreciate, all right? That's all. The matter is
14	dismissed.
15	MR. HAASCH: Thank you.
16	* * *
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
4	FOND DU LAC COUNTY)
5	I, Judith A. Walters, Certified Shorthand
6	Reporter and Notary Public, duly and qualified in and for
7	the State of Wisconsin, do hereby certify BY MY ORIGINAL
8	SIGNATURE that I reported these proceedings by means of
9	machine shorthand and that the foregoing is a full,
10	complete, and correct transcript of my stenographic notes
11	in said proceedings, as prepared by me via computer-aided
12	transcription.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative or
14	employee of either attorney or counsel; furthermore, I am
15	not financially interested in this action.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
17	and affixed by Notarial Seal this 28% day of October,
18	2016.
19	Audeth a Vaca
20	Judith A. Walters
21	Certified Court Reporter
22	My commission expires on April 17, 2020.
23	
24	

7

25