

RECEIVED

DEC 29 2011 SWORN AND NOTARIZED STATEMENT OF INDIGENCY

AND

LEONARD GREEN, Clerk CLAIM OF CRIMINAL VICTIMIZATION

On the land of the Republic of MICHIGAN)
) SS

SWORN AFFIDAVIT

I, David Schied, named and having signed below, being first duly sworn as the "Plaintiff-Appellant", make the following statements do hereby declare the following:

1. This document is page two in an accompaniment to the attached "MOTION FOR PAUPER STATUS" and "FORM 4: AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS" being also provided by me, the Plaintiff-Appellant, David Schied.
2. I, David Schied, am filing this document after having been granted "Forma Pauperis" status throughout the proceedings of the lower court case, of which those proceedings were carried out throughout 2010 and with a final Judgment issued on September 7, 2011 but under such deceptive conditions by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan that I did not discover the judgment, hidden behind other documents improperly "served" nearly nine months prior, that were otherwise requested by me when I discovered that the case had been dismissed by Judge Denise Page Hood the previous December 2010, and without having served me at all with that previous information.
3. As noted in nearly all of the other documents filed with the Washtenaw County Circuit Court in December 2009 and with the U.S. District Court throughout the first half of 2010 in this instant case, I am reporting myself to be a CRIME VICTIM, with "predicate crimes" of misdemeanors being continuously perpetrated against me, and with secondary "felony" crimes being perpetuated in cover up of a long history of gross negligence, dereliction of duty, and malfeasance of duty by numerous government defendants. My sworn documents attest to my having properly reported these ongoing government crimes to the local police and prosecutors of two counties, and I have provided evidence to numerous State courts and to Federal courts that the police officers who forwarded those crime reports to state prosecutors committed FELONY PERJURY when they maliciously manipulated the information and evidence, and while forwarding those reports to prosecutors in such ways that the prosecutors would "find no evidence that crimes were committed".
4. My sworn documents affirm that the Evidence and sworn Statements were provided directly to prosecutors and to numerous Michigan attorney generals to no avail except to add evidence of their own FELONY "abuse of prosecutorial discretion" and while creating added documentation to demonstrate their intention to violate my civil right to criminal protection, full faith and credit, and equal opportunity employment. The documentation of these compounding CRIMINAL offenses was all provided to numerous courts in the past, including the Ingham County Circuit Court, the Wayne County Circuit Court, the Washtenaw County Circuit Court, the Michigan Court of Appeals, the Michigan Supreme Court, the U.S. District Court, to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, and even the U.S. Supreme Court in previous years, and again this year (in duplicate), yet with the same results of continued "fraud" being perpetrated by a conspiracy

between executive and judicial branches of State and Federal governments.

5. My sworn documents and evidence affirm that I have brought these civil and criminal issues to NINE OR TEN different Michigan circuit courts in four different Michigan counties, and at my personal cost of having to pay four different attorneys. These costs were incurred after I had been wrongfully terminated from employment by two government agencies employing numerous criminal government co-conspirators, after I had been defrauded by other criminal co-conspirators; while I was being illegally defamed by ALL of the government co-conspirators, and while I was being persistently compelled to “defame” myself in the attempt to support my defendant family with intermittent part-time and eventually full-time employment.
6. The transcripts of these outlandish number State circuit court cases themselves demonstrate GROSS NEGLIGENCE, INCOMPETENCE, and CRIMINAL MALFEASANCE OF DUTY by the Judges hearing these cases, who all REFUSED TO ADDRESS THE CRIMINAL ASPECTS OF THE CO-CONSPIRATORS’ ACTIONS, WHILE PROVIDING JUSTIFICATION, SANCTION AND “GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY” TO THE CRIMINALS, and while **holding me legally and financially “liable” for the consequences of these government co-conspirators’ ongoing criminal behaviors.**
7. **I am submitting just a smidgeon of the Evidence to this Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals that a multitude of Michigan judges have gone so far as to make me legally and financially “liable” for these ongoing criminal offenses by determining that I should have a “LIFE-SENTENCE” of responsibility for the “penalties”, the “disabilities”, the “collateral sanctions” and the “disqualifications” issued by school districts and sanctioned by the Michigan Department of Education and as purportedly sanctioned by Michigan legislators through MCL 380.1230d. The “modus operandi” for the judges committing these crimes is to refer to the erroneous rulings of previous judges and the fraudulent filings of the attorneys working for the executive branch of Michigan government. In this instant case against the Superintendent, business office managers and employees, and the Board of Education for the Lincoln Consolidated School District, the person submitting the fraudulent filings to the federal court has been the same person and law firm that started out defrauding the Courts in 2004, attorney Michael Weaver of the Plunkett-Cooney law firm in Bloomfield Hills, Michigan.**
8. My sworn documents and evidence affirm that these very same above-described issues have already been presented, through licensed Michigan attorneys and by me as a “pro se” litigant, to the Michigan Court of Appeals, to the Michigan Supreme Court, to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, and to the U.S. Supreme Court with the same results. All of these State and Federal judges are ignoring the pertinent facts, ignoring “**FRAUD**” PERPETRATED UPON THEIR COURTS BY THE CO-CONSPIRATORS’ MICHIGAN ATTORNEYS, BY THE MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL, BY NUMEROUS OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN; and while ignoring my natural rights as guaranteed under Michigan, Texas and United States laws, and under federal laws. **As such, I have been, and am currently being held financially “liable” for the insurmountable cost of having to pay for a continued address of the ongoing and repeated misdemeanor and felony crimes against me by the Lincoln Consolidated Schools, by the Northville Public Schools, by the Brighton Area Schools, and by the Michigan Department of Education; and with felony crimes also being perpetuated against me by numerous “state actors” of other Michigan governmental agencies via continuous violations of my guaranteed Constitutional rights “under color of law”, executed in a grand scheme of “government corruption” and**

