

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES ¹
(FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION)

David Schied,

Sui Juris Grievant

Case No. 2:15-cv-11840

v.

Karen Khalil, et al

Judge: Avern Cohn

Defendants /

PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERALS (“PAGs”) DAVID SCHIED’S
AND CORNELL SQUIRES’ “WRIT OF ERROR

and

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT”

AGAINST “ORDER” AND OTHER ACTS OF DERELICTION AND “CONSPIRACY TO
FRAUD UPON THE COURT” AS COMMITTED ON OR ABOUT 6/30/16 BY
MAGISTRATE STEPHANIE DAVIS

¹ "The term 'District Courts of the United States,' as used in the rules, without an addition expressing a wider connotation, has its historic significance. It describes the constitutional courts created under article 3 of the Constitution. Courts of the Territories are legislative courts, properly speaking, and are not District Courts of the United States. We have often held that vesting a territorial court with jurisdiction similar to that vested in the District Courts of the United States does not make it a 'District Court of the United States.'" *Mookini v. United States*, 303 U.S. 201 (1938) citing from *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145 , 154; *The City of Panama*, 101 U.S. 453 , 460; *In re Mills*, 135 U.S. 263, 268 , 10 S.Ct. 762; *McAllister v. United States*, 141 U.S. 174, 182 , 183 S., 11 S.Ct. 949; *Stephens v. Cherokee Nation*, 174 U.S. 445, 476 , 477 S., 19 S.Ct. 722; *Summers v. United States*, 231 U.S. 92, 101 , 102 S., 34 S.Ct. 38; *United States v. Burroughs*, 289 U.S. 159, 163 , 53 S. Ct. 574.

*Sui Juris Grievants / Next Friends and
Co-Private Attorney Generals
David Schied and Cornell Squires*

P.O. Box 1378
Novi, Michigan 48376
248-974-7703

Defendants

**The Insurance Company of the
State of Pennsylvania**

AND

American International Group, Inc.
Plunkett Cooney
Charles Browning
Warren White
38505 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
248-901-4000

Defendants

**Michigan Municipal Risk
Management Authority**
James T. Mellon
Mellon Pries, P.C.
2150 Butterfield Dr., Ste. 100
Troy, Michigan 48084-3427
248-649-1330

Defendant

Charter County of Wayne

Davidde A. Stella
Zenna Elhasan
Wayne County Corporation Counsel
500 Griswold St., 11th Floor
Detroit, Michigan 48226
313-224-5030

Defendants

**Karen Khalil
Redford Township 17th District Court
Cathleen Dunn
John Schipani
Redford Township Police Department
Joseph Bommarito
James Turner
David Holt
Jonathan Strong
"Police Officer" Butler
Tracey Schultz-Kobylarz
Charter Township of Redford
DOES 1-10**

Jeffrey Clark, attorney
Cummings, McClorey, Davis & Acho, P.L.C.
33900 Schoolcraft Rd.
Livonia, Michigan 48150
734-261-2400

David Schied and Cornell Squires (hereinafter “PAGs Schied and Squires”), being each **of the People**², and having established this case as a *suit of the sovereign*³, acting in their own capacity, herein accept for value the oaths⁴ and

² PEOPLE. “*People are supreme, not the state.*” [*Waring vs. the Mayor of Savannah*, 60 Georgia at 93]; “*The state cannot diminish rights of the people.*” [*Hertado v. California*, 100 US 516]; Preamble to the US and Michigan Constitutions – “*We the people ... do ordain and establish this Constitution...*” “*...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves...*” [*Chisholm v. Georgia* (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455, 2 Dall (1793) pp471-472]: “*The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative.*” [*Lansing v. Smith*, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7]. See also, *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393 (1856) which states: “*The words 'people of the United States' and 'citizens' are synonymous terms, and mean the same thing. They both describe the political body who, according to our republican institutions, form the sovereignty, and who hold the power and conduct the Government through their representatives. They are what we familiarly call the 'sovereign people', and every citizen is one of this people, and a constituent member of this sovereignty.*”

