DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES 1 (FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION)

David Schied and Cornell Squires,

Sui Juris Grievants/Private Attorney Generals

v. Case No. 2:15-cv-11840

Judge: Avern Cohn

Karen Khalil, et al

Defendants

PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERALS ("PAGs") SCHIED'S AND SQUIRES' "REPLY IN 'ORDER OF DENIAL' AGAINST THE FRAUDULENT 'RESPONSES', CHOCK FULL OF 'ERRORS AND OMISSIONS', FROM ZENNA ELHASAN, DAVIDDE STELLA, AND 'DOE' JEFFREY CLARK TO PAGS SCHIED'S AND SQUIRES' "ORDER OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT' ON NUMEROUS UNREBUTTED CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST DOES #1 THROUGH #4 AND...'CONSPIRACY TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT' BY MAGISTRATE STEPHANIE DAVIS....AND PAGS SCHIED'S AND SQUIRES' 'DENIAL OF ANY PROPOSED 'SUBSTITUTION' OF DEFENDANT 'DOE' CHARLES 'NO Appearance' Browning...AND PAGS SCHIED'S AND SQUIRES' 'OBJECTION' TO 'CRIMINALLY ACCUSED' STEPHANIE DAVIS' 'REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION' SUA SPONTE DISMISSAL AND TERMINATION OF ALL (9) PENDING MOTIONS'..."

AND

PAGS SCHIED'S AND SQUIRES' "ORDER" NAMING OF ZENNA "No Appearance"
ELHASAN AS "DOE #5" AND DAVIDDE STELLA AS "DOE #6" BASED ON PERSISTING
PATTERNS OF FRAUD, CORRUPTION, RACKETEERING, AND COVER-UP OF THE
"DOMESTIC TERRORISM" BEING COMMITTED BY THEIR CLIENTS OPERATING
CRIMINALLY AS THE "CHARTER COUNTY OF WAYNE"

AND

PAGs' FORMAL FILING OF ELEVEN (11) AUTHENTICATED CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS

¹ "The term 'District Courts of the United States,' as used in the rules, without an addition expressing a wider connotation, has its historic significance. It describes the constitutional courts created under article 3 of the Constitution. Courts of the Territories are legislative courts, properly speaking, and are not District Courts of the United States. We have often held that vesting a territorial court with jurisdiction similar to that vested in the District Courts of the United States does not make it a 'District Court of the United States." *Mookini v. United States*, 303 U.S. 201 (1938) citing from *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145, 154; *The City of Panama*, 101 U.S. 453, 460; *In re Mills*, 135 U.S. 263, 268, 10 S.Ct. 762; *McAllister v. United States*, 141 U.S. 174, 182, 183 S., 11 S.Ct. 949; *Stephens v. Cherokee Nation*, 174 U.S. 445, 476, 477 S., 19 S.Ct. 722; *Summers v. United States*, 231 U.S. 92, 101, 102 S., 34 S.Ct. 38; *United States v. Burroughs*, 289 U.S. 159, 163, 53 S. Ct. 574.

Sui Juris Grievants / Next Friends and Co-Private Attorney Generals David Schied and Cornell Squires

P.O. Box 1378 Novi, Michigan 48376 248-974-7703

Defendants

The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania

AND

American International Group, Inc.

Plunkett Cooney Charles Browning Warren White 38505 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304 248-901-4000

Defendants

Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority

James T. Mellon Mellon Pries, P.C. 2150 Butterfield Dr., Ste. 100 Troy, Michigan 48084-3427 248-649-1330

<u>Defendant</u>

Charter County of Wayne

Davidde A. Stella Zenna Elhasan Wayne County Corporation Counsel 500 Griswold St., 11th Floor Detroit, Michigan 48226 313-224-5030

Defendants

Karen Khalil
Redford Township 17th District Court
Cathleen Dunn
John Schipani
Redford Township Police Department
Joseph Bommarito
James Turner
David Holt
Jonathan Strong
"Police Officer" Butler
Tracey Schultz-Kobylarz
Charter Township of Redford
DOES 1-10

Jeffrey Clark, attorney Cummings, McClorey, Davis & Acho, P.L.C. 33900 Schoolcraft Rd. Livonia, Michigan 48150 734-261-2400 David Schied and Cornell Squires (hereinafter "PAGs Schied and Squires"), being each of the People², and having established this case as a suit of the sovereign³, acting in their own capacity, herein accept for value the oaths⁴ and

