



- a) In that case, all of my nine (9) motions (to compel Discovery, for Interrogatories, for default judgment, and many other points of valid litigation), were prejudicially denied, specifically because I was filing my “*pleadings*” on my own and without an attorney.
  - b) As I have found repeatedly in “*pattern and practice*,” as what occurred in my case, at a crucial point in my litigation, the court administrator brought in a visiting judge to throw the case out.
  - c) In the case at point of my sworn and notarized statements posted on the website by link, the person brought in to throw my 2009 case out (around 2010), was actually a retired prosecutor who had not been a judge in well over a decade, and who was over 80-years old, well over the statutory age limit for holding a position of a judge. His name was “John O’Hair; and he was requested to appear on my case by the “*chief judge*” Virgil Smith, who I later came to find out did not even have an Oath of Office for a six year period. Thus, O’Hair, a purported “*visiting*” judicial substitute and “*usurper*” of the powers and authorities of the Bench, robbed me of my right to a jury trial.
  - d) The details of that particular case-in-point, as cited within that sworn and notarized *Affidavit*, can be viewed and downloaded at:  
[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116\\_PAGsSchied&SquiresJoinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/Affidavit-Cornell.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116_PAGsSchied&SquiresJoinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/Affidavit-Cornell.pdf)
  - e) The point to be made by the above example is that I have witnessed, and have documentation of a “*pattern and practice*” of using O’Hair and others as so-called “*visiting judges*” to come in to “*pro se*” cases at critical times, dismissing them and constructively denying me and numerous others of their due process rights and “*fundamental*” First Amendment right to have “*meaningful*” access to the local government courts. This has, in fact, occurred frequently at the 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit Court, popularly known as the “*Wayne County Circuit Court*.”
8. I personally watched as in 2012 the former Michigan Supreme Court justice Diane Hathaway resigned in public disgrace, was criminally convicted of bank *fraud*, and was subsequently sentenced to a federal prison.
  9. In 2012, both David Schied and I, along with a concerned group of private individuals living in Wayne County, Michigan, filed notice with the Michigan Attorney General (Bill Schuette) and the Michigan Governor (Rick Snyder) in report that the “*chief*” judge (Virgil Smith) of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial Circuit (“*Wayne County Circuit Court*”), who had been sitting in that government position adjudicating cases, was indeed no valid “*judge*” at all. This was determined as a matter of FACT by the Michigan Secretary of State Ruth Johnson, by signature certification that Virgil Smith actually had no “*Oath of Office*” filed with the Office of the Great Seal in the previous six (6) years. For a view of that Secretary of State’s certification, along with all of the other documents demonstrating dereliction and a criminal cover-up of Virgil Smith’s years of crimes as a judicial usurper of the judicial bench, see the Evidence file posted on the Internet at: [http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/010816\\_QuoWarranto\\_6thCircuitJudges/Exhibits/Ex\\_106\\_ExhibitsL-XofExhibit105.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/010816_QuoWarranto_6thCircuitJudges/Exhibits/Ex_106_ExhibitsL-XofExhibit105.pdf)

I, Cornell Squires, and the other signers of a sworn Affidavit in report of other crimes being committed by Virgil Smith from the bench during this period, altogether watched as both the Attorney General (Schuette and his agents) and the Governor rebuffed the sworn Affidavit signed by that group of concerned taxpayers. Together we also watched while

instead, Schuette and Snyder criminally *aided and abetted* Virgil Smith by allowing him still to appear on the 2012 voting ballot. This is despite they all knew full well that Smith had committed “*voter fraud*” earlier in the year (2012) by filing a *fraudulent* Affidavit required by the State which affirmed that he was the Wayne County Circuit Court “*incumbent*” judge when, in fact, he had been nothing but an illegal imposter and *usurper* of that judicial seat for the previous six years. (See again the documents available for viewing or download as shown at the link above this paragraph.)

