

DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES ¹
(FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION)

David Schied and Cornell Squires

Case No. 2:15-cv-11840

Sui Juris Grievants/Private Attorney Generals

Judge: Avern Cohn

and Next Friend to Hiram Robinson, Jr. "*Enjoined*" as

Crime Victims / Common Law Grievants / Claimants,

v.

In their Individual Capacities:

Karen Khalil, Cathleen Dunn, Joseph Bommarito; James Turner; David Holt,;
Jonathan Strong; "Police Officer" Butler,; John Schipani; Tracey Schultz-Kobylarz
and

Redford Township Police Department; Redford Township 17th District Court;
Charter Township of Redford; Charter County of Wayne Michigan; Municipal
Risk Management Authority ("MMRMA"); The Insurance Company of the State
of Pennsylvania ("ICSOP"); American International Group, Inc. ("AIG"); DOES 1-10;

Defendants /

CRIME VICTIM AND COMMON LAW GRIEVANT HIRAM ROBINSON, JR'S

"AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS"

IN SUPPORT OF

"JOINDER" CLAIMS OF CONSTITUTIONAL TORTS

BASED ON

THE FIRST AMENDMENT PETITION CLAUSE

AND

EVIDENCE OF DOMESTIC TERRORISM

¹ "The term 'District Courts of the United States,' as used in the rules, without an addition expressing a wider connotation, has its historic significance. It describes the constitutional courts created under article 3 of the Constitution. Courts of the Territories are legislative courts, properly speaking, and are not District Courts of the United States. We have often held that vesting a territorial court with jurisdiction similar to that vested in the District Courts of the United States does not make it a 'District Court of the United States.'" *Mookini v. United States*, 303 U.S. 201 (1938) citing from *Reynolds v. United States*, 98 U.S. 145 , 154; *The City of Panama*, 101 U.S. 453 , 460; *In re Mills*, 135 U.S. 263, 268 , 10 S.Ct. 762; *McAllister v. United States*, 141 U.S. 174, 182 , 183 S., 11 S.Ct. 949; *Stephens v. Cherokee Nation*, 174 U.S. 445, 476 , 477 S., 19 S.Ct. 722; *Summers v. United States*, 231 U.S. 92, 101 , 102 S., 34 S.Ct. 38; *United States v. Burroughs*, 289 U.S. 159, 163 , 53 S. Ct. 574.

*Sui Juris Grievants / Next Friends and
Co-Private Attorney Generals
David Schied and Cornell Squires
and Hiram Robinson, Jr.*

P.O. Box 1378
Novi, Michigan 48376
248-974-7703

Defendants

**The Insurance Company of the
State of Pennsylvania**

AND

American International Group, Inc.
Plunkett Cooney
Charles Browning
Warren White
38505 Woodward Ave., Suite 2000
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
248-901-4000

Defendants

**Michigan Municipal Risk
Management Authority**
James T. Mellon
Mellon Pries, P.C.
2150 Butterfield Dr., Ste. 100
Troy, Michigan 48084-3427
248-649-1330

Defendant

Charter County of Wayne

Davidde A. Stella
Zenna Elhasan
Wayne County Corporation Counsel
500 Griswold St., 11th Floor
Detroit, Michigan 48226
313-224-5030

Defendants

**Karen Khalil
Redford Township 17th District Court
Cathleen Dunn
John Schipani
Redford Township Police Department
Joseph Bommarito
James Turner
David Holt
Jonathan Strong
“Police Officer” Butler
Tracey Schultz-Kobylarz
Charter Township of Redford
DOES 1-10**

Jeffrey Clark, attorney
Cummings, McClorey, Davis & Acho, P.L.C.
33900 Schoolcraft Rd.
Livonia, Michigan 48150
734-261-2400

David Schied and Cornell Squires (hereinafter “*PGAs Schied and Squires*”),
being each of the **People**², and having established this case as a *suit of the*