³ *McCullock v. Maryland*, 4 Wheat 316, 404, 405, states “*In the United States, Sovereignty resides in the people, who act through the organs established by the Constitution,*” and *Colten v. Kentucky* (1972) 407 U.S. 104, 122, 92 S. Ct. 1953 states; “*The constitutional theory is that we the people are the sovereigns, the state and federal officials only our agents.*” See also, *First Trust Co. v. Smith*, 134 Neb.; 277 SW 762, which states in pertinent part, “*The theory of the American political system is that the ultimate sovereignty is in the people, from whom all legitimate authority springs, and the people collectively, acting through the medium of constitutions, create such governmental agencies, endow them with such powers, and subject them to such limitations as in their wisdom will best promote the common good.*”

⁴ OATHS. Article VI: “*This Constitution, and the laws of the United States... shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby; anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding... All executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and*

bonds of all the officers of this court, including attorneys. Having already presented the initial causes of action to this Article III District Court of the United States as a *court of record*⁵, *PAG Schied* and *PAG Squires* hereby proceed according to the course of Common Law⁶.

This court and the opposing parties should all take notice **WE DO NOT CONSENT to the reference of parties named as “grievants” and/or as Private Attorney Generals as otherwise being corporate fictions in ALL CAPS of lettering as “plaintiff”** (e.g., “DAVID SCHIED, plaintiff”). **Note that all “summons” were issued with notice to all co-Defendants that Grievant David Schied is “sui juris.”**

of the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this Constitution."

⁵ "A Court of Record is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial". [*Jones v. Jones*, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; *Ex parte Gladhill*, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See also, *Ledwith v. Rosalsky*, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689].

⁶ COMMON LAW. – According to *Black’s Law Dictionary* (Abridged Sixth Edition, 1991): “As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures [admiralty], the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs.” “[I]n this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England.” [1 Kent, Comm. 492. *State v. Buchanan*, 5 Har. & J. (Md.) 3G5, 9 Am. Dec. 534; *Lux v. Ilaggin*, G9 Cal. 255, 10 Pac. G74; *Western Union Tel. Co. v. Call Pub. Co.*, 21 S.Ct. 561, 181 U.S. 92, 45 L.Ed. 765; *Barry v. Port Jervis*, 72 N.Y.S. 104, 64 App. Div. 268; *U. S. v. Miller*, D.C. Wash., 236 F. 798, 800.]

WE DO NOT CONSENT to the assignment of this case, otherwise attempted to be “*filed*” in Ann Arbor and ultimately filed in Flint, being subsequently sent to Detroit, in the heart of Wayne County, situated in a building believed to be leased by Defendant Charter County of Wayne to the United States District Court with a proven proclivity toward contributing to the *domestic terrorism* being carried out, hand-in-hand with state and county government imposters, as usurpers of *The People’s* power and authority.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Concise statements of the issues presented.....1

Controlling or most appropriate authority for relief.....1

Writ of Error and Criminal Complaint against Stephanie by acts of
dereliction and ‘conspiracy to fraud upon the court’3

The *Reasons* stated above preclude Stephanie Davis having any ‘*official*’
authority to act in this case, or of having any ‘*personal*’ authority
to issue *any* type of ‘*order*’ to Grievant without committing a
tortuous criminal offense requiring remediation and remedy,
without ‘*immunity*’12

Conclusion in ‘Denial’ and Order of Relief.....16

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

UNITED STATES

Constitution, Article III.....1, 5, 7, 12

Constitution, First Amendment.....16

Common Law.....1-2, 16

Criminal Law.....16

Judge-Made Law.....16

Laws of Custom.....16

Law of Nations.....16

Laws of Commerce.....16

Natural Law.....16

OTHER

Previously filed "Memorandum of Law"1, 5, 13-14

CONCISE STATEMENTS OF THE ISSUES PRESENTED

- 1) Whether the acts of *constructive fraud*, *fraud by omissions*, and *other acts* of co-Defendants and their attorneys, as reflected in their filings and called out as such by Grievant/PAG Schied (and now Grievant/PAG Squires) vitiates and invalidates their acts, and warrants that further civil and criminal actions be taken against a “*pattern and practice*” of those “*representative*” attorneys that mimics the acts of “*domestic terrorism*” alleged by Grievant(s) against these attorneys’ clients for “*coercion*” of a populace and the “*government*” by “*fraud upon th[is] court.*”

