

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

David Schied and Cornell Squires,  
acting in the capacity of Private Attorney  
Generals (“PAGs”) *State Ex Rel*, and on  
behalf of *Sui Juris Grievants/Claimants and  
Crime Victims David Schied, Cornell Squires,  
and other people similarly situated*

Sixth Circuit COA Case No. 15-2464  
Lower Court Case  
No. 2:15-cv-11840  
District Court of the United States  
for the Eastern District of Michigan

v.  
Karen Khalil, et al

*Defendants* /

**PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERALS (“PAGs”) DAVID SCHIED’S AND  
CORNELL SQUIRES’ COMMON LAW**

**“CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE”**

**FOR**

**“ORDER OF EN BANC REVIEW AND REPORT”**

**ON PREVIOUSLY-FILED**

**“Quo Warranto Demand for Proving ‘Jurisdiction,’ Article III ‘Good Behavior,’  
and Authentication of Oaths and Bonds...”**

I hereby certify that on 11/14/16, I sent by U.S. Postal Service one  
“*original*” copy United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit for electronic  
filing and a duplicate copy of the cover pages of each of the below listed pages, for  
the purpose of the clerk time-stamping and returning back to me in the  
accompanying self-addressed stamped envelope (SASE).

- 1) **“Private Attorney Generals (“PAGs”) David Schied’s and Cornell Squires’  
Common Law ‘Order for En Banc Review and Answer in Report on ‘Quo  
Warranto’ Previously Filed Into the Sixth Circuit on 1/12/16 (as COA Docket  
Item #22) Along With 174 ‘Itemized Exhibits’ Which Contained Thousands of  
Pages of ‘Evidence of ‘Domestic Terrorism’; Yet Has Altogether Remained  
Unanswered for One Year by the 6<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court, by Means of Relegating  
Such Filing to ‘Tendered’ Status and Pending ‘Review’ by the Same ‘Clerk’  
Against Whom a ‘Writ of Error’ and Accompanying ‘Mandamus for Bond  
Surrender’ (COA DKT. #20) Had Been Issued on 12/28/15 by PAG David**

Schied, in a Case For Which Criminal Complaints Remain Pending Against Fifteen (15) 'Agents' of the United States and Its 'District Court, et al'; and Against Which a 'Default Judgment' and a \$230 MILLION Claim 'In Commerce' Has Been Well-Established and is Now 'In Collections'";

2) "Private Attorney Generals ("PAGs") David Schied's and Cornell Squires' Exhibits of Evidence' in Support of Allegations That the Judges of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals and the Federal 'District Court' Are Intentionally Fostering a 'Pattern and Practice' of Treasonous Corruption While Operating a Racketeering Enterprise and Crime Syndicate, and Instituting 'Domestic Terrorist Acts' Against the American People;

and,

"Private Attorney Generals ("PAGs") David Schied's and Cornell Squires' Exhibits of Evidence' in Support of a 'Common Law Claim in Commerce' for \$230 MILLION in Damages' Resulting From an Adjoining 'Pattern and Practice' Modeled Upon the 6<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court's Abstinence in Meaningfully 'Litigating the Merits' of Previously Filed 'Mandamus for Interlocutory Appeal' and Subsequently Filed (on 1/12/16 as COA Docket Item #22) 'Writ of Quo Warranto' Containing 108 'Itemized Exhibits' In Support of 'Predicate Level Criminal Claims' Against Both 'State' and 'Federal' Fiduciary 'Government' Actors, With Virtually All Being Common Members or Associates of the Corrupted 'State BAR of Michigan'

3) This instant "Certificate of Service";

Submitted by,



Dated 11/14/16

This "ex parte" action is being brought by:  
*Sui Juris Grievants/Claimants in Commerce / Crime Victims*  
*Next Friends and Co-Private Attorney Generals*  
**David Schied and Cornell Squires**

P.O. Box 1378  
Novi, Michigan 48376  
248-974-7703 (all calls are recorded)

Sent to: Clerk of the Court

David Schied  
P.O. Box 1378  
Novi, Michigan 48376  
248-974-7703

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT  
100 E. 5th Street, Rm. 540  
Potter Stewart U.S. Courthouse  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3988

1/14/16

Re: 1) filing of important documents related to a “Quo Warranto” that remains “tendered” and awaiting completion of processing; 2) Request for time-stamped copies of the cover pages (enclosed) for return to me via SASE

Dear Clerk of the Court,

Enclosed you will find ONE signed “*original*” of the filings listed in the attached “Certificate of Service.” The “Certificate of Service” shows that I have enclosed cover pages of certain listed documents for which I have also requested time-stamped copies returned back to me in the enclosed SASE. Please “*time-stamp*” and return ALL of them at your earliest convenience.

Note that in the past history of lower federal court actions, my requests for having time stamps on the Certificate of Service AND the cover pages of other documents submitted for that purpose have been ignored, implicating those in the court clerk’s office of contributing to the overall denial of Grievant David Schied to due process and proper record-keeping. Please reverse this “*pattern and practice*” and simply provide me in return what is requested in accordance with my right to have such an expectation be met.

Please note that the case to which this the “Quo Warranto” and this instant “En Banc” filing are both related (as indicated on the accompanying cover pages submitted herein for filing) are being filed “*in forma pauperis*” as I continue to be deprived of the proper funding to pay for this ongoing case filing. Please also note that, if a filing fee or other such cost is otherwise required, I request that the amount be deducted from the ledger of accounting that I am providing herein as proof of the debt owed to me by the UNITED STATES for \$230 MILLION. I wish such amount that you claim I might owe for this instant filing to be deducted from that amount with a statement of such action returned to me.

**PLEASE ALSO PROVIDE TO ME SEPARATELY A COPY OF THE “NCF” (“NOTICE OF DOCKET ACTIVITY” AS “PROOF” OF YOUR ELECTRONIC FILING(S), AS IT RELATES TO THIS INSTANT “EN BANC” FILING AND THE “QUO WARRANTO” THAT DOCKETING RECORDS REFLECT AS “TENDERED”.**

Any attempt to return these documents without processing will result in further damages against me being levied against you and calculated against the value of your Oath and Bond. Along those lines, I will repeat my request of one year ago to “Clerk Deborah Hunt” to “*please provide me with a copy of your Oath and Bond or the location where are found.*”

Respectively,