10. I watched as in 2015, Virgil Smith’s son, the former Michigan “*senator*” Virgil Smith, Jr., was arrested and subsequently convicted of a violent crime involving a gun. He was subsequently put on trial for having purportedly shot at his former wife and her car during a domestic dispute in Wayne County. I also watched as the criminal court and sentencing judge were each “*cherry-picked*” by the government “*powers that be*”. This resulted in “*judge*” Lawrence Talon presiding over Smith’s case and sentencing hearing. Lawrence Talon is the relative of Marianne Talon, who not only was the first person fired by Robert Ficano in the famed “*Severance Scandal*” – which had been purportedly “*investigated*” by the FBI just a couple of years earlier – but Marianne Talon was also the very person who in 2010 and 2011 was the “*lead counsel*” employed by the known Wayne County domestic terrorist network. This is the same terrorist network that had been operating, in part, as the Wayne County Corporation Counsel, which had previously covered up David Schied’s many reports of crimes (i.e., see below), as they were continuing to be committed against David Schied. This cover-up occurred even as David Schied had openly named the numerous *agents* of the “*Charter County of Wayne*” as government *usurpers*; and despite his having presented proof and numerous Affidavits to the Courts exposing a massive criminal cover-up that was going on during the course of events and the hearings about his case. These events and hearings were known to have been conducted at the time by another judicial *usurper*, Jeanne Stempien.
11. Around 2001, I had a case in the District Court of the United States in which the magistrate, Paul Komives, followed the same *pattern and practice* set by his fellow State BAR of Michigan associates working at the state level in the same “Wayne County” of the Eastern District of Michigan. He thus, denied all of my various motions to compel Discovery. In addition, he had his clerk mail notices late to me which compelled some type of action from me, and then ruled against me for failure to respond in time. Over the course of the next decade, I observed numerous cases of other people, some civil and some criminal, in which he was assigned as the federal magistrate; and he took similar prejudicial action against those litigants, dismissing these “*pro se*” cases constructively and barring them from meaningful access to those Courts.
12. Nearly ten (10) years later in 2010, I filed a new case, it was a civil rights case that I filed in the federal court. When I found out that Paul Komives was appointed the magistrate to that case, I filed a formal objection to his presence on my case. Nevertheless, rather than honor or to reasonably respond to my objection to his Article I administrative presence on my right to Article III jurisdiction, he ignored my filing and went forth anyway to recommend dismissal of my case altogether to the federal judge. That judge then simply followed Komives’ recommendation and dismissed my litigation, while again stealing the jury fee that I had paid to present my case constitutionally to a jury of my peers.
13. Around 2009, I went with a group of concerned citizens of Wayne County to the Office of the U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade to report a widespread *pattern and practice* of mortgage

fraud, public corruption, judicial corruption, ongoing harassment of county residents, and other matters. At the time, we were met by Assistant U.S. Attorney Judy Levy, who has since gone through the “*revolving door*” between the “*separation of powers*” to become a U.S. District Court “*judge.*” In response to our immediate personal testimonies, each with handfuls of Evidence, all this U.S. Department of Justice attorney Judy Levy did was refer us to the FBI.