- 2) Whether the Grievants/PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires have validity and supporting laws as provided, by their filing of a 50-page “*Memorandum of Law,*” which the co-Defendants have completely and conspicuously ignored, and are acting lawfully and appropriately – in the interest of the public – when filing their first set of additional fourteen (14) “*joinder*” cases of “*similarly situated*” Grievants/Claimants/Crime Victims of “*domestic terrorism.*”

CONTROLLING OR MOST APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY FOR RELIEF

The previous-filed 50-page “*Memorandum of Law*”⁷ that was completely and conspicuously ignored by all of the co-Defendants and their attorneys. It is

⁷ What follows is cited directly from the *Table of Contents* of this memorandum, which can be found in the Article III Court of Record publicly posted online at:

therefore – by DEFAULT – the most appropriate authority pertaining to this entire matter because it addresses the following unaddressed matters of fact, and unrefuted matters of law:

- 1) *This case involves Constitutional Tort claims characterized as “backward-looking right-of-access (to court)” cases filed in “joinder” and in claim of Fundamental Rights under the First Amendment’s “Petition Clause.”*
- 2) *This case involves basic notions of duty, breach, causation and damages of Common Law Torts that apply to Constitutional actions such as been prosecuted by Grievants/Private Attorney Generals (PAGs) David Schied and Cornell Squires. Therefore, those acting in the capacity of government officials need to be punished – civilly and criminally – in order to deter the furthering of unconstitutional behaviors that have, in this case, escalated into “Domestic Terrorism” by definition.*
- 3) *This case involves Allegations and Evidence of a “pattern and practice” of felony misconduct, government usurpation, racketeering, treason, and domestic terrorism, which preclude dismissal of this case for lack of statutory provisions for punishment.*
- 4) *This case also involves Allegations and Evidence of a “pattern and practice” of unconstitutional discrimination and a regulation against state citizens with claims in commerce against the surety of state employees and the performance guarantees of the Oaths of Office of those public functionaries. As such, interfering with the claims against quasi-government contracts of interstate commerce, claims against public official liabilities, and claims against statutorily ordered securities and guarantees by constitutional Oaths, are constitutional violations in and of themselves, as well as matters of ‘important’ public interest, and giving cause for the Private Attorney General(s) to intervene in this case in the public interest and as a matter of ‘Important Right’.*

http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEDM/033116_PAGsSchied&Squires_Joinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/CoverFiling&MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLaw/MemorandumofLawonBLACclaimsonJoinderClaimants_ALL.pdf

WRIT OF ERROR AND CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
AGAINST STEPHANIE DAVIS BY ACTS OF DERELICTION AND
“CONSPIRACY TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT”

On or about 6/30/16, Stephanie Davis, acting in her private capacity as a corporate member of the State BAR of Michigan and otherwise being in dereliction of her duties as sworn by Oath to the federal Constitution “*created and ordained*” by and for the People, did the following acts, constituting both state and federal crimes. Note that the statements below are fully supported by reference to Evidence and sworn, notarized statements as found in **“EXHIBIT A”** captioned as follows in quote:

“SWORN AFFIDAVIT AND CRIME REPORT OF DAVID SCHIED – In report on 7/18/16 of crimes committed by U.S. District Court judges, clerks, and magistrates under employ in the Eastern District of Michigan and in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit”

1. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when she disregarded the substantive numerous filings of Grievants/PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires regarding controlling Constitutional issues that included substantive Statements backed by Evidence in report of “*domestic terrorism*” involving the co-Defendants and their attorneys in this case.
2. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding the substantive and controlling claim by Grievant David Schied in report that at the time of the filing of this case the federal Clerk of the Court David Weaver criminally substituted “*modified*” typed summons for the

handwritten Summons provided by Grievant Schied for authentication, which constructively attempted to change the nature and character of the co-Defendants from “*natural person*” and “*corporate person*” to “*government officials*” employed by “*government*” agencies and/or departments.

3. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding the Statements and the Evidence provided by Grievants/PAGs demonstrating “*reasonable cause to believe*” that a crime was committed by the federal Clerk of the Court David Weaver acting along with others in a “*conspiracy of theft*” when initially stealing one of the 17 “*original*” complaints/claim of damages (and adjoining “*handwritten summons*”) and providing it to Assistant Attorney General John Clark (employed also at Giamarco, Mullins, and Horton law firm) so as to provide Defendants and attorneys James Mellon and his clients as co-Defendant (and Jeffrey Clark and his clients as co-Defendants) with an unfair tactical advantage in this case, in violation of the Federal Local Court Rules, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the criminal statutes and codes of the State of Michigan and the United States.
4. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding the Evidence provided this Court showing that attorney James Mellon compounded the crimes of his participating by also committing multiple

acts of “*fraud upon the court*” when denying his having positively revealed in a privately recorded phone conversation, that he had received the stolen property of the 17th copyrighted but stolen “*original*” set of pages of “*Complaint/Claim for Damages*”, when that recording of the phone conversation was submitted to this Article III Court of Record as Evidence against Mellon (who was subsequently named as “DOE #1” which was also disregarded by Stephanie Davis) along with Evidence of numerous other COUNTS of fraud by Mellon regarding other claims and statements by Grievant David Schied about this case.

5. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that....

- a) ...in the aftermath of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals (*fraudulently*) granting co-Defendants’ “*Motion to Dismiss*” Grievant’s filing of “*Writ of Mandamus for Interlocutory Appeal...*,” which was accompanied by a “*Memorandum of Law...*” that were both delivered to and “*filed*” with this Article III Court of Record and the District Court of the United States, that
- b) ...the next “*controlling action*” to be taken is that of the acting “*judge*” in determining the status of the “*original*” filings that were “*stricken*” by the former Magistrate Michael Hluchaniuk whose job was terminated in the immediate aftermath of his “*striking*” FOUR SETS of Grievants’

substantive filings (and by which Stephanie Davis was brought in as a “*replacement*” magistrate)....

c) ...and the status of Grievant’s “*replacement*” set of each of those “*stricken*” **substantive** filings, which all need to be adjudicated – **based upon the merits** of those “*replacement*” filings, as supported by criminal Allegations and Evidence....

d)which require the application of CONTROLLING state laws pertaining to what criminal laws (created by the legislature) provide the prescriptive procedures of what actions should be taken to process criminal complaints, as opposed to what federal rules of civil procedure (created by the judiciary) should be used to “*strike*” and “*dismiss*” those SUBSTANTIVE criminal allegations alleging also violations of FUNDAMENTAL rights otherwise guaranteed by the United States Constitution.

6. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that the **substantive** nature of both the “*original*” and the “*replacement*” filings referenced above, **which takes precedence above and beyond any and all of the co-Defendants’ “*motion(s) to dismiss*”** them summarily from this case without litigation of the merits and without a jury trial.

7. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that virtually **every** filing, including this instant filing, includes a preface of Statements that clarify *sui juris* (“Grievant/PAG”) David Schied is NOT a fiction and is not a corporation; and thus, being a *natural* person acting in his private capacity, is to be addressed as “David Schied” and NOT as “DAVID SCHIED” with letters in all caps to constructively signify any “*artificial person*” is being addressed or answering to this Article III Court or Record or to her fraudulent “*Order Requiring Response...*”
8. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that Grievants/PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires had filed a “*Writ of Show Cause...*” for any “*Response*” to be filed with regard to the Redford’s purported “*motion*” that was never properly “*served*”. This “*Show Cause*” writ was issued to the Court and to all of the co-Defendants along with the following named “*Order*” that was never *answered* or *responded* to by address from either the Court or by the co-Defendants:

“Order to Strike Defendant Filings as a Result of ‘Redford’ and ‘MMRMA’ co-Defendants Working ‘In Concert’ With ‘DOE #1’ (James Mellon) and ‘DOE #2’ (Jeffrey Clark) to Further Defraud This Court Under Claim That Grievant(s) were ‘Served’ With Redford Defendants’ ‘Motion Seeking Stay of Submissions and Proceedings...’ When No Such Service Occurred in Fact, Thus Warranting This ‘Order to Strike’”⁸

⁸ See “**EXHIBIT A**” with reference to the filings for “*writ of show cause*” and for “*order for competency hearing*” in the Article III Court of Record found online as of (and prior to) this date at: <http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david->

9. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that Grievant/PAG David Schied had already filed a supporting “*Numbered Paragraph Responses....*” to the co-Defendants “*ISCOP’s*” and “*AIG’s*” (fraudulent) “*Motion for Summary Judgment*” and an accompanying “*Brief in Support of Responses....*” as found online in the Article III Court of Record located at:

http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116_MyResp2PlunkettCooney&AIG-Mot4SummJudg/MyResponse&Exhibits/NumberedResponses2DefendantFraud&WritofShowCauseAgainstJudge.pdf and respectively at:

http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116_MyResp2PlunkettCooney&AIG-Mot4SummJudg/MyResponse&Exhibits/BriefinSupportofMyResponse2DefendantFraud&WritofShowCauseAgainstJudge.pdf

[schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/)

10. Importantly, Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that the above-referenced “*responses*” and “*supporting brief*” BOTH made clear on their respective Cover Page(s) each of these filings embellished also the following “*writ*”:

“Writ For the Judge Avern Cohn to ‘Show Cause’ and Reason for a 10-Month Obstruction of Grievant’s First Amendment Right to Access This District Court of the United States by His Persistent Failure to Act Upon Reports of Crimes Committed by Defendants’ Attorneys and Upon Grievant Reporting the Theft of Court Documents by Clerks of the Federal Court in May of 2015”

11. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that the above-referenced filing had been clearly issued with reference to CRIMES having been committed by *agents/usurpers* of a Fiduciary Trust of the District Court of the United States itself; and that such filing was also properly levied against the 91-year old Avern Cohn, for his failure to adjudicate anything after the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals granted co-Defendants’ “*Motion to Dismiss*” Grievant David Schied’s “*Writ for Interlocutory Appeal*” and sent the matter of the four filings “*stricken*” by Magistrate Hluchaniuk back to that judge for a “*final order*” [from which Grievant shall then be provided due process in dealing with going back again with another “*Interlocutory Appeal*” to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals if the “*striking*” of these four sets of **substantive** (and extensive number of) documents is continually upheld by that 91-year old judge in any kind of “*final order*”].

12. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that just prior to her writing her fraudulent “Order Requiring Response...” Grievants/PAGs had filed a DEFAULT action against the 91-year old “*judge*” Avern Cohn based upon his failure to provide any response whatsoever to the “Writ for Show Cause” that was placed into this Article III Court of Record. Moreover, that “*default action*” was placed in the form of a command, an “Order” by these Grievants/PAGs for a “*competency hearing*” which, if anything Stephanie Davis acted in further dereliction of her duty to immediately set up and schedule. ⁹

13. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that Grievants/PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires had filed a formal document REJECTING the assignment by “*the Court*” and/or by “*the judge*” Avern Cohn of Stephanie Davis as an Article I administrative magistrate to this Article III case demanding an Article III (“*lifetime employment*” predicated upon “*good behavior*”) judge and a TRIAL BY JURY on this instant case.

⁹ Note the Evidence showing that these documents were properly “*served*” upon not only the Court, but also ALL of the co-Defendants (against which there was no rebuttal or response whatsoever) can be found in the USPS postal “*tracking*” records found online in the Article III Court of Record at the link: http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEDM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/062116_USPSProofofDeliveryofDocstoAllCoDefs.pdf

14. Stephanie Davis acted in dereliction of her duty and with malicious intent when disregarding that Grievants/PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires had filed with this Article III Court of Record, formal notice that the so-called “Redford Defendants’ Motion Seeking Stay of Submissions and Proceedings Pending Decisions on the Defendants’ Motions Seeking Dismissal (Dkt.131)” was NEVER ACTUALLY SERVED upon Grievants/PAGs. This claim therefore, should have been addressed first, along with the FACT that the Federal Rules have precluded the ability of anyone connected with this federal court to compel Grievant David Schied (or any other of the Grievants) to be held accountable for documents filed in the electronic record that are not otherwise properly served in “*traditional*” fashion through the mail, especially given that none of the Grievants in this case have provided written permission for co-Defendants to be served by any electronic means, and as Grievant David Schied has been formally recognized as being a “*pauper*”.

Note that these crimes depicted above, as verified and validated by **“Exhibit A”** in giving “*reasonable cause to believe [a] crime[s] have been committed*” by Stephanie Davis, are further supported by **“EXHIBIT B”** standing alone and as additional testimonial support to the same criminal allegations as outlined above.

