DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES ¹ (FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION)

Case No. 2:15-cv-11840

Judge: Avern Cohn

David Schied and Cornell Squires

Sui Juris Grievants/Private Attorney Generals
and Next Friend to Grace Turnboe "Enjoined" as

Crime Victims / Common Law Grievants / Claimants,

v. In their Individual Capacities:

Karen Khalil, Cathleen Dunn, Joseph Bommarito; James Turner; David Holt,; Jonathan Strong; "Police Officer" Butler,; John Schipani; Tracey Schultz-Kobylarz and

Redford Township Police Department; Redford Township 17th District Court; Charter Township of Redford; Charter County of Wayne Michigan; Municipal Risk Management Authority ("MMRMA"); The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania ("ICSOP"); American International Group, Inc. ("AIG"); DOES 1-10; Defendants

CRIME VICTIM AND COMMON LAW GRIEVANT GRACE TURNBOE "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS" IN SUPPORT OF "JOINDER" CLAIMS OF CONSTITUTIONAL TORTS BASED ON THE FIRST AMENDMENT PETITION CLAUSE AND EVIDENCE OF DOMESTIC TERRORISM

¹ "The term 'District Courts of the United States,' as used in the rules, without an addition expressing a wider connotation, has its historic significance. It describes the constitutional courts created under article 3 of the Constitution. Courts of the Territories are legislative courts, properly speaking, and are not District Courts of the United States. We have often held that vesting a territorial court with jurisdiction similar to that vested in the District Courts of the United States does not make it a 'District Court of the United States." *Mookini v. United States*, 303 U.S. 201 (1938) citing from *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145, 154; *The City of Panama*, 101 U.S. 453, 460; *In re Mills*, 135 U.S. 263, 268, 10 S.Ct. 762; *McAllister v. United States*, 141 U.S. 174, 182, 183 S., 11 S.Ct. 949; *Stephens v. Cherokee Nation*, 174 U.S. 445, 476, 477 S., 19 S.Ct. 722; *Summers v. United States*, 231 U.S. 92, 101, 102 S., 34 S.Ct. 38; *United States v. Burroughs*, 289 U.S. 159, 163, 53 S. Ct. 574.

Sui Juris Grievants / Next Friends and Co-Private Attorney Generals David Schied and Cornell Squires and Grace Turnboe

P.O. Box 1378 Novi, Michigan 48376 248-974-7703

Defendants

The Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania

AND

American International Group, Inc.

Plunkett Cooney Charles Browning Warren White 38505 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304 248-901-4000

<u>Defendants</u> Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority

James T. Mellon Mellon Pries, P.C. 2150 Butterfield Dr., Ste. 100 Troy, Michigan 48084-3427 248-649-1330

<u>Defendant</u> Charter County of Wayne

Davidde A. Stella Zenna Elhasan Wayne County Corporation Counsel 500 Griswold St., 11th Floor Detroit, Michigan 48226 313-224-5030

Defendants

Karen Khalil
Redford Township 17th District Court
Cathleen Dunn
John Schipani
Redford Township Police Department
Joseph Bommarito
James Turner
David Holt
Jonathan Strong
"Police Officer" Butler
Tracey Schultz-Kobylarz
Charter Township of Redford
DOES 1-10

Jeffrey Clark, attorney Cummings, McClorey, Davis & Acho, P.L.C. 33900 Schoolcraft Rd. Livonia, Michigan 48150 734-261-2400

David Schied and Cornell Squires (hereinafter "PGAs Schied and Squires"),

being each of the People², and having established this case as a suit of the

² PEOPLE. "People are supreme, not the state." [Waring vs. the Mayor of Savannah, 60 Georgia at 93]; "The state cannot diminish rights of the people." [Hertado v. California, 100 US 516]; Preamble to the US and Michigan

sovereign³, acting in their own capacity, herein accept for value the oaths⁴ and bonds of all the officers of this court, including attorneys. Having already presented the initial causes of action to this Article III District Court of the United

Constitutions – "We the people … do ordain and establish this Constitution…;" "…at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects…with none to govern but themselves…" [Chisholm v. Georgia (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455, 2 Dall (1793) pp471-472]: "The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative." [Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7]. See also, <u>Dred Scott v. Sandford</u>, 60 U.S. 393 (1856) which states: "The words 'people of the United States' and 'citizens' are synonymous terms, and mean the same thing. They both describe the political body who, according to our republican institutions, form the sovereignty, and who hold the power and conduct the Government through their representatives. They are what we familiarly call the 'sovereign people', and every citizen is one of this people, and a constituent member of this sovereignty."