14. David Schied has brought my direct attention to the fact that he has submitted reports of crimes to the U.S. Attorney Barbara McQuade while referencing 18 U.S.C. §3332 so to make sure that she knew for certain that she, as the U.S. Attorney, and her agents were all statutorily required to present his reports of crimes to the federal Special Grand Jury. I am aware that, as was done to me in light of similar action of reporting crimes to the Office of the U.S. Attorney, these federal agents of the United States Department of Justice denied any action whatsoever on my reports of crimes being committed by usurpers of government functionary positions and the People’s power. Moreover, in 2012, I was made aware that numerous other people here in Michigan had also submitted their criminal complaints to the U.S. Attorneys, both for the Eastern District and for the Western District of Michigan, all citing 18 U.S.C. §3332, and they too were denied such access. Thus, I believe that there is ample Evidence to establish a “*pattern and practice*” of felony crimes in violation of, at minimum, 18 U.S.C. §3332 by these agents of the U.S. Attorney General.
15. I am aware that David Schied has accumulated a vast amount of Evidence demonstrating a widespread *pattern and practice of criminal racketeering*, coercion of the Michigan population, and the coercion of Michigan’s public policy. These criminal violations are clearly spelled out in Michigan and United States statutes and codes and court rules of civil and criminal procedures, and state and federal constitutions. These are all documents that have been repeatedly referenced in the federal case which I have joined and for which I now share in his categorization of documentation of these events, being clear Evidence of DOMESTIC TERRORISM documented since at least as far back as 2012. Since then I have been kept apprised as David Schied has created video productions, and posted his evidence in the form of video documentaries. I know that in 2012, he post his criminal allegations publicly along with his allegations that the “*justices*” of the U.S. Supreme Court and the U.S. Attorney Eric Holder were engaged in criminal racketeering and running the United States judicial system and Department of Justice as a nationwide crime syndicate. Note that his posting of these formal federal allegations can still be found after four years as located online at: <http://www.powercorruptsagain.com/category/videos/>
16. In support of my assertions, David Schied’s assertions, and the assertions of former Michigan Supreme Court “*chief*” Justice Elizabeth Weaver’s assertions in her book “*Judicial Deceit: Tyranny and Unnecessary Secrecy at the Michigan Supreme Court*”, it is safe herein to state as fact that the judiciary and the executive branches of Michigan have been overrun by a crime syndicate of domestic terrorists coercing the population and the policies of government. In support of that assertion, I have partnered with both David Schied and Diane Bukowski (“*Voice of Detroit*”) to produce alternative news and video journals, publishing stories with evidence of these injustices against others – with regard to crimes perpetrated around this Southeastern District of Michigan. Many of those videos can be found online at: <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCd3xqk6Kc778ASLAsRpV5ag>
17. In January of 2016, I am aware that David Schied had filed a “*Quo Warranto*” action as a Private Attorney General, acting in the interest of the public at large within the Sixth Judicial

Circuit to press these judges, given the Evidence, from where has their authority to act in “*bad behavior*” been derived. See the entirety of these filings pertaining to this unanswered “*Quo Warranto*” to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals at the following link:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/010816\\_QuoWarranto\\_6thCircuitJudges/FinalDocs/](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/010816_QuoWarranto_6thCircuitJudges/FinalDocs/)

Note that the “*Exhibits*” referenced by the *Quo Warranto* can all be found also online in the Article III Court of Record at: [http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/010816\\_QuoWarranto\\_6thCircuitJudges/Exhibits/](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/010816_QuoWarranto_6thCircuitJudges/Exhibits/)

Nevertheless as of a month ago, David Schied and I found out that this “*Quo Warrant*” filing has been laying in limbo, and left “*dormant*” and classified as “*Tendered and Pending*” without having been actually classified as “*filed*,” being thus far without any response whatsoever by any judicial officer of the Sixth Circuit (or any other officer or anyone else for that matter). See the Evidence of this as found on PACER at this link:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016\\_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/Exhibits/EX\\_G\\_MyQuoWarrantoinSixthCircuitTENDEREDisPENDING.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/Exhibits/EX_G_MyQuoWarrantoinSixthCircuitTENDEREDisPENDING.pdf)

18. Essentially, in response to all of the above, the judges of the Sixth Circuit did nothing to adjudicate, or even to acknowledge the content of David Schied’s “*Interlocutory Appeal*” document, which was accompanied by an extensively written “*Memorandum of Law*” in support of that filing. That “*memorandum*” provided clarity that while the Article I administrative magistrate had “*stricken*” four sets of David Schied’s substantive filings based upon “*federal court rules*,” David Schied was meanwhile asserting that legislative acts written by the State, in command of what ANY judge should be doing with authenticated reports of crimes, as supported by sworn “*complaints*,” to compel this magistrate or anyone else with federal authority to take action in those criminal matters. Yet again, in violation of the references that David Schied had made in accordance with the United States Supreme Court rulings included in his “*memorandum*” – and with supporting Evidence of the crime reports – the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals judges ignored everything that he had filed. For this reason, I HEREBY CLAIM this CRIME REPORT to also include ALL of the judges of the Sixth Circuit, to whom the unanswered “*Writ of Quo Warranto*” was addressed.