cover-up of these other “predicate” crimes.

9. For the above stated reasons, I have also undertaken the ethical and financial responsibility for bringing these Michigan circuit court and Court of Appeals, and U.S. District Court and Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals judges under report for their part in this CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY through violations of their judicial duties, as I have filed detailed complaints and evidence of these injustices with the Judicial Tenure Commission and the Judicial Council for the Sixth Circuit, only to have multiple batches of those complaints dismissed or left pending. In the case of the federal judges – including Denise Page Hood – I have well over twenty (20) judicial misconduct complaints still pending after three years of initial filing (In the case of Judge Hood, the judicial misconduct complaint has been pending for the past year and a half.) Currently, I have over four separate cases working their way up in State court, all pertaining to unabated fraud, extortion, and conspiracy to deprive of rights under color of law by the government corporations ranging from the level of the “township” all the way up to the Michigan Supreme Court, and their representative “agents” in both their individual and official capacities.
10. My many previously-filed sworn documents and evidence to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals affirm that I have already had to pay the price of bringing the FELONY OFFENSES committed by local law enforcement, state and federal prosecutors, to county, state, and federal officials of the highest offices, including their respective “civil rights” and “crime victims” offices. The Evidence also shows that in each instance, I was provided only with further Evidence of MALFEASANCE by their respective offices, which I have presented clearly and repeatedly to State and United States courts. Similarly, I have brought the evidence of GROSS NEGLIGENCE and INCOMPETENCE by the law enforcement officials and county administrators to Governor Jennifer Granholm as the Chairperson of Michigan’s State Administrative Board, of which former Attorney General Mike Cox held a seat and by which Attorney General Bill Schuette currently holds a seat, only with a repeat of the ongoing criminal negligence and incompetence by the Governor, the attorney generals, and their staffs of attorneys. The same is true of the U.S. Attorneys Stephen Murphy, Terrence Berg, and now Barbara McQuade.
11. My sworn documents and evidence affirm that I have already had to pay the price of bringing complaints to the Northville School Board, to the Wayne County Regional Educational Service Agency, to the Brighton Area Schools Board, to the Livingston Educational Service Agency, to the Michigan Department of Education, to the Michigan Civil Rights Commission, to the Michigan Department of Civil Rights, and to the U.S. Department of Education’s Office of Civil Rights about ongoing violations of my son’s rights, as well as the rights of other children under the Individuals with Disabilities in Education Act (IDEA); and about ongoing violations of the my parent rights under the Family Educational Rights to Privacy Act (FERPA). I have reported to numerous of both State and United States “Court of Appeals” judges the ongoing school suspensions of my child by a named criminal co-defendant SCOTT SNYDER (in previous cases), after naming Mr. Snyder as a “hostile witness” to crimes committed against me by Mr. Snyder’s fellow school administrator and co-defendant Sandra Harris, a co-defendant named in this instant case. For five full years, Mr. Snyder had responded discriminatorily and in retaliation against my young son because I had tried to get CRIMINAL “OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE” charges filed against Mr. Snyder for his failure to cooperate with the CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OF SANDRA HARRIS.
12. I have also just recently to provide the costs of filing TWO “Petitions for Writ of Certiorari” and one “Petition for Writ of Mandamus” in the United States Supreme Court, just earlier this summer of 2011.