² PEOPLE. “*People are supreme, not the state.*” [*Waring vs. the Mayor of Savannah*, 60 Georgia at 93]; “*The state cannot diminish rights of the people.*” [*Hertado v. California*, 100 US 516]; Preamble to the US and Michigan Constitutions – “*We the people ... do ordain and establish this Constitution...;*” “*...at the Revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the*

sovereign³, acting in their own capacity, herein accept for value the oaths⁴ and bonds of all the officers of this court, including attorneys. Having already presented the initial causes of action to this Article III District Court of the United

sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects...with none to govern but themselves..." [*Chisholm v. Georgia* (US) 2 Dall 419, 454, 1 L Ed 440, 455, 2 Dall (1793) pp471-472]: "*The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative.*" [*Lansing v. Smith*, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7]. See also, *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. 393 (1856) which states: "*The words 'people of the United States' and 'citizens' are synonymous terms, and mean the same thing. They both describe the political body who, according to our republican institutions, form the sovereignty, and who hold the power and conduct the Government through their representatives. They are what we familiarly call the 'sovereign people', and every citizen is one of this people, and a constituent member of this sovereignty.*"

³ *McCullock v. Maryland*, 4 Wheat 316, 404, 405, states "*In the United States, Sovereignty resides in the people, who act through the organs established by the Constitution,*" and *Colten v. Kentucky* (1972) 407 U.S. 104, 122, 92 S. Ct. 1953 states; "*The constitutional theory is that we the people are the sovereigns, the state and federal officials only our agents.*" See also, *First Trust Co. v. Smith*, 134 Neb.; 277 SW 762, which states in pertinent part, "*The theory of the American political system is that the ultimate sovereignty is in the people, from whom all legitimate authority springs, and the people collectively, acting through the medium of constitutions, create such governmental agencies, endow them with such powers, and subject them to such limitations as in their wisdom will best promote the common good.*"

⁴ OATHS. Article VI: "*This Constitution, and the laws of the United States... shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby; anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding... All executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this Constitution.*"

States as a *court of record*⁵, *PGA Schied* and *PGA Squires* hereby proceed according to the course of Common Law⁶.

This court and the opposing parties should all take notice **WE DO NOT CONSENT to the reference of parties named as “grievants” and/or as Private Attorney Generals as otherwise being corporate fictions in ALL CAPS of lettering as “plaintiff”** (e.g., “DAVID SCHIED, plaintiff”). Note that all “*summons*” were issued with notice to all co-Defendants that Grievant David Schied is “*sui juris*.”

WE DO NOT CONSENT to the assignment of this case, otherwise attempted to be “*filed*” in Ann Arbor and ultimately filed in Flint, being subsequently sent to Detroit, in the heart of Wayne County, situated in a building

⁵ “*A Court of Record is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial*”. [*Jones v. Jones*, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; *Ex parte Gladhill*, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See also, *Ledwith v. Rosalsky*, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689].

⁶ COMMON LAW. – According to *Black’s Law Dictionary* (Abridged Sixth Edition, 1991): “*As distinguished from law created by the enactment of legislatures [admiralty], the common law comprises the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity, or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs.*” “[I]n this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England.” [1 Kent, Comm. 492. *State v. Buchanan*, 5 Har. & J. (Md.) 3G5, 9 Am. Dec. 534; *Lux v. Ilaggin*, G9 Cal. 255, 10 Pac. G74; *Western Union Tel. Co. v. Call Pub. Co.*, 21 S.Ct. 561, 181 U.S. 92, 45 L.Ed. 765; *Barry v. Port Jervis*, 72 N.Y.S. 104, 64 App. Div. 268; *U. S. v. Miller*, D.C. Wash., 236 F. 798, 800.]

believed to be leased by Defendant Charter County of Wayne to the United States District Court with a proven proclivity toward contributing to the *domestic terrorism* being carried out, hand-in-hand with state and county government imposters, as usurpers of *The People's* power and authority.

“Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . .” U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932

CONCISE STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED

The organic Constitution created and ordained by and for the People of the united States of America is the Supreme Law of the Land, and the First Amendment *Petition Clause* guarantees the People the right to redress. The U.S. Supreme Court has determined that such a right is *fundamental*, “*important*,” and thus, inviolable in an Article III Court of Record, such as in this instant ongoing case initially filed by *sui juris* Grievant David Schied.