Note that David Schied’s “*Memorandum of Law*” accompanying that “*Writ for Interlocutory Appeal*” that went to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals is found at the following link along with the “*Writ for Interlocutory Appeal*” itself, along with their respective references to “*Exhibits*” of Evidence:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/111815\\_WritMandamusInterlocAppeal&MemorandumLaw/](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/111815_WritMandamusInterlocAppeal&MemorandumLaw/)

19. On 3/31/16, due to stated interest and requests by others to join David Schied’s federal case in “*joinder claims*” against the co-Defendants of the Charter County of Wayne, and against the American Insurance Group (AIG), and against one of their multitude of corporate “*shell*” subsidiaries, The Insurance Company for the State of Pennsylvania – against which David Schied and I together have mounds of evidence of a long history of “*fraud upon the court*” by these co-Defendants and/or by their “*Plunkett-Cooney*” attorneys as well – I partnered

with David Schied to file fourteen (14) “joinder” claims on behalf of myself and those others, filing those documents as Private Attorney Generals acting in the public’s interest in these matters. These joinder claims were justified by our filing as Private Attorney Generals by inclusion of a “Memorandum of Law” also addressing “backward-looking-access-to-court” claims, which can all be found in the Article III Court of Record located at:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116\\_PAGsSchied&Squires\\_Joinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/CoverFiling&MemorandumofLaw/](http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116_PAGsSchied&Squires_Joinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/CoverFiling&MemorandumofLaw/)

Notably, each of these “joinder” claims were accompanied by sworn and notarized Affidavits alleging that the co-Defendants were “domestic terrorists,” acting in a “conspiracy to treason,” and/or in other criminal fashion aligned with RICO-defined federal offenses, and for which they too establish joint claim against the Charter County of Wayne’s “errors and omissions” \$100 BILLION (“one-hundred-billion-dollar) insurance rider of “terrorism” insurance coverage. These numerous sworn and notarized Affidavits in support of my and their claims of domestic terrorism can all be found online at:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116\\_PAGsSchied&Squires\\_Joinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/](http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116_PAGsSchied&Squires_Joinderof-14-ClaimantsCrimeVictims/)

Notable also, NONE of the individual claims of the “joinder” claimants were specifically challenged on the “merits” of their statements and references to evidence. Instead, the co-Defendants submitted motions, to which my partner and I responded – again, as Private Attorney Generals, with co-Defendants generally requesting summary dismissal of these joinder claims without counter-filings of Affidavits, significant controverting evidence, or anything else substantive to support those Michigan BAR attorney motions.

20. Since January, 2016, only more crimes have occurred against me – and against David Schied and these other “joinder” claim filers – by the furthering of “fraud upon the court”. These additional incidents of criminal fraud by the Defendants’ attorneys (now also named as co-Defendants in this ongoing civil case as “DOES 1 through 4” as well as our naming them as “criminal co-conspirators”) appear to be filed merely as “smokescreens” to confound and frustrate the ongoing proceedings of this case on the side of these numerous “Grievants,” while “motioning” to the court to prolong, if not preclude and deny all the Claimants’ due process rights to “redress of grievances” and to “access the courts;” by altogether eliminating any address of ANY of the criminal allegations being sustained and compounded by PROOFS since the initial filing of this case (as referenced above).