³ <u>McCullock v. Maryland</u>, 4 Wheat 316, 404, 405, states "In the United States, Sovereignty resides in the people, who act through the organs established by the Constitution," and <u>Colten v. Kentucky</u> (1972) 407 U.S. 104, 122, 92 S. Ct. 1953 states; "The constitutional theory is that we the people are the sovereigns, the state and federal officials only our agents." See also, <u>First Trust Co. v. Smith</u>, 134 Neb.; 277 SW 762, which states in pertinent part, "The theory of the American political system is that the ultimate sovereignty is in the people, from whom all legitimate authority springs, and the people collectively, acting through the medium of constitutions, create such governmental agencies, endow them with such powers, and subject them to such limitations as in their wisdom will best promote the common good."

⁴OATHS. Article VI: "This Constitution, and the laws of the United States... shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby; anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding... All executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this Constitution."

States as a *court of record*², *PGA Schied* and *PGA Squires* hereby proceed according to the course of Common Law⁶.

This court and the opposing parties should all take notice WE DO NOT CONSENT to the reference of parties named as "grievants" and/or as Private Attorney Generals as otherwise being corporate fictions in ALL CAPS of lettering as "plaintiff" (e.g., "DAVID SCHIED, plaintiff"). Note that all "summons" were issued with notice to all co-Defendants that Grievant David Schied is "sui juris."

WE DO NOT CONSENT to the assignment of this case, otherwise attempted to be "filed" in Ann Arbor and ultimately filed in Flint, being subsequently sent to Detroit, in the heart of Wayne County, situated in a building

⁵ "A Court of Record is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial". [Jones v. Jones, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; Ex parte Gladhill, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See also, *Ledwith v. Rosalsky*, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689]. ⁶ COMMON LAW. – According to *Black's Law Dictionary* (Abridged Sixth Edition, 1991): "As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures [admiralty], the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs." "[I]n this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England." [1 Kent, Comm. 492. State v. Buchanan, 5 Har. & J. (Md.) 3G5, 9 Am. Dec. 534; Lux v. Ilaggin, G9 Cal. 255, 10 Pac. G74; Western Union Tel. Co. v. Call Pub. Co., 21 S.Ct. 561, 181 U.S. 92, 45 L.Ed. 765; Barry v. Port Jervis, 72 N.Y.S. 104, 64 App. Div. 268; U. S. v. Miller, D.C. Wash., 236 F. 798, 800.]

believed to be leased by Defendant Charter County of Wayne to the United States

District Court with a proven proclivity toward contributing to the *domestic*terrorism being carried out, hand-in-hand with state and county government imposters, as <u>usurpers</u> of *The People's* power and authority.

"Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . ." <u>U.S. v. Tweel</u>, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also <u>U.S. v. Prudden</u>, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; <u>Carmine v. Bowen</u>, 64 A. 932

CONCISE STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED

The organic Constitution created and ordained by and for the People of the united States of America is the Supreme Law of the Land, and the First Amendment *Petition Clause* guarantees the People the right to redress. The U.S. Supreme Court has determined that such a right is *fundamental*, "*important*," and thus, inviolable in an Article III Court of Record, such as in this instant ongoing case initially filed by *sui juris* Grievant David Schied.

The Supreme Court has also recognized that certain conditions that concern the *public interest* warrant occasions where the filing and litigation of the public's interest by Private Attorney Generals is justified for proper "standing." In this case, numerous additional co-Grievants have established "joinder" claims against the co-Defendants listed in this case and, having been so enjoined, now speak through the collective advocacy of their fellow claimants as "Private Attorney Generals," being David Schied and Cornell Squires.

At issue in the claims, individually and collectively, is that agents of the co-Defendants – acting under color of law, simulating legal process, conducting legal acts in illegal manners, while unlawfully usurping their unconstitutional exercise of power and authority – are, by formal definition of their acts, domestic terrorists. Their claims all have in common First Amendment Petition Clause violations. All of these "backward-looking access-to-court" claims involve both predicate and secondary level offenses that have resulted from multi-tiered denials of due process by judicial usurpers and others who hold membership in a thoroughly corrupted State BAR of Michigan.

This instant filing presents the proper facts supporting the basis for enjoining the Affiant, who has similar claims against the co-Defendants and their corporately contracted "errors and omissions" excess insurance policy and its accompanying \$100 Billion "domestic terrorism" coverage.