² PEOPLE. "People are supreme, not the state." [Waring vs. the Mayor of Savannah, 60 Georgia at 93]; "The state cannot diminish rights of the people." [Hertado v. California, 100 US 516]; Preamble to the US and Michigan Constitutions – "We the people ... do ordain and establish this Constitution...;" "...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves..." [Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455, 2 Dall (1793) pp471-472]: "The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative." [Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav. Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7]. See also, *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393 (1856) which states: "The words 'people of the United States' and 'citizens' are synonymous terms, and mean the same thing. They both describe the political body who, according to our republican institutions, form the sovereignty, and who hold the power and conduct the Government through their representatives. They are what we familiarly call the 'sovereign people', and every citizen is one of this people, and a constituent member of this sovereignty."

³ <u>McCullock v. Maryland</u>, 4 Wheat 316, 404, 405, states "In the United States, Sovereignty resides in the people, who act through the organs established by the Constitution," and <u>Colten v. Kentucky</u> (1972) 407 U.S. 104, 122, 92 S. Ct. 1953 states; "The constitutional theory is that we the people are the sovereigns, the state and federal officials only our agents." See also, <u>First Trust Co. v. Smith</u>, 134 Neb.; 277 SW 762, which states in pertinent part, "The theory of the American political system is that the ultimate sovereignty is in the people, from whom all legitimate authority springs, and the people collectively, acting through the medium of constitutions, create such governmental agencies, endow them with such powers, and subject them to such limitations as in their wisdom will best promote the common good."

⁴OATHS. <u>Article VI</u>: "This Constitution, and the laws of the United States... shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby; anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding... All executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and

bonds of all the officers of this court, including attorneys. Having already presented the initial causes of action to this Article III District Court of the United States as a court of record⁵, PAG Schied and PAG Squires hereby proceed according to the course of Common Law $^{\underline{6}}$.

This court and the opposing parties should all take notice WE DO NOT CONSENT to the reference of parties named as "grievants" and/or as Private Attorney Generals as otherwise being corporate fictions in ALL CAPS of lettering as "plaintiff" (e.g., "DAVID SCHIED, plaintiff"). Note that all "summons" were issued with notice to all co-Defendants that Grievant David Schied is "sui juris."

of the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this Constitution."

⁵ "A Court of Record is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial". [Jones v. Jones, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; Ex parte Gladhill, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See also, *Ledwith v. Rosalsky*, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689]. ⁶ COMMON LAW. – According to *Black's Law Dictionary* (Abridged Sixth Edition, 1991): "As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures [admiralty], the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs." "[I]n this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England." [1 Kent, Comm. 492. State v. Buchanan, 5 Har. & J. (Md.) 3G5, 9 Am. Dec. 534; Lux v. Ilaggin, G9 Cal. 255, 10 Pac. G74; Western Union Tel. Co. v. Call Pub. Co., 21 S.Ct. 561, 181 U.S. 92, 45 L.Ed. 765; Barry v. Port Jervis, 72 N.Y.S. 104, 64 App. Div. 268; U. S. v. Miller, D.C. Wash., 236 F. 798, 800.]

WE DO NOT CONSENT to the assignment of this case, otherwise attempted to be "filed" in Ann Arbor and ultimately filed in Flint, being subsequently sent to Detroit, in the heart of Wayne County, situated in a building believed to be leased by Defendant Charter County of Wayne to the United States District Court with a proven proclivity toward contributing to the domestic terrorism being carried out, hand-in-hand with state and county government imposters, as usurpers of The People's power and authority.

PRELIMARY STATEMENTS REGARDING THE CRIMINALITY BEHIND THE "RESPONSES" OF SO-CALLED "ATTORNEYS" ELHASAN AND STELLA BEING NAMED AS "DOE #5" AND "DOE #6" RESPECTIVELY ALONG WITH OTHER "STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN" CRIMINALS JAMES MELLON, JEFFREY CLARK, CHARLES BROWNING AND WARREN WHITE BEING NAMED LONG AGO AS "DOES #1 THROUGH 4" BY REASON OF THEIR SPECIFIC ACTS AND "AIDING AND ABETTING" OF "DOMESTIC TERRORISM"

The current case was initially brought 16 months ago in "Claim of Damages" and in official "Complaint" of Common Law Tort, Kidnapping, and False Imprisonment by Defendants, acting unconstitutionally in their private and individual capacities under color of law and/or in such matter that "shocks the conscience" of any rational person, and that constitutes a "State Created Danger;" which altogether comprises the elements of the initial allegation that the co-Defendants are "Domestic Terrorists" and with Grievant David Schied having placed his formal claim upon their \$100 BILLION "terrorism insurance" policy (i.e., the rider to their "excess 'errors and omissions' insurance" policy covering terrorism, including "domestic terrorism).