It is clear that these co-Defendants are thus “motioning” the newly federal magistrate, Stephanie Dawkins Davis, to put a further “stay of submissions,” pending ONLY rulings upon the co-Defendants’ (i.e., all of them) various “motion(s) to dismiss” them each from this federal case altogether, with impunity for them, and with retaliatory requests for sanctions against David Schied and all other “grievants”! All of this we made amply clear when we filed a “Writ of Show Cause” on why these motions should be “stayed” any longer when there has not yet been any “judicial” rulings yet in the past year since David Schied first filed this case except, for the transfers of this case to a 91-year old judge and his wrongful Article I administrative assignment of (now two) magistrates to this Article III common law case. These documents can all be viewed and/or downloaded from the following link:

<http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david->

[schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016\\_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/)

Notably, the above-referenced “*Writ of Show Cause....and.....Order for Competency Hearing on 91-Year Old Avern Cohn on His Failure to Respond to Previous ‘Writ for the Judge Avern Cohn to Show Cause and Reason for a 10-Month Obstruction of Grievant’s First Amendment Right to Access this District Court of the United States....’*” was preceded by another filing, which David Schied (and I) were compelled to write when our previous submission of the above “*Writ of Show Cause...and...Order for Competency Hearing....’*” was sent back to us by the Clerk of the Court David Weaver WITHOUT A COVER LETTER, thus giving no reason for this unlawful action by Weaver.

This criminal act of David Weaver, of “*aiding and abetting*” in the crimes of his fellow State Bar of Michigan associates of attorneys, judges, and magistrates, prompted my partner and me to issue yet another filing in the federal court captioned, “*Private Attorney Generals (‘PAGs’) David Schied’s and Cornell Squires’ ‘Writ of Error’ and ‘Demand to File’ on Clerk David Weaver’s Refusal to File Previously Received...’ and ‘Writ to Disqualify MMRMA and ‘Redford’ Attorneys James Mellon and Jeffrey Clark Based Upon (Respectively) ‘Fraud Upon the Court’ and ‘Conflict of Interest’ and ‘Reiterating the Naming of James Mellon as ‘Defendant DOE #1’ and Notice of Naming Jeffrey Clark as ‘Defendant DOE #2.’*” The entirety of that filing dated 6/6/16, inclusive of the “*Exhibit A*” of “*File on Demand*,” inclusive of the original documents being returned to the Clerk of the Court, inclusive of the *Certificate of Service* on the mailing of these documents, and inclusive of a receipt showing proof of mailing to the Court and to MMRMA attorney James Mellon in Troy. The Evidence of all of these supporting documents can be found at the following link: [http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/060716\\_WritofErroronClerkWeaver&Demand2File/](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/060716_WritofErroronClerkWeaver&Demand2File/)

21. While entirely disregarding every single thing that David Schied and I have filed in the lower court, and while clearly ignoring the important and SUBSTANTIVE criminal allegations presented to the federal U.S. District Court – to include a filing by Private Attorney Generals (PAGs) David Schied and myself rejecting the assignment of a second administrative federal “magistrate” to get their hands dirty in this judicial case and Article III Court of Record – the new and experience federal magistrate appointee delivered an “*Order Requiring Response...*” to the above-referenced “*motion seeking stay of submissions and proceedings pending decisions on the Defendants’ ‘motions seeking dismissal.’*”

Note that the Evidence to prove that prior to this magistrate getting involved in delivering her fraudulent “*order*” against some corporate fiction named “DAVID SCHIED” – which we have amply clarified a multitude of times is not us, being natural flesh and blood men – can be found online at: [http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/051616\\_Object2AssignofMagistrate/Objection2AssignofMagistrate.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/051616_Object2AssignofMagistrate/Objection2AssignofMagistrate.pdf)

Similarly, the fraudulent “*Order Requiring Response....’*” sent to the postal address of Grievant David Schied, the natural man, with a captioning addressed to what is otherwise believed to be a “*corporate fiction*” of “DAVID SCHIED” can be found at:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/071816\\_CrimeReport2USAttnyGe](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/071816_CrimeReport2USAttnyGe)

[neralLynchonEvents+MagisCrime/063016\\_MagisDavisFraudOrder/063016\\_MagisDavis-order-toRespond.pdf](#)

22. For the reasons cited below, as supported by a plethora of substantive Evidence, this magistrate, Stephanie Davis, is included in this “*CRIME REPORT*” as another named of a long line of “*Accused*,” in what is clearly a “*chain conspiracy to deprive of rights under color of law*” and by her active membership in a crime syndicate and *domestic terrorist network* acting “*by proven design*” to coerce the local and statewide population and government of this State of Michigan. The Evidence that Stephanie Davis’ acts are deliberately negligent and in dereliction of her solemn Oath and Duties, intentionally malfeasant and/or misfeasant, and designed as an “*aiding and abetting*” setup for furthering the crimes of her fellow members of the State BAR of Michigan (i.e., her Michigan BAR membership number is: P-47265).