SWORN AND NOTARIZED AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS (By James Wesley Hall)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)	
)	SS
WAYNE COUNTY)	

Grace Turnboe, being first duly sworn, states that:

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained herein.
- 2. If sworn as a witness, I can testify completely to the facts contained in this Affidavit.
- 3. I was born in the United States and, as a living human being, I have lived here my whole life as a sovereign, being one of *We, The People*.
- 4. I am an entrepreneur. I have skills and experience in marketing, real estate, administrative, and have worked in design drafting, nursing, and myomassology.
- 5. I am aware that Grievant David Schied had filed a federal complaint on or around 5/21/15 against the Charter County of Wayne, against their "errors and omissions" insurance contract with the Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania ("ICSOP"), and against their corporate affiliate, the American Insurance Group ("AIG"), as well as numerous other co-Defendants named in their corporate personage or in their individual capacities.
- 6. I have the same or similar claims to Grievant Schied in that my First Amendment right-to-redress on the initial level (i.e., "predicate") claims was violated by usurpers of government power and authority, constituting various forms of judicial misconduct and other criminal misconduct, corruption, racketeering, and ultimately domestic terrorism.
- 7. I have "backward-looking access-to-court" claims, meaning: a) that I was denied access to the court through the intentional suppression, preventing disclosure, and/or denial of evidence critical to a previous or "predicate" suit;

- <u>b</u>) by the government otherwise impeding or thwarting my claim or potential claim; <u>c</u>) by denying me due process of proceedings, by unfair and/or discriminatory treatment as a poor litigant or a litigant without an attorney or through attorney threats or extortion; and/or d) through other means of preventing and/or undermining the litigation of my initial claims of wrongdoing.
- 8. I also assert that the above denials of my rights constituted intentional, shocking and egregious wrongdoings of malice, tort, humiliation, embarrassment, and the institution of "state created dangers" against me, such that I became so restrained in my rights of liberty that I was rendered unable to care for myself. What I mean is that the agents of the Charter County of Wayne acted affirmatively and in a secondary-level of conspiracy with others to create certain such dangers against me, and/or to render me more vulnerable to such dangers to my inviolable rights.
- 9. I am aware that the Supreme Court of New York has established a proper definition of "dangerous to human life" by way of ruling in <u>Cochran v. Sess</u>, 168 NY 372, 61 N.E. 639 where Judge O'Brien essentially defined such danger as being "so threatening as to constitute an impending danger to persons in the enjoyment of their legitimate rights."
- 10. These wrongful actions of *terrorists*, as agents of the Charter County of Wayne who have and continue to be acting additionally on their own behalves, have forced me into a position of having dignitary and reputational as well as financial injuries, emotional and mental harm; and ultimately, have led to my loss of positive standing in my community, and have forced grave emotional suffering onto my family.
- 11. These wrongful actions referenced herein constitute "compensable injuries" against me as a real party of interest, and "damages" for which I am entitled to just compensation by this instant First Amendment redress.
- 12.I, like many others I know, have placed a certain degree of trust in our government bodies, expecting individual state actors to implement rules and regulations, to provide services, create order, mete out justice, and in general, to safeguard societal interests. Such trust is compelled in part by the government's monopoly on police power and rule-creation, which creates an unavoidable

dependency of the public upon government officers' faithful performance of their duties of office and within the bounds of the state and federal constitutions, statutes, and rules. I realize that their refusal to follow these guidelines creates a power imbalance and makes the citizenry particularly vulnerable to government <u>coercion</u>. In all, these factors align to give government <u>usurpers</u> a unique ability not only to harm me but to harm the greater number of people around me, with even greater ramifications for our society.

- 13.I am aware of the United States' formal definition of "domestic terrorism" as depicted by 18 U.S.C. § 2331 as also published on the FBI's official website found at: https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/investigate/terrorism/terrorism-definition.
- 14.Based on the above definition, I hereby declare that I am both witness and victim of "acts dangerous to my life" and to my inviolable constitutionally-guaranteed rights; and declare that I am both witness and victim to the coercion" and/or to the "kidnapping" of the local population, and the coercion of the government otherwise instituted by We, The People, which altogether constitutes "domestic terrorism" by that above definition.
- 15.I am aware that to prevent a collapse of American freedom and social order, the community as a whole must take steps to ensure that the legitimate "empowering function" of government prevails, and that we must each see personally that the constitutional guarantees for We, The People are effectively enforced at both the state and the federal levels.
- 16. Based on the above stated facts and my being a real party of interest without the competence to litigate this complex case myself, I have asked Grievant David Schied to enjoin my First Amendment denial-of-access claim with his own ongoing case against the Charter County of Wayne; and while adding my claims against the charter county's insurance contract on an "errors and omissions" policy which, according to information and belief, also covers acts of domestic terrorism as defined above.
- 17.Because I am unskilled in litigating my own interests in this type of matter, I rely upon my common law right to appoint David Schied and Cornell Squires as my "next friend." I neither wish to be represented by an attorney nor can I

afford one financially. I understanding that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 17 allow for my appointment of a "next friend," and Rule 18 allows for this enjoinment of my case to the pre-existing case holding similar claims against common co-Defendants.