The allegations, supported by Evidence of FACTS and irrefutable sworn and notarized "Affidavits" of witnesses, contend that NONE of the named co-Defendants had any jurisdiction whatsoever for the commission of the alleged "acts of terrorism" and that, as a result, no amount of "immunity" is to be afforded, and for which proper "remedy" and penal action is warranted as a matter of state, federal, and international laws, not to mention also warranted by Customary Laws,

Common Laws, Human Rights Laws, the Law(s) of Nations, and the Laws of Commerce.

Since the onset of this instant case sixteen months ago, FACTS, EVIDENCE and UNREBUTTED SWORN STATEMENTS submitted by NOTARIZED AFFIDAVITS have been entered into this instant "Article III, Court of Record" calling attention to the "pattern and practice" being used by the District Court Clerk of the Court David Weaver, the (now "retired") Magistrate Michael Hluchaniuk, the ("replacement") Magistrate Stephanie Davis, and the six attorneys involved in this case [James Mellon, Jeffrey Clark, Charles Browning, Warren White (respectively named "DOES #1 through #4"), Zenna Elhasan, and Davidde Stella), as being all common members of the very same State BAR of Michigan. Moreover, the 92-year old Avern Cohn – who has tortuously and criminally acted with dereliction, gross negligence, misfeasance, and/or malfeasance, in the face of both "show cause" writ(s) and "order" for his "competency hearing," to continually allow "repeated frauds" to be persistently perpetuated and compounded upon this "Article III Court of Record" by his fellow State **BAR of Michigan members** – is also being formally named herein as a "judicial usurper" based upon his "pattern and practice" of "affirmative" acts of inaction on this case, when the "call to duty" under his Oath of Office, under his lifetime assigned position as an Article III "judge," under 18 U.S.C. § 4 ("Misprision of

<u>Felony</u>"), and under <u>18 U.S.C. § 2382</u> ("<u>Misprision of Treason</u>") has otherwise required him to act differently, in the interest of the National Security of ("We") the People of the United States of America.

In light of these compounded criminal offenses, being committed and covered up by a widespread crime syndicate amounting to "*Domestic Terrorism*" as defined by the United States Secretary of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI), the following documents are being hereby ENTERED into this instant Article III Court of Record, and incorporated by reference as "EXHIBITS" into this instant "*Reply*" by Private Attorney Generals/Co-Grievants David Schied and Cornell Squires:

- 1) "EXHIBIT #1" captioned as (Sworn and Notarized) "(United States

 Department of Justice) "Complaint: Alleging Failure of Department of

 Justice Employee to Provide Rights to a Crime Victim Under the Crime

 Victims' Rights Act of 2004" (4 pages of USDOJ "form" complaint plus 1

 page of "Jurats' Notarization and Authentication Page" with notary seal)
 - a) This document references and underscores the "<u>Exhibit A</u>" to the previously filed "<u>Order of Default Judgment....and...Objection to Criminally Accused</u>

 <u>Stephanie Davis 'Report and Recommendation....</u>" to which the coDefendants filed their recent "<u>Response(s)</u>" and to which this instant action is filed in "<u>Reply</u>" by PAGs Schied and Squires;