The Supreme Court has also recognized that certain conditions that concern the *public interest* warrant occasions where the filing and litigation of the public’s interest by Private Attorney Generals is justified for proper “*standing*.” In this case, numerous additional co-Grievants have established “*joinder*” claims against the co-Defendants listed in this case and, having been so enjoined, now speak through the collective advocacy of their fellow claimants as “*Private Attorney Generals*,” being David Schied and Cornell Squires.

At issue in the claims, individually and collectively, is that agents of the co-Defendants – acting under *color of law*, *simulating legal process*, conducting *legal acts in illegal manners*, while unlawfully *usurping* their unconstitutional exercise of power and authority – are, by formal definition of their acts, *domestic terrorists*. Their claims all have in common First Amendment *Petition Clause* violations. All of these “*backward-looking access-to-court*” claims involve both *predicate* and *secondary* level offenses that have resulted from multi-tiered denials of due process by *judicial usurpers* and others who hold membership in a thoroughly corrupted State BAR of Michigan.

This instant filing presents the proper facts supporting the basis for enjoining the Affiant, who has similar claims against the co-Defendants and their corporately contracted “*errors and omissions*” excess insurance policy and its accompanying \$100 Billion “*domestic terrorism*” coverage.

through attorney threats or extortion; and/or d) through other means of preventing and/or undermining the litigation of my initial claims of wrongdoing.

8. I also assert that the above denials of my rights constituted intentional, shocking and egregious wrongdoings of malice, tort, humiliation, embarrassment, and the institution of “*state created dangers*” against me, such that I became so restrained in my rights of liberty that I was rendered unable to care for myself. What I mean is that the agents of the Charter County of Wayne acted affirmatively and in a *secondary-level* of conspiracy with others to create certain such dangers against me, and/or to render me more vulnerable to such dangers to my inviolable rights.
9. I am aware that the Supreme Court of New York has established a proper definition of “*dangerous to human life*” by way of ruling in *Cochran v. Sess*, 168 NY 372, 61 N.E. 639 where Judge O’Brien essentially defined such danger as being “*so threatening as to constitute an impending danger to persons in the enjoyment of their legitimate rights.*”
10. These wrongful actions of *terrorists*, as agents of the Charter County of Wayne who have and continue to be acting additionally on their own behalves, have forced me into a position of having dignitary and reputational as well as financial injuries, emotional and mental harm; and ultimately, have led to my loss of positive standing in my community, and have forced grave emotional suffering onto my family.
11. These wrongful actions referenced herein constitute “*compensable injuries*” against me as a real party of interest, and “*damages*” for which I am entitled to just compensation by this instant First Amendment redress.
12. I, like many others I know have placed a certain degree of trust in our government bodies, expecting individual state actors to implement rules and regulations, to provide services, create order, mete out justice, and in general, to safeguard societal interests. Such trust is compelled in part by the government’s monopoly on police power and rule-creation, which creates an unavoidable dependency of the public upon government officers’ *faithful performance* of their duties of office and within the bounds of the state and federal constitutions, statutes, and rules. I realize that their refusal to follow these

guidelines creates a power imbalance and makes the citizenry particularly vulnerable to government *coercion*. In all, these factors align to give government *usurpers* a unique ability not only to harm me but to harm the greater number of people around me, with even greater ramifications for our society.

13. I am aware of the United States' formal definition of "*domestic terrorism*" as depicted by 18 U.S.C. § 2331 as also published on the FBI's official website found at: <https://www.fbi.gov/about-us/investigate/terrorism/terrorism-definition>.

14. Based on the above definition, I hereby declare that I am both *witness* and *victim* of "*acts dangerous to my life*" and to my inviolable constitutionally-guaranteed rights; and declare that I am both *witness* and *victim* to the *coercion*" and/or to the "*kidnapping*" of my local population, and the *coercion of the government* otherwise instituted by *We, The People*, which altogether constitutes "*domestic terrorism*" by that above definition.

15. I am aware that to prevent a collapse of American freedom and social order, the community as a whole must take steps to ensure that the legitimate "*empowering function*" of government prevails, and that we must each see personally that the constitutional guarantees for *We, The People* are effectively enforced at both the state and the federal levels.