The basis for my criminal allegations against the magistrate *usurper* Stephanie Davis, named herein in her natural and individual capacity as a woman and not as any type of corporate fiction or government “*official*” (i.e., there is no governmental immunity against crimes and overt violations of constitutional guarantees) is listed as follows:

- a) Davis’ “*order*” does not recognize or acknowledge as FACT that, according to the federal court rules, no “*Response*” is required when no service has been properly carried out in accordance with those federal court rules. Davis, as well as the co-Defendants, all had access to both the official “*Article III Court of Record*” found online as provided by evidence of the above numerous links to that record; as well as had access to the fraudulent “*electronic filing system*” being maintained by the U.S. District Court and Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals as found through PACER and other mediums being maintained in preferential favor to attorneys, judges and other BAR-card-carrying members of their unionized monopoly on the American court system. In case it was not crystal clear by the previous filing for which a link has always been available, this instant sworn and notarized Affidavit now makes clear my assertion that, “**WE WERE NEVER SERVED, BY MAIL OR BY ANY OTHER METHOD, A COPY OR ORIGINAL OF THE ‘REDFORD DEFENDANTS’ MOTION SEEKING STAY OF SUBMISSIONS AND PROCEEDINGS PENDING DECISIONS ON THE DEFENDANTS’ MOTIONS SEEKING DISMISSAL (Dkt.131). THERE IS NO SWORN AFFIDAVIT REBUTTING THIS ASSERTION, AND NO SUBSTANTIVE LEGAL PROOF THAT SERVICE WAS ACTUALLY CARRIED OUT**” Therefore, we owe no response and the directive from Davis, who has neither Article III jurisdiction over either David Schied or myself, or over this case, is plainly unlawful under the Common Law by which these proceedings are being carried out.
- b) Davis’ “*order*” demonstrates prejudicial treatment in favor of Jeffrey Clark (i.e., the man David Schied and I have gone on to name as “Defendant DOE #2”) as the attorney for ALL (individual and corporate municipality) of the co-Defendants. Clearly, Davis has ignored the significant DISPUTE of the plain fact, as we have already argued it, that Clark cannot by law “*represent*” all of the “Redford” co-Defendants collectively because of a professional “*conflict of interest*” between employees and their employer. As already cited in documents proven to have been filed on 5/19/16.

See the cover page and filing referenced by the time-stamped proof of filing located at:

[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016\\_Writ4SshowCauseon](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseon)



[Mot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/Exhibits/EX\\_B\\_CoverofTwoMyFilingsofDefrsFraud.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/060716_WritofErroronClerkWeaver&Demand2File/051916_OriginalDocsFiledBEFOREReceived&SentBackbyClerk.pdf) and the entire filing for that time-stamped document found at: [http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/060716\\_WritofErroronClerkWeaver&Demand2File/051916\\_OriginalDocsFiledBEFOREReceived&SentBackbyClerk.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/060716_WritofErroronClerkWeaver&Demand2File/051916_OriginalDocsFiledBEFOREReceived&SentBackbyClerk.pdf)