- b) "Exhibit A" of the "Order of Default Judgment...." filing contained 61 "unrebutted sworn and notarized Affidavits" as "exhibits" of Evidence;
- c) The "<u>Complaint: Alleging Failure....Crime Victims' Rights Act of 2004</u>" also references the "<u>Sworn and Notarized Criminal Complaint of David Schied (2/10/10)</u>" which appeared as one of the 61 "<u>exhibits of Evidence</u>" contained in "<u>Exhibit A</u>" of that previous filing of "<u>Order of Default Judgment...</u>", which can be located at:

http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015 SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEDM/082516 MyDefaultJudgmntFolwupCrimeRpt&MemofPeoplesRights/MyExhibits/Exhibits2AffidavitFollowUp/EX 24 2010SwornCrimComplaint compreh2SixthCirAGHolder.pdf

d) The content of the "Sworn and Notarized Criminal Complaint of David

Schied (2/10/10)" contains 49 pages of explicitly named people, events, and crimes committed by the categorized list of people associated with the offices of the Wayne and Washtenaw prosecutors, the Michigan State

Police, the Northville Police Department, the Wayne and Washtenaw sheriffs' departments, the Wayne County Circuit Court, the Ingham County Circuit Court, the Michigan Supreme Court, the Office of the Michigan Attorney General, the Office of multiple U.S. Attorney(s) for the Eastern District of Michigan, the FBI and numerous other offices associated with the United States Department of

- Justice (USDOJ) including the two U.S. Attorney Generals holding office prior to USAG Loretta Lynch, the Michigan Court of Appeals, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.
- e) The "<u>Complaint: Alleging Failure....Crime Victims' Rights Act of 2004</u>" also references the dereliction of the current U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade and her minions of "assistants" when disregarding and/or rejecting numerous previous criminal complaints and demands for forwarding these criminal complaints to the federal "<u>special grand jury</u>" as required under <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § 3332.
- 2) "EXHIBIT #2" This exhibit of Evidenced contains eleven (11) separate sets of documents (i.e., one page of "Criminal Complaint" followed by one "Jurats' Notarization and Authentication Page" constituting one separate set), with EACH of the eleven sets captioned as "(United States District Court) 'Criminal Complaint'."
 - a) Each of the eleven (11) sets of "<u>Criminal Complaints</u>" alleged the following *minimum* number of crimes as listed below in direct quote:
 - 1. <u>18 U.S.C. § 4</u> "Misprision of Felony";
 - 2. <u>18 U.S.C. § 2382</u> "Misprision of Treason";
 - 3. <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § <u>242</u> "Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law";
 - 4. 18 U.S.C. § 241 "Conspiracy Against Rights";
 - 5. 18 U.S.C. § 1341 "Frauds and Swindles"

- 6. <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1505</u> "Obstruction of Proceedings Before Departments, Agencies, and Committees";
- 7. <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1512</u> "Tampering With a Witness, Victim, or an Informant";
- 8. <u>18 U.S.C. § 1513</u> "Retaliating Against a Witness, Victim, or an Informant.
- b) The above-listed were applied as listed on EACH of the "Criminal Complaints" that are herein being filed against EACH of the following individuals in their private capacities (i.e., each set of 2-page "*Criminal Complaint* + "*Jurats' Notarization and Authentication*" is included herein as "Exhibit #2," being one set for each of the following named people:
 - 1. Avern Cohn 92-year old U.S. District Court "judicial usurper";
 - 2. Michael Hluchaniuk (now retired) USDC "magistrate usurper";
 - 3. Stephanie Davis USDC "magistrate usurper";
 - 4. David Weaver USDC "'clerk-of-the-court' usurper";
 - 5. John Clark Michigan "Assistant Attorney General" and "'officer of the court' usurper"
 - 6. James Mellon "DOE #1" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 - 7. Jeffrey Clark "DOE #2" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 - 8. Charles Browning "DOE #3" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 - 9. Warren White "DOE #4" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 - 10. Zenna Elhasan "DOE #5" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 - 11.Davidde Stella "DOE #6" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";

CONTROLLING OR MOST APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY FOR RELIEF

The previous-filed 50-page "<u>Memorandum of Law</u>" ² that was completely and conspicuously ignored by all of the co-Defendants and their attorneys. <u>It is</u> therefore – by DEFAULT – the most *appropriate* authority pertaining to this entire matter because it addresses the following unaddressed matters of fact, and <u>unrefuted</u> matters of law:

- 1) This case involves Constitutional Tort claims characterized as "backward-looking right-of-access (to court)" cases filed in "joinder" and in claim of Fundamental Rights under the First Amendment's "Petition Clause."
- 2) This case involves basic notions of duty, breach, causation and damages of Common Law Torts that apply to Constitutional actions such as been prosecuted by Grievants/Private Attorney Generals (PAGs) David Schied and Cornell Squires. Therefore, those acting in the capacity of government officials need to be punished civilly and criminally in order to deter the furthering of unconstitutional behaviors that have, in this case, escalated into "Domestic Terrorism" by definition.
- 3) This case involves Allegations and Evidence of a "pattern and practice" of felony misconduct, government usurpation, racketeering, treason, and domestic terrorism, which preclude dismissal of this case for lack of statutory provisions for punishment.
- 4) This case also involves Allegations and Evidence of a "pattern and practice" of unconstitutional discrimination and a regulation against state citizens with claims in commerce against the surety of state employees and the performance guarantees of the Oaths of Office of those public functionaries. As such, interfering with the claims against quasi-government contracts of interstate commerce, claims against public official liabilities, and claims against statutorily ordered securities and guarantees by constitutional Oaths, are constitutional violations in and of themselves, as well as matters of 'important' public interest, and giving cause for the Private Attorney General(s) to intervene in this case in the public interest and as a matter of 'Important Right'.

Other controlling laws, as referenced in "Exhibit #2" referenced above include:

http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-

⁷ What follows is cited directly from the <u>Table of Contents</u> of this memorandum, which can be found in the Article III Court of Record publicly posted online at:

schied/2015 SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEDM/033116 PAGsSchied&Squires Joinderof-14-

ClaimantsCrimeVictims/CoverFiling&MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/Memorandumof

18 U.S.C. § 4; 18 U.S.C. § 2382; 18 U.S.C. § 242; 18 U.S.C. § 241; 18

U.S.C. § 1341; 18 U.S.C. § 1505; 18 U.S.C. § 1512; 18 U.S.C. § 1513; and the

Crime Victims' Rights Act of 2004, and Constitution of Michigan of 1963 (Art. I, § 24 – "Rights of Crime Victims").

BASIS FOR "ORDER OF DENIAL" OF CO-DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT "RESPONSES" TO PAGS'/GRIEVANTS' PREVIOUS FILINGS, FOR NAMING ZENNA "No Appearance" ELHASAN AS "DOE #5" AND DAVIDDE STELLA AS "DOE #6", AND FOR FILING ADDITIONAL "STYLES" OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS HEREIN

PAGs/Grievants Schied and Squires incorporate the entirety of the following previous federal filings of this case, inclusive of all supporting "*Exhibits*" as if written herein verbatim:

- 1) "<u>Grievants' Second (2nd) Decline to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction</u>"; (See "<u>EXHIBIT #3</u>" as the time-stamped "cover page" for this filing dated 5/19/16.)
- 2) "Private Attorney Generals ("PAGs") David Schied's and Cornell Squires' 'Reply' to Attorneys James Mellon's Fraudulent 'Response' on Behalf of the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority's ("MMRMA's") to Grievants' Second (2nd) Decline of Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction"; (See "EXHIBIT #4" as the timestamped "cover page" for this filing dated 6/10/16.)
- 3) "'Writ for Show Cause' in Response to Repeated Fraudulence Compounded by Court Clerks and Other 'Judicial Officers'; and 'Order to Strike' Defendant Filings as a Result of 'Redford' and 'MMRMA' Co-Defendants Working 'In Concert' with 'DOE #1' (James Mellon) and 'DOE #2' (Jeffrey Clark) to Further Defraud This Court Under Claim That Grievant(s) Were 'Served' With 'Redford Defendants' Motion Seeking Stay of Submissions and Proceedings...' When No Such Service Occurred in Fact, Thus Warranting This 'Order to Strike' and 'Order for Competency Hearing on 91-Year Old Avern Cohn on His Failure to Respond to Previous 'Writ for the Judge Avern Cohn to Show Cause and Reason for a 10-Month Obstruction of Grievants' First Amendment Right to Access This District Court of the United States...By His Persistent Failure to Act Upon Reports of Crimes Committed by Defendants' Attorneys and Upon Grievant Reporting the Theft of Court Documents by Clerks of the Federal Court in May of 2015"; (See "EXHIBIT #5" as the time-stamped "cover page" for this filing dated 6/21/16.)