- 18.I am aware that the legal advocacy of Private Attorney Generals David Schied and Cornell Squires, in enjoining my legal claims with those of the existing claimant or claimants similarly situated in the case referenced on page 1 of this document, is legitimate. They each and together have both my permission and my confidence in advocating on my behalf even as I maintain full responsibility for my private interests through them in this matter as fellow sovereigns, and by me being like them, as another of *We, The People* having been personally damaged and retaining all rights to redress and compensation for my injuries.
- 19. I am incorporating within this "Sworn and Notarized Affidavit..." the accompanying "<u>Exhibit A</u>" as my "<u>Concise Statement of Specific Facts</u>" relating to the backward-looking predicate case to which I was denied access to the court through secondary violations of my First Amendment rights.

<u>EXHIBIT A</u> – "<u>CONCISE STATEMENT OF SPECIFIC FACTS</u>"

- A. My ordeal began in 2011 when I received notice that taxes were overdue on a home I owned. The clerks, as agents of the Charter County of Wayne, refused to file into the record a Quit Claim Deed that I had received from my mother for a home located at 17555 Maine in Detroit, 48212. These agents for the Charter County of Wayne continually insisted that something was wrong with the Quit Claim Deed. It literally took around four years for these agents to finally accept the very same document in 2015. They continually placed my mother's name instead of my own name on the payment plan contract that they were asking me to sign. Thus, by the time the Quit Claim Deed was filed into the record, there were three more years of taxes levied against me as the correctly-listed owner of the home.
- B. With the understanding that these tax debts would be accumulating, in 2015, I applied online for the "Step Forward Michigan" program [MCL 211.7u; MCL 211.7u(2)(b)] for the "Hardest Hit" fund to pay this tax burden.

Because I believed myself to be qualified for this program, I faxed printouts of this application to Lansing multiple times and eventually I was denied. Again, as with what occurred with the clerk's office regarding the Quit Claim Deed, there was a long delay, this time for a year, in processing my requests for "Step Forward Michigan" assistance because my hardship letters never met their standards.

- C. During this time, I was making payments on those accumulating taxes anyway, per a mandated amount each month that had been unilaterally determined by some archaic method that nobody could explain to me. I found out around 2012 that my monthly payments were not going to the Treasury Department but directly to "Raymond J. Wojtowicz," so I stopped the payments. For example, my credit card payment history report showed that on 5/14/15, my "eTaxPayment" went to "Raymond J. Wojtowicz, Treasurer."
- D. Time has since revealed that Wojtowicz absconded from his elected position as Treasurer in the midst of there being major turmoil encompassing his office. Subsequently, through the same type of racketeering and corruption that has created that mess surrounding that office, the newly appointed former prosecutor a man who was husband to the former Supreme Court "justice" Diane Hathaway who was convicted of bank fraud in 2012 and sent to a federal prison Richard Hathaway, came into the position of replacement Treasurer for only two months before he suddenly, and without explanation, resigned. I can only guess what might have happened with my money, and my tax payments, if I had continued to tender my payments out Wojtowicz, or to Hathaway, only to see either of them abscond with my money as well as with other taxpayers' trust through these types of treasonous racketeering acts.
- E. Upon information and belief, Raymond J. Wojtowicz was operating in the *usurped* position of the Wayne County Treasurer because I found out that he did not even have an Oath of Office at the time I also found out he was the actual recipient of my intended tax payments. Since then, Wojtowicz left that office more than a full year and a half prior to the end of his fiduciary term as government "functionary".

- F. Upon information and belief, the City of Detroit is forwarding the delinquent tax bills for its population to the Wayne County Treasurer's office to collect for them. These tax bills are for exceedingly high amounts that are not based upon the true tax value (State Equalized Value MCL 211.27) of those properties. The city assessor's office has failed to do a proper assessment on these properties; and this has been going on for at least a decade. The county commissioners have been notified about this issue for at least the past two years; and the Wayne County Treasurer's office has been notified about this problem for the past five years; and neither is doing anything about it, even despite that this issue was reported in the Voice of Detroit online newspaper.
- F. I have evidence that the assessment values for my home constructed by the City of Detroit Assessor's Office is inconsistent between the tax years of 2013, 2014 and 2015, which makes my bills *fraudulent*. Within the two-block radius from my home, there are about 17 vacant and/or blighted homes. Therefore, there is no way that my tax bill is correct when it claims a little over \$1,400 per year, and with a delinquent amount owed of \$5,058.56 as of this month.

Further, Affiant sayeth not.

Thate Lumbas

March 20th, 2016

Grace Turnboe, Affiant

Date

STATE OF MICHIGAN)		
) SS		
WAYNE COUNTY)			
On this 20 day of March, 2016, before me appeared Grace Turnboe to me known or			
identified to me to be the person described in and who executed the forgoing instrument.			
Cornelle	Lennesh	06-18-21	
NOTA	RY PUBLIC	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES	
(notary stamp and/or seal)		CORNELL E. SQUIRES SR NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF MICHIGAN COUNTY OF WAYNE My Commission Expires June 18, 2015 Acting in the County of	