16. Based on the above stated facts and my being *a real party of interest* without the competence to litigate this complex case myself, I have asked Grievant David Schied to enjoin my First Amendment denial-of-access claim with his own ongoing case against the Charter County of Wayne; and while adding my claims against the charter county's insurance contract on an "*errors and omissions*" policy which, according to information and belief, also covers acts of *domestic terrorism* as defined above.

17. Because I am unskilled in litigating my own interests in this type of matter, I rely upon my common law right to appoint David Schied and Cornell Squires as my "*next friend*." I neither wish to be represented by an attorney nor can I afford one financially. I understanding that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 17 allow for my appointment of a "*next friend*," and Rule 18 allows for

this enjoinder of my case to the pre-existing case holding similar claims against common co-Defendants.

18. I am aware that the legal advocacy of Private Attorney Generals David Schied and Cornell Squires, in enjoining my legal claims with those of the existing claimant or claimants similarly situated in the case referenced on page 1 of this document, is legitimate. They each and together have both my permission and my confidence in advocating on my behalf even as I maintain full responsibility for my private interests through them in this matter as fellow sovereigns, and by me being like them, as another of *We, The People* having been personally damaged and retaining all rights to redress and compensation for my injuries.
19. I am incorporating within this “*Sworn and Notarized Affidavit...*” the accompanying “*Exhibit A*” as my “*Concise Statement of Specific Facts*” relating to the backward-looking *predicate* case to which I was denied access to the court through *secondary* violations of my First Amendment rights.

EXHIBIT A – “CONCISE STATEMENT OF SPECIFIC FACTS”

- A. On 2/28/06, I took out a home improvement loan (No. 1006710598) with New Century Mortgage Corporation on my grandfather’s former home (at 3115 S. Edsel St. in Detroit) in the amount of about \$63,000. Subsequently, in 2008, New Century Mortgage went out of business and U.S. Bank National Association miraculously entered my life by fraudulently recording an “*Assignment of Mortgage*” with the Wayne County Register of Deeds office on the property that had been owned by my grandfather for more than the previous 50 years.
- B. My records show that in 2007 I was making monthly mortgage payments on the above-referenced loan amount, but with a different loan number (No. 1100190938) to **America’s** Servicing Company.
- C. Defunct U.S. Bank National Association fraudulently recorded an assignment of mortgage with the Wayne County Register of Deeds for the sum of “(\$1.00)” on my property at 3115 S. Edsel in Detroit, MI 48217 on 6/11/09.

- D. For reasons that are unknown to me until today, a man by the name of Tom Early from EARLY Realty acting his behalf James T. Gean Realtors and Keller Williams Realty, had come to my home on or about 6/1/10, came on to my property, committed a home invasion by drilling my door lock out and placing another lock on the door, and locking me out of my own home. I was compelled to hire a professional locksmith to let me in my home and provide me with a new door and locks. Subsequently, that terrorist came back to my home again 3/3/11 and did the very same thing again, and while invading my home, he placed a "For Sale" sign in my front window, placing my home up for sale on the open market.
- E. Around the end of 2010 and beginning of 2011, the Trott & Trott foreclosure mill, known to be part owner of the Detroit Legal News and law firm known to utilize criminal tactics to steal homes from masses of people, began executing the "*nonjudicial foreclosure*" process against our family by four publishing public notices of intent to foreclose and hold an auction on our family home. The dates of those publications were cited to be on December 14, December 21, December 28 of 2010 and on January 4, 2011.
- F. In executing legal Affidavits about the above-referenced publications, the Trott & Trott law firm engaged in fraud. These foreclosure notices were fraudulent because they utilized fraudulent ("*robosigned*") notary signatures. Specifically, they used the "initials" of Pushpa Jayaprakash and Deborah Elick, both who are known to have allowed others to use their name in the form of initials on notarized documents, despite that the Secretary of State has specifically named Jayaprakash and Elick when determining that what they are doing invalidates their notary signature.
- G. I have evidence that these nonjudicial foreclosure actions as outlined above, were organized by the Trott & Trott agents of Ellen Coon and Donald King. They conspired with the intent to construction of a fraudulent documents, specifically for the purpose of stealing homes like mine. Notably, I have discovered since the ending of my ordeal that Ellen Coon is a notorious robosigner and Donald King has been a key instrument of the Trott & Trott law firm carrying out massive land thefts, not only in Wayne County but also across the entire state.