- 4) "Private Attorney Generals ('PAGs') David Schied's and Cornell Squires' 'Writ of Error and Criminal Complaint' Against 'Order' and Other Acts of Dereliction and 'Conspiracy to Fraud Upon the Court' as Committed on or About 6/30/16 by Magistrate Stephanie Davis';" (See "EXHIBIT #6" as the time-stamped "cover page" for this filing dated 7/20/16.)
- 5) "Private Attorney Generals ("PAGs") David Schied's and Cornell Squires' 'Order of Default Judgment' on Numerous Unrebutted Criminal Allegations Against DOES #1 through #4 (James Mellon, Jeffrey Clark, Warren White and Charles 'No Appearance' Browning) and Other Acts of Dereliction and 'Conspiracy to Fraud Upon the Court' as Committed Between 6/30/16 and 8/11/16 by Magistrate Stephanie Davis'; and 'Denial of Any Proposed 'Substitution' of Defendant 'DOE' Charles 'No Appearance' Browning for 'DOE' Warren White as Attorney 'Representing' Co-Defendants 'AIG' and 'ICSOP'; and 'Objection' to 'Criminally Accused' Stephanie Davis' 'Report and Recommendation 'Sua Sponte Dismissal and Termination of All (9) Pending Motions' Based on 'More Fraud'"; (See "EXHIBIT #7") as the timestamped "cover page" for this filing dated 8/25/16.)

It is clear by gross number of "errors and omissions" that were affirmatively constructed into the two "Response" filings of Co-Defendants "Charter County of Wayne" and "Redford" and their "DOE" attorneys – being these Co-Defendants' fraudulent falsification of "facts" in claim that PAGs/Grievants did not address the content of Stephanie Davis' fraudulent 'Report and Recommendation,' while themselves failing entirely to address the "fact" that NONE of the attorneys or Stephanie Davis have addressed the many facts, as supported by over 50 sworn and notarized Affidavits about other facts filed in this case that were utterly ignored by the Co-Defendants, their attorneys as "DOES" and by "magistrate" Stephanie Davis – constitutes wholesale fraud.

CONCLUSION IN 'DENIAL' AND 'ORDER' OF RELIEF

As the maxim of law hold that "fraud vitiates all that it touches," the entirety of BOTH Co-Defendants' filings are hereby ORDERED a nullity, DENIED, and to

be held as CRIMINAL EVIDENCE against them. For the same reasons, Elhasan and Stella are ORDERED herein to be named as "<u>DOE #5</u>" and "<u>DOE #6</u>" respectively.

Additionally, because no "judicial official" has done their DUTY under the Michigan state statutes cited in the referenced 50-page "Memorandum of Law" referenced above, or as cited in the federal criminal codes also referenced above – in response to the numerous "Sworn and Notarized 'Affidavits' and 'Crime Reports'" referenced in the above-cited previous court filings, PAGs/Grievants have herein entered the latest "style" of their ongoing CRIME REPORTS by affixing abbreviated versions of previously-reported crimes onto the "forms" known to be recognized by the U.S. Attorney General in Washington, D.C., and by all "court officials" operating this U.S. District Court as a matter of this Article III Court.

Finally, as a proximate cause of the damages incurred against PAGs/Grievants and the other "*joinders*" litigants in this matter, and as referenced in prior filings, Co-Defendants and their attorneys – all acting in their private capacities to be "*accessories after the fact*" in covering up the tort common law crimes as repeatedly reported – are ORDERED to being assessed and charged with \$10,000 per count, per person as collectable under the Laws of Commerce. They thus have 90 days from the date of this filing to cure that debt or suffer the consequences.

Respectively,

Daniel Chaftelpiphie (all rights reserved) Dated: 9/11/16

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES (FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION)

David Schied and Cornell Squires,

Sui Juris Grievants/Private Attorney Generals

v.

Case No. 2:15-cv-11840

Judge: Avern Cohn

Karen Khalil, et al

Defendants /

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The following documents were submitted for filing with the Clerk of the federal Court located in Flint, Michigan on 9/11/16 in the above-captioned case, sent by First Class U.S. Mail delivery, with one "Court" original and one identical copy for the "judge" assigned to this case. Additionally, a copy of these filings was furnished to the attorneys ("DOES") for the Co-Defendants "Charter County of Wayne" (Elhasan and Stella) and for "Redford" (Clark). Copies of this Certificate of Service only was sent to the other attorneys ("DOES") of this Article III Court of Record (Browning, White, and Mellon).