- c) Davis' "order" demonstrates prejudicial treatment in favor of Jeffrey Clark by the FACT that she has not compelled Jeffrey Clark to answer to either "a" or "b" above by PROVING that he actually had properly "served" either David Schied or me with a sworn and notarized Affidavit rebutting our claim of not having been served; and by Davis similarly not compelling Jeffrey Clark to "respond" to our documents commanding that he otherwise demonstrate that he has no "conflict of interest" as previously defined by the Courts as reflected in the above-referenced documents. Thus, Davis has been clearly aware that the Courts have determined that situations which Jeffrey Clark now finds himself embedded in provide him no right whatsoever to be issuing any "motion" on behalf of all of the named co-Defendants; and that Clark has otherwise attempted to defraud the court into claiming that he otherwise has the right to collectively "represent." Yet, Davis has criminally defied all this case law and common sense to issue a prejudicial and unlawful order to David Schied instead in attempt to compel him to an action for which there is no right to compel. The Evidence of this prejudice is a known violation of Canon 2 of the "Code of Conduct for United States Judges" which is cited, "A judge should avoid impropriety and the Appearance of impropriety in All activities." Note that this "judicial canon" is found online at: <http://www.uscourts.gov/judges-judgeships/code-conduct-united-states-judges#>
- d) Davis' "order" disregards the fact that PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires had collectively filed their "Grievants' Second (2<sup>nd</sup>) Decline to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction" establishing clearly that Davis has no jurisdiction whatsoever in this case. Her Article I administrative "order" thus constitutes a forewarned "criminal trespass" upon this Common Law case in this Article III Court of Record, and real damages against Grievants and against PAGs David Schied and Cornell Squires. See again Grievants' filing of the above document as found in the Article III Court of Record found at: [http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/051616\\_Object2AssignofMagistrate/Objection2AssignofMagistrate.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutional.gov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/051616_Object2AssignofMagistrate/Objection2AssignofMagistrate.pdf)

These damages have resulted in the costs associated with having to construct proper "remedy" to this criminal trespass by way of a discussion meeting, Affidavit(s) construction, "response" filings to the District Court of the United States, and by associated administrative and mailing costs imposed upon Grievant David Schied as a recognized "pauper". As such, Davis committed these criminal acts while knowing the hardship her acts would cause upon these *sui juris* Grievants. These damages have been assessed at an estimated cost of 36 total man-hours of Private Attorney General time, valued at the reasonable rate of an attorney, being estimated herein at \$250 per hour, plus administrative and mailing costs. **(This comes roughly to \$9,000 in time alone in dealing with his unlawful "order," an amount which is herein demanded immediately by charge against "magistrate" Stephanie Davis' SURETY or PERFORMANCE BOND and/or other "errors and omissions" insurance carrier as**

**guaranteed by public acceptance of her official Oath of Office “for whatever is its stated value.”)**

- e) Davis’ “order,” as directed to some corporate fiction called “DAVID SCHIED,” flies in the face of all filings issued in this court, such as many already provided as examples above with cover-pages that reiterate the repeated assertion placed by us into all such filings in this Article III Court of Record that, “‘I/WE’ DO NOT CONSENT...to the reference of parties named as ‘grievants’ and/or as Private Attorney Generals as otherwise being corporate fictions in ALL CAPS of lettering as ‘plaintiff’ (e.g., ‘DAVID SCHIED, Plaintiff’). Note that all ‘summons’ were issued with notice to all co-Defendants that Grievant David Schied is ‘sui juris’.”
- f) Davis’ “order” disregards entirely the FACT that Grievant Schied has placed into the Court record an “Order for a (Public) Competency Hearing” on the 91-year old “judge” Avern Cohn, by reason that he has DEFAULTED on Grievant’s previous formal filing in Common Law of a “Writ for Show Cause,” on the now over-a-year-long delay of this case already without a substantive and valid *judicial* ruling. This perpetual delay in proper Court action is going on despite David Schied submitting a regular stream of FACTS to his Article III Court of Record which altogether concern many criminal issues that date back to the very beginning of this case, and his report of criminal THEFT of documents by the Clerk of the Court and/or his agents, in a conspiracy to deprive of rights and to prejudice this case. Notably, these ongoing conspiracies are shown to heavily involve “Defendant DOE #1” (James Mellon) and others of the co-Defendants’ attorneys, along with Assistant Attorney General John Clark of the *Giamarco et al* law firm, who is also believed to be involved according to the Evidence at hand. (See the following two links for the previously-filed “Order for Competency Hearing” and for the previously-filed (as time-stamped by the Court on 3/31/16) “Writ of Show Cause....” that were both filed by Grievant(s) against the 91-year old Avern Cohn:  
[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016\\_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/062116\\_Time-StampedCvrPages.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/062016_Writ4SshowCauseonMot2StayProceedings+Order4JudgeCompetencyHearing/062116_Time-StampedCvrPages.pdf) and the previously-filed,  
[http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015\\_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116\\_MyResp2PlunkettCorney&AIG-Mot4SummJudg/MyResponse&Exhibits/NumberedResponses2DefendantFraud&WritofShowCauseAgainstJudge.pdf](http://cases.michigan.constitutionalgov.us/david-schied/2015_SchiedvJudgeKarenKhaliletalinUSDCEM/033116_MyResp2PlunkettCorney&AIG-Mot4SummJudg/MyResponse&Exhibits/NumberedResponses2DefendantFraud&WritofShowCauseAgainstJudge.pdf)