- H. On January 12, 2011, the Trott & Trott foreclosure mill conspired with the known agents of the Defendant Charter County of Wayne, to construct a fraudulent foreclosure sale and subsequent fraudulent "*Sheriff's Deed on Mortgage Sale*." Instrumental to that sale were participants under employ of the Wayne County Sheriff Benny Napoleon, who were Ralph Leggat and Yolanda Diaz. Both were masquerading as "sheriff's deputies" but the evidence found by other crime victims of such fraud proves that Leggat was only an accountant and not a sworn deputy at this time. Additionally, the address given on this 1/12/11 "Sheriff's Deed" also fraudulently reports Ralph Leggat to be employed at the address of 1231 S. Antoine St. in Detroit, where, in FACT, that was then and still is the precise location of the Greektown Casino instead.
- I. On 2/2/11, my records show that I was still properly making all of my monthly loan payments to America's Servicing Company ("ASC"), purportedly a "*Hazard Insurance Processing Center*" in Springfield, Ohio. The "*Notice of Hazard Insurance Renewal*" shows that they had incorporated the homeowner insurance policy into my monthly payments on the loan (No. 1100190938).
- J. On 8/4/11, Trott & Trott P.C. law firm (Donald King and Edward A. Mahl) and the U.S. Bank National Association ("USBNA") took their fraudulence to the next level in attempt to steal the home that my grandfather otherwise had signed over to my brother and me. "USBNA" obtained a possession judgment against our house in a conspiracy of theft with the help of 36th District Court judicial usurper Ronald Giles. That "*judgment*" from Giles included an "*order*" evicting my family from the household referenced above by 10/31/11.
- K. On 9/2/11, I filed an appeal of Giles' 36th Circuit Court eviction order. I filed it in the Wayne County Circuit Court ("3rd Judicial Circuit") that was Case No. 11-010748-CH. I also filed "*pro per*" and without an attorney representing me. The case was assigned to the all-but "*honorable*" Robert J. Colombo, Jr., who now is known as the "*chief judge*" of that domestic terrorist network masquerading as a "*court*." Notably, at the time that I filed this case, I paid a "*jury fee*" in exercise of my right to take my case to a trial by jury, and I never got before a jury and never got the return of my jury fee payment.

- L. On 9/20/11, the 36th District Court charged me \$20 for me to file a “petition” to have all of my case transferred to the 3rd Circuit Court. The *judicial usurper* Ronald Giles totally ignored a petition without response and without type of adjudication or order. Essentially, the court stole my money under false pretense of processing this petition. Instead, Giles went forth on 9/30/11 to hold another motion hearing in which he granted Trott & Trott and their clients their requested judgment order for taking possession of my property.
- M. On 10/12/11, the so-called clerks, acting as *domestic terrorist* to coerce me and to coerce the way our constitutional government should otherwise be working, created a BOGUS case and number (No. 11-012509-AV), assigning that case to another *judicial usurper* by the name of Michael Sapala. I believe that by constructing this bogus case with my name as the “*appellant*” that I was the victim of identity theft, for the purpose of creating a fraudulent court document.
- N. The *Register of Actions* from the “3rd Judicial Circuit of Michigan” for Case No. 11-012509-AV shows the case captioning on my appeal properly as “*Robinson, Hiram Jr. v. U.S. Bank National Association.*” However, in contrast, I have an “*Order Granting Temporary Stay Until Matter is Reassigned and Heard Substantively*” – dated 10/31/11 which reflects that stamped Roman Letters of “Michael F. Sapala” as the “judge of record” at a hearing that purported took place on that very same case, which not only holds a clerk’s label reflecting the captioning as cited above, but that this fraudulent document was drafted in concert with the involvement of Trott & Trott attorney Donald King and my own newly-hired attorney Lawrence Nathaniel Radden, which holds a case captioning in the body of this court Order clearly reflecting that I was being relegated to being the “*Defendant*” and with the captioning of “*U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee New Century Mortgage Corporation RS (Plaintiff-Appellee) vs. Hiram Robinson Jr., Michael Brown, Katherine Brown (Defendant-Appellants).*”
- O. Notably, this latter document acknowledges that upon my filing my “*appeal*,” a **second** case was arbitrarily constructed with the assignment of two separate judges. Interestingly, while it references the 9/2/11 initiation of the first case that I knowingly filed as shown above, it does not reference an