“Exhibit B” is captioned as follows:

“SWORN AFFIDAVIT AND CRIME REPORT OF CORNELL SQUIRES – In Report on 7/18/16 of Crimes Committed by U.S. District Court Judges, Clerks

and Magistrates Under Employ in the Eastern District of Michigan and in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit”

THE REASONS STATED ABOVE PRECLUDE STEPHANIE DAVIS HAVING ANY “OFFICIAL” AUTHORITY TO ACT IN THIS CASE, OR OF HAVING ANY “PERSONAL” AUTHORITY TO ISSUE ANY TYPE OF ‘ORDER’ TO GRIEVANT WITHOUT COMMITTING A TORTUOUS CRIMINAL OFFENSE REQUIRING REMEDIATION AND REMEDY, WITHOUT “IMMUNITY”

Thus far, there have been TWO un rebutted, officially notarized “Sworn Affidavit and Crime Reports” presented herein as “**Exhibit A**” and “**Exhibit B**” as *prima facie* to support the above Statements ascertaining that Stephanie Davis has committed crimes against Grievants/PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires, and others related to this instant set of “*joinder*” cases. These two Exhibits of Evidence stand as un rebutted, and as *prima facie* “*reasonable cause to believe that crimes have been committed*” by Stephanie Davis. **These Allegations, as supported by the Evidence, constitute SUBSTANTIVE causes for prescriptive action to be taken according to State laws if the Article III judiciary finds no Congressional legislation that direct its Constitutional course for pursuing these criminal allegations.**

“Grievant David Schied’s ‘Memorandum of Law’ in Support of Grievant’s ‘Writ of Mandamus for Interlocutory Appeal’ With Questions of Law Pertaining to Whether Judicial ‘Legislation’ is Constitutional; Whether Judicial Independence Authorizes ‘Bad’ Behavior; and Whether ‘Substantive’ Evidence Can Be ‘Procedurally’ Stricken; and Whether

*Evidence of a 'Pattern and Practice' of Government Coercion Constitutes
Treason and/or 'Domestic Terrorism'”*¹⁰

(Bold emphasis)

In accordance with the numbered paragraph item #3, the “Sworn Affidavit and Crime Report” of “**Exhibit A**” was mailed to the United States Attorney General Loretta Lynch on 7/18/16. Proof of mailing is provided by “**EXHIBIT C**” as a copy of the Receipt for that mailing to the zip code of the Department of Justice in Washington, DC.

In addition, upon information and belief, Stephanie Davis is an alien subversive being employed, like her predecessor Michael Hluchaniuk, by the Eastern District of Michigan to carry out and/or to sustain domestic terrorist acts that rival Nazi Germany. These acts, committed in oversight fashion with a rational choice to stand by and watch as others commit acts that “Shock the Conscience” of reasonable people, or to take measure to stop such acts of torment, humiliation, and assaults on human dignity and rights. The United States judiciary has no jurisdiction to “place” or “plant” their “agents of tort and national destruction” within these positions of power in the Eastern

¹⁰ For the procedure set forth by the State of Michigan for “ANY” judge “of record” to deal with criminal allegations and a sworn criminal complaint, see the “Memorandum of Law” that had previously accompanied the “Writ for Interlocutory Appeal...” already filed in this Article III Court of Record near the end of 2015 as found online at the following link:

http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEDM/111815_WritMandamusInterlocAppeal&MemorandumLaw/EntireMemorandumofLaw.pdf

District of Michigan. Evidence of these assertions are found in **“EXHIBIT D”**

which consists of the following documents:

- 1) An explanatory “Press Release” issued by “*The Criminally Accused,*” David Weaver, giving public notice that his accomplice in “*aiding and abetting*” or “*accessory after the fact,*” being Stephanie Davis, was “*appointed*” to fill the position of “*magistrate*” that was vacated by Michael Hluchaniuk, who left his job right after “*striking*” four sets of substantive documents and right after Grievant David Schied filed his “*objection*” to that action and subsequently filed the aforementioned “Writ for Interlocutory Appeal” and “Memorandum of Law” detailing how Hluchaniuk acted in dereliction of his duty to pursue the alleged crimes depicted in those “*stricken*” documents, rather than to attempt to cover up those crimes by striking the Allegations and Evidence from the federal court record.
- 2) The “*cover page*” for LegalNews.com dated 10/14/15 announcing that Michael Hluchaniuk was retiring, the very same month that Grievant David Schied filed a formal “*Objection*” to Hluchaniuk having, within 30 days of issuing his “Order” and “Amended Order” (i.e., on 9/30/15) attempting to “*strike*” the substantive Evidence of crimes committed by numerous of his fellow State BAR of Michigan members under employ as *judicial usurpers* in various State courts, at the Office of the U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade,

at the Office of the Michigan Attorney General, and at the federal court at the Eastern District of Michigan.¹¹

- 3) An Article demonstrating that the “*position*” previously inhabited by Hluchaniuk and now inhabited by Davis is a known position of unconstitutional authority known by “*the Court*” and by the *Public at Large* for delivering unconstitutional “*Article I Administrative Orders*” that clearly support the co-Defendants’ *domestic terrorism* agenda by “*coercing certain populations*” of Southeastern Michigan (i.e., kidnapped, falsely imprisoned, and/or other incarcerated WOMEN) into being humiliatingly “*strip-searched...in groups of 30 to 50 at a time...in the presence of male officers...[under employ of the] Wayne County Sheriff’s Department.*” See the third entry of **“*Exhibit D*”** showing that it was Hluchaniuk that issued that “*order*” on 6/2/15 allowing for these strip search privacy violations to continue in a fashion rivaling the Auschwitz Concentration Camp of World

¹¹ Note that the proverbial “*Revolving Door*” between the judicial and executive branches precludes there being anything wrong in stating implying that there are “*judge*” working for the Michigan Attorney General and the Office of the U.S. Attorney in Detroit. For the most immediate Evidence that is available, see **“*Exhibit B*”** as PAG Cornell Squires sworn and notarized Affidavit describing his experiences with the “*retired prosecutor*” of 80+year (old) that was being used as a matter of “*pattern and practice*” by the criminal operating the “*Wayne County Circuit Court*” as a “*substitute judge*” to come in and routinely dismiss cases for other judges, so as to deny litigants like Mr. Squires his proper “*access-to-the-court*” and a paid-for “*Trial by Jury.*”

War II Germany, for which numerous guards of that camp were later prosecuted for War Crimes for these types of Human Rights violations.

Notably, the Evidence available in both Common Law, Criminal Law, Federal Codes, Judge-Made Law, the Law of Nations, Natural Law, Laws of Custom, and the Laws of Commerce altogether preclude there being any “immunity” whatsoever for *anyone* accused of personally committing crimes and/or Constitutional torts of the “style” depicted above. Importantly, some of these above-reference laws allows remedies to be found outside of judge-made law. This particularly stands true regarding the denial of the Fundamental Right to access the Court – by those operating the Court itself – through other forms of *remedy* against these types of First Amendment violations of Grievants’ persisting fundamental right to “*redress of grievances.*”

CONCLUSION IN ‘DENIAL’ AND ‘ORDER’ OF RELIEF

THEREFORE, the “Order Requiring Response...” as written by Stephanie Davis, in her private capacity and by “fraud” upon this Article III Court of Record, is DENIED by this instant “*Writ of Error.*”

FURTHER, IT IS ORDERED that any future action carried out as stated was intended, to “*determine...the [‘unserved’ Defendant Redford’s] motion....without oral argument,*” will be another (separate) “*incident or occurrence*” giving rise to yet another criminal offense and swift action by

Grievants, in the name of “*public justice*” to find consequential remedy upon further Evidence that the agents of the so-called “*United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division*” is nothing more than a smokescreen and front for a crime syndicate and ring of known “*domestic terrorists.*”

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that recompense on Stephanie Davis’ DEBT for the recent damages and their related costs to David Schied and all of the other named “*joinder*” Grievants be paid IMMEDIATELY, conditioned only upon Stephanie Davis privately responding within 90 days – in detail and with supporting Evidence – to rebuke and prove error in each of the Criminal Allegations pertaining to her in this “Writ of Error” and its accompanying “Sworn Affidavit(s) and Crime Report(s).”

Respectively,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "David Schied".