Rather than to issue a proper “order” reinforcing the existing one calling for that “*Competency Hearing*,” Davis acted in dereliction of her apparent “*call to duty*” and issued a proven *prejudicial* order against David Schied as the “Grievant” instead. **This is a criminal obstruction of justice as well-founded in both Common Law and in federal codes.**

- g) Similarly, Davis’ “order” disregards entirely the FACT that Grievant has placed into the Court record numerous reports supported by Evidence of criminal THEFT and criminal FRAUD, of a “*pattern and practice*” of fraud in general, of fraud upon the court and of the coercion of governments’ “*policies and practices*,” and of an extensive criminal “*conspiracy to deprive of rights*.” These are all CRIMES for which the magistrate, having sworn an Oath to Michigan and United States constitutions, is compelled under the *Law*

of Nations and the codified threat of criminal proceedings (18 U.S.C. §4, "Misprision of Felony").

The positive act of Stephanie Davis to issue this "order" constitutes the secondary-level crimes "aiding and abetting" and/or "accessory after the fact" in light of all of the "predicate" crimes presented beforehand to her as an official of the federal Court. Her dereliction to act, to take action against and to further report the crimes to which she was made privy by virtue of her position as an official court administrator, also constitutes, at minimum, the crimes of malfeasance; and her "bad behavior" gives just rise to having her removed from her job as an administrator. The fact that she took opposite action to go after and threaten the reported crime victim is a criminal act in and of itself. As such, **this instant CRIME REPORT is being issued against her with a demand for prosecutorial action against Stephanie Davis by the United States Attorney General.**

23. Notably, NONE of the co-Defendants filed objections to the "Writ for Judge Avern Cohn to Show Cause and Reason..."; and NONE of the co-Defendants filed objections to the follow-up "Order for Competency Hearing on 91-Year Old Avern Cohn on His Failure to Respond to Previous Writ...to Show Cause..." As such, these two filings stand alone as having DEFAULTED as a matter of this official Article III Court of Record.

24. **By this sworn and notarized Affidavit, I hereby bind the solemn Oath and Surety Bond (a.k.a. "performance bond") – FOR VALUE – against the aforementioned criminal acts, against violation of federal judicial canons, against errors and omissions, and against the commercial TRUST agreements executed by the above-named "Accused" under Common Law and in accordance with the Laws of Nature and the Law of Nations.** Payment for these varied debts owed are to be rendered immediately; otherwise, "the Accused" is to be awarded up to ninety (90) days to properly "Answer" to these criminal charges and demand for just remedy in commerce.

Further the affiant sayeth not.

Respectfully submitted.

Date: July 18<sup>th</sup>, 2016

By Cernell Squins

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 18<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2016.

Notary Public, Oakland County, MI acting in Oakland County, MI.

My Commission expires: Jun. 06, 2021

D. HUNTER  
Notary Public, State of Michigan  
County of Oakland  
My Commission Expires Jun. 06, 2021  
Acting in the County of Oakland