initiation date for the second case that was arbitrarily opened without my knowledge and with the theft of my identity as the so-called “Defendant.” This shows that Michael Sapala and my own attorney knowingly and willingly allowed this fraud to go without accountability, without reporting the theft of my identity in creating a fraudulent court record, and while “*signing*” an order listing me wrongly on a bogus case as the “*Defendant.*”

- P. Incredulously, I have evidence that the Trott & Trott attorneys had stolen my identity and opened up yet and ***bogus*** case in 36th District Court and naming me again as the “Defendant”. This was clearly a conspiracy to deprive of rights contrived between the Trott & Trott attorneys Donald King and Ryan Barr and the 36th District Court “*judicial usurper / domestic terrorist*” Paula G. Humphree, who bears the State BAR of Michigan number of P-31275. This document shows fraud upon the court by the assignment of a completely different case (No. 11-319203-LT) for the purpose of evicting me from my home while I was undergoing the higher (3rd Judicial Circuit) court “appeal” of the fraudulent lower (36th District) court ruling by Ronald Giles. The document signed by Humphree on 1/5/12 was yet another “*Order of Eviction.*”
- Q. Further, I have evidence that on 11/2/11, my due process rights were again denied in the above-reference THREE cases at the Wayne County Circuit Court, when – without any jurisdiction, reason, law, court rule, or any other authority – the judge (Colombo and Sapala) conspired with Ronald Giles of the 36th District Court, to allow Giles to become some unorthodox form of “*visiting judge*” and to tamper with my case on appeal of that *domestic terrorist* of the 36th District Court. Effectively, Giles left his lower court and came over to the higher court, and crossed out one case number (11-308384-LT) – possibly a ***FOURTH*** BOGUS case opened without my knowledge and permission – and hand-wrote in the lower (36th District) court case number (11319203) and signed the document in the 3rd Circuit Court as the official “*Order Dismissing [my] Appeal.*”
- R. On 11/4/11, the above events of the 36th District Court reaffirmed into their “*Register of Actions*” that the 3rd Circuit Court had issued an order granting my motion for a temporary stay on the 36th District Court “*judgment of possession and eviction*” order by Giles on case No. 11-319203 LT. Subsequently on 11/9/11, that “*Register of Action*” reflected that “*judge*”

Ronald Giles was a Third Circuit Court judge, indicating his further tampering with my appeal of his lower 36th District Court ruling.

- S. On 11/11/11, *judicial usurper* Robert Colombo then issued an Order on second BOGUS case (No. 11-012509-AV) that had been initially assigned to Sapala. That fraudulent “*order*” stated that my so-called “*appeal*” in that case was “*dismissed pursuant to the November 4, 2011 Order Dismissing Appeal of the 36th District Court*”. Notably, this order “*signed*” with yet another Roman Lettering “*stamp*” with “*Robert J. Colombo, Jr. 's*” name on it did not make mention of the so-called “*judge*” that purportedly signed that previous (unknown to me) “*Order Dismissing Appeal*.”
- T. As reflected in the 36th District Court “*Register of Actions*,” on 11/14/11 all judicial officials disregarded the 3rd Circuit Court “*judge*” Michael Sapala’s “*temporary stay on proceedings*” in the 36th District Court and instead SOMEONE unidentified by the *Register of Actions* issued a “*Writ of Restitution*” against me.
- U. On 11/18/11, the *Register of Actions* for case No. 11-012509-AV reflects that *judicial usurper* Robert Colombo, having never responded on the case that I had originally filed on 9/2/11 (on case No. 11-010748-CH), instead was working clandestinely with Giles from the 36th District Court to hold an ex-parte hearing with Trott & Trott attorneys, who had filed a “*motion to dismiss appeal*” and a “*motion to dissolve the ‘temporary restraining order’*” issued by Sapala on the temporary stay of proceedings. I was never notified as the Appellant about these proceedings. As a consequence, Colombo dismissed my appeal on case No. 11-012509-AV while on the record for case No. 11-010748-CH.
- V. On 12/1/11, I filed a “*motion for reconsideration*” and to “*reinstate [my] appeal*” and another “*motion to quash and vacate the writ of restitution*” and Colombo denied that the very next day on 12/2/11. Although the court waived my filing fees on these motions I filed on 12/1/11, they turned around on 12/5/11 and applied a \$20 motion fee against me on the very same motion that they had just finished ruling to deny on 12/2/11.
- W. For some unexplained reason, the Register of Actions on the above events, which exclusively cover only the events of Case No. 11-012509-AV – which