(all rights reserved)

Dated: 7/19/16

**DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
(FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION)**

David Schied,

Sui Juris Grievant

Case No. 2:15-cv-11840

v.

Karen Khalil, et al

Judge: Avern Cohn

Defendants /

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The following documents were submitted for filing with the Clerk of the federal Court located in Flint, Michigan on July 19, 2016 in the above-captioned case, sent by **certified** U.S. Mail delivery, with one “*Court*” original and one identical copy for the “*judge*” assigned to this case.

- 1) “*Private Attorney Generals (“PAGs”) David Schied’s and Cornell Squires’ ‘Writ of Error and Criminal Complaint’ Against ‘Order’ and Other Acts of Dereliction and ‘Conspiracy to Fraud Upon the Court’ as Committed on or About 6/30/16 by Magistrate Stephanie Davis*”;
- 2) “*Sworn Affidavit and Crime Report of David Schied – In Report on 7/18/16 of Crimes Committed by U.S. District Court Judges, Clerks and Magistrates Under Employ in the Eastern District of Michigan and in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit*”;
- 3) This “*Certificate of Service*”

Additionally, because this is a response ONLY to the Court, and all other necessary and/or voluntary “*responses*” have already been documented as properly addressing anything and everything, directly, which has ever been outstanding with any of the co-Defendants, ONLY a copy of this “*Certificate of Service*” was sent to each of the co-Defendants’ counsel of record (including Browning who has notably NOT yet entered his “*Notice of Appearance*” despite that his name is “*active*” and he is equally culpable for everything submitted by his partner, White, at the Plunkett-Cooney law firm).

Respectfully submitted,



David Schied

7/19/16

David Schied
P.O. Box 1378
Novi, Michigan 48376
248-974-7703

7/19/16

Clerk of the Court
District Court of the United States
Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse
600 Church St. Rm, 140
Flint, Michigan 48502
313-234-5000

Re: 1) filing of documents; 2) Request for time-stamped copies returned via SASE

Dear Clerk of the Court,

Enclosed you will find one signed "*original*" and one "*judge's*" copy of the filings listed in the attached "*Certificate of Service*." Note that I have also included a copy of the cover page for a "SWORN AFFIDAVIT AND CRIME REPORT."

Please make sure that ALL THREE PAGES are time stamped for my records as requested herein. **Attached to this letter is a Self-Addressed Stamped Envelope (SASE) with extra copies of the cover pages of these THREE documents. Please "*time-stamp*" and return ALL of them at your earliest convenience.**

Note that in the past history of lower federal court actions, my requests for having time stamps on the *Certificate of Service* AND the cover pages of other documents submitted for that purpose have been ignored, implicating those in the court clerk's office of contributing to the overall denial of Grievant David Schied to due process and proper record-keeping. Additionally, I have documented that despite my sending these documents to the District Court clerk in Flint where I hand-deliver other filings and receive time-stamps from that Flint office, when received in the mail the Flint court causes an extra delay in the filing and time-stamping of records by forwarding these records to Detroit instead of immediately time-stamping and entering these documents into the Federal court record. Please reverse this "*pattern and practice*" and simply provide me in return what is requested right away in accordance with my right to have such an expectation be met, and so not to continue prejudicing this case against me by falsification of the actual record of "*service*" upon this federal court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "David Schied". The signature is written in dark ink on a light-colored background.

David E. Schied
PO Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376

Attn: Domestic Terrorist
Jeffrey Clark
Cummings, McCloskey, Davis & Aho, P.L.C.
Crime Syndicate Protection
33900 Schoelcraft Rd.
Livonia, Michigan 48150

David E. Schied
PO Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376

Attn: Domestic Terrorist
James Mellon
Mellon Press, PC - Crime Syndicate Protection
2150 Butterfield Dr., Ste. 100
Troy, Michigan 48064-3427

David E. Schied
PO Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376

Attn: Domestic Terrorists
Charles Browning & Warren White
Plunkett-Cooney - Crime Syndicate
& Partners with Michael Weaver
38505 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

David E. Schied
PO Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376

Attn: Domestic Terrorists;
David Stella and Zenna Elhasan
Wayne County Corporation Counsel - Terrorist Enterprise
500 Griswold St, 11th Floor
Detroit, Michigan 48226