- 1) "Private Attorney Generals ('PAGs') Schied's and Squires' 'Reply in 'Order of Denial' Against the Fraudulent 'Responses', Chock Full of 'Errors and Omissions', from Zenna Elhasan, Davidde Stella, and 'DOE' Jeffrey Clark to PAGs Schied's and Squires' 'Order of Default Judgment' on Numerous Unrebutted Criminal Allegations Against DOES #1

 Through #4 and... 'Conspiracy to Fraud Upon the Court' by Magistrate Stephanie

 Davis...and PAGs Schied's and Squires' 'Denial of Any Proposed 'Substitution' of Defendant 'DOE' Charles 'No Appearance' Browning...and PAGs Schied's and Squires 'Objection to 'Criminally Accused' Stephanie Davis' 'Report and Recommendation' Sua Sponte Dismissal and Termination of All (9) Pending Motions'...";
- 2) "PAGs Schied's and Squires' 'Order' Naming of Zenna 'No Appearance' Elhasan as "DOE #5' and Davidde Stella as 'DOE #6' Based on Persisting Patterns of Fraud, Corruption,

 Racketeering, and Cover-Up of the 'Domestic Terrorism' Being Committed by Their Clients

 Operating Criminally as the 'Charter County of Wayne'";
- 3) "PAGs' Formal Filing of Eleven (11) Authenticated Criminal Complaints" against the following named individuals on eleven of the AO-91 "forms" of the U.S. District Court:
 - a) Avern Cohn 92-year old U.S. District Court "judicial usurper";
 - b) Michael Hluchaniuk (now retired) USDC "magistrate usurper";
 - c) Stephanie Davis USDC "magistrate usurper";
 - d) David Weaver USDC "'clerk-of-the-court' usurper";
 - e) John Clark Michigan "Assistant Attorney General" and "'officer of the court' usurper"
 - f) James Mellon "DOE #1" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 - g) Jeffrey Clark "DOE #2" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";

- h) Charles Browning "DOE #3" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
- i) Warren White "DOE #4" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
 j) Zenna Elhasan "DOE #5" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
- k) Davidde Stella "DOE #6" and USDC "'officer of the court' usurper";
- 4) (Sworn and Notarized) "(United States Department of Justice) 'Complaint: Alleging Failure of Department of Justice Employee to Provide Rights to a Crime Victim Under the Crime Victims' Rights Act of 2004" (4 pages of USDOJ "form" complaint plus 1 page of "Jurats' *Notarization and Authentication Page*" with notary seal)
- 5) This "Certificate of Service"

Schuf wopepilie

Respectfully submitted,

David Schied

9/11/16

David Schied P.O. Box 1378 Novi, Michigan 48376

9/11/16

Clerk of the Court District Court of the United States Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 600 Church St. Rm, 140 Flint, Michigan 48502 313-234-5000

Re: 1) filing of documents; 2) Request for time-stamped copies returned via SASE

Dear Clerk of the Court,

Enclosed you will find one signed "original" and one "judge's" copy of the filings listed in the attached "Certificate of Service." Note that I have also included a copy of the cover page for EACH of the eleven (11) <u>Authenticated Criminal Complaints</u>" – against eleven named individuals – on <u>eleven</u> of the AO-91 "form" of the U.S. District Court

Please make sure that ALL THIRTEEN PAGES are time stamped for my records as requested herein. Attached to this letter is a Self-Addressed Stamped Envelope (SASE) with extra copies of the cover pages of these THIRTEEN documents. Please "time-stamp" and return ALL of them at your earliest convenience.

Note that in the past history of lower federal court actions, my requests for having time stamps on the *Certificate of Service* AND the cover pages of other documents submitted for that purpose have been ignored, implicating those in the court clerk's office of contributing to the overall denial of Grievant David Schied to due process and proper record-keeping. Additionally, I have documented that despite my sending these documents to the District Court clerk in Flint where I hand-deliver other filings and receive time-stamps from that Flint office, when received in the mail the Flint court causes an extra delay in the filing and time-stamping of records by forwarding these records to Detroit instead of immediately time-stamping and entering these documents into the Federal court record. Please reverse this "pattern and practice" and simply provide me in return what is requested right away in accordance with my right to have such an expectation be met, and so not to continue prejudicing this case against me by falsification of the actual record of "service" upon this federal court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

Thank you.

Respectfully,