the Third Circuit Court Clerk of the Court's office printed on 12/13/2011 at 11:21 AM – references an event in the future, referencing a “*Motion Hearing*” on the “*motion for reconsideration and reinstatement of appeal*” – to be held or *was* held on 12/16/11, which had already been dismissed on 12/2/11 by Colombo. Notably, after I received this printed “*Register of Actions*” reflecting this upcoming “*motion*” about which I was never notified and on which the motions had already been dismissed, I went to the Court anyway on 12/16/11 to see if such a “*motion hearing*” was actually to be held. What I found was, when my name was called, Colombo acted surprised that I was present in the courtroom and the other party was not present and therefore in default, Colombo asked me, “*What are you doing here? I told you it wasn't me, it was Giles. Go and see Giles.*” He then abruptly ended the proceedings, jumped up out of his seat, and ran to his judge's chambers.

- X. On 12/12/11, *judicial usurper* Ronald Giles issued a “*Notice to Appear*” in Case No. 11319203 on a “*motion*” that was supposed to be taking place at a time that I was actively pursuing the only “*appeal*” that I had even known about (as assigned Case No. 11-010748-CH with Robert Colombo as judge) in the higher Wayne County Circuit Court.

- Y. That “*original*” case (No. 11-010748-CH assigned to Colombo) was dismissed eight days later and not by Colombo but instead by the “*Clerk of the Court*” Cathy Garrett, the agent for the Defendant Charter County of Wayne. Her “*Order of Dismissal for Non-Service*” dated (12/20/11) demonstrates that throughout this entire “*circus*” of events, I was defrauded, had my identity stolen, and deprive of my rights in every which way but Sunday.

- Z. It was not until 5/4/12 and 6/19/12 respectively that Trott & Trott was compelled to admit their fraud upon the public and upon the court...at least to the extent that such fraud was proven as a matter of FACT and gave cause for Trott & Trott (“T&T”) attorney Edward Mahl, acting on his own behalf, on behalf of the T&T foreclosure mill, and on behalf of U.S. Bank National Association, to: a) construct a Quit Claim Deed to relinquish title back over to me as the rightful owner of the home at 3115 S. Edsel Street in Detroit; and, b) construct an “*Affidavit Expunging Sheriff's Deed on Mortgage Sale Filed Pursuant MCLA 565.451a*” which negated all the previous two and a

half years of their collective actions of *domestic terrorism* against my family and me.


AA. All of the above events had the effect of relegating me to a financial pauper. Even as I may have appeared to survive this terrorism upon my life, even more agents of the Defendant Charter County of Wayne swooped in just two years later, around 2014, and ended up taking my family home anyway, which I purchased from my grandfather. They did so using elevated assessments against the cash value of that property, and then going after me again through yet another round of foreclosure proceedings in which they successfully stole my home just last year in 2015.

BB. In addition, the agents for the county are – AT PRESENT – going after my second (“primary”) home at 13976 W. Outer Dr. in Detroit for the same bogus reasons and, again, using elevated assessments to carry out their dirty, vicious, domestic terrorists acts.

Further, Affiant sayeth not.



Hiram Robinson, Jr.



Date

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

) SS

OAKLAND COUNTY)

On this 29 day of March, 2016, before me appeared Hiram Robinson Jr to me known or identified to me to be the person described in and who executed the forgoing instrument.

Cornell E. Squires Sr
NOTARY PUBLIC

06-18-21
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES

(notary stamp and/or seal)