13. In regards to the above FACTS, I believe that I have provided ample evidence to support accusations of a CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY and FEDERAL VIOLATIONS of the RACKETEERING INFLUENCED and CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT by numerous co-conspirators named in previous cases that went before the Michigan Court of Appeals and Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, including Michigan Court of Appeals judges Deborah SERVITTO, Mark CAVANAGH, Karen HOOD, Cynthia STEPHENS, Richard BANDSTRA, Donald OWENS, and Pat DONOFRIO, and United States Court of Appeals judges Alice BACHELDER, Martha DAUGHTREY, David McKEAGUE, Gregory Van TATENHOVE, and Danny BOGGS.
14. The “*pattern*” exhibited by all of the co-conspirators thus far unconstitutionally mandates that I incur increasing personal debt in order to further these ever-compounding CRIMINAL allegations to the next level of judiciary address. This pattern of “*gross negligence*” and “*malfeasance*” has the effect of allowing the government co-conspirators several distinct advantages. Statutorily, I am legally bound by certain time limitations for getting my complaints “*properly*” filed. In time, I am also bound to simply exhaust all of his additional resources for dealing with these compounding matters. Additionally, by forcing me to reveal any and all income and assets, the co-conspirators further their potential for filing a lien against all other means by which I may continue my financial sustenance. **It therefore appears that there could be a judicial “*conflict of interest*” generated by compelling me to reveal anything left of my “*assets and income*”, despite that I nothing left in either to otherwise report with this “Statement of Indigency and Claim of Criminal Victimization”.**
15. In addition to the above, I reassert and RE-CLAIMS my rights under the William Van Regenmortor Civil Rights Act 87 of 1985 and state the following:
- a) **Co-conspirators named in this case, including judges for the Michigan Court of Appeals and in the Michigan Supreme Court, have allowed FRAUD in their courts by their co-criminal defendants’ Michigan attorneys. These judges have provided rulings that sanction and provide immunity for the “*mischaracterization*” of me, the Appellant David Schied, by their co-government defendants, which effectually have “*stolen*” my true identity and reputation, and continue to portray me under a “*false light*”.**
 - b) MCL 780.766 defines “*victim*” as, “*an individual who suffers direct or threatened physical, financial or emotional harm as the result of the commission of a crime...*”
 - c) 15 USC 1681(G) defines “*victim*” as, “*a consumer whose means of identification or financial information has been used or transferred (or has been alleged to have been used or transferred) without the authority of that consumer, with the intent to commit, or to aid or abet, an identity theft or a similar crime*”.
 - d) MCL 780.754a, Sec. 4a(1) of the William Van Regenmortor Civil Rights Act provides that the term “*identity theft*” is defined under Section 3 of Michigan’s Identity Theft Protection Act, Act 452 of 2004, which encompasses a “*security breach*” and defines a “*breach of the security of a database*” as, “*the unauthorized access and acquisition of data that compromises the security or confidentiality of personal information maintained by a person or agency as part of a database of personal information regarding multiple individuals*”.
 - 1) MCL 445.65 of Michigan’s Identity Theft Protection Act states that: (1)(a) “*With the intent to defraud or violate the law, a person shall not use or attempt to use the personal identifying information of another person to (i) obtain...services...property...or, (ii) commit another unlawful act*”; and, (1)(b)

“By concealing, holding, or misrepresenting the person’s identity, a person shall not use or attempt to use the personal identifying information of another person to commit another unlawful act.”

- 2) **MCL 445.67** of Act 452 of 2004 prohibits the falsification of a police report of identity theft.
- 3) **MCL 445.69 (2)** of this Act makes a violation of Section 5 or 7 is guilty of a **FELONY** punishable by imprisonment for not more than 5 years or a fine of not more than \$25,000,00, or both.
- 4) **MCL 445.69 (5)** stipulates that, *“A person may assert as a defense in a civil action or as an affirmative defense in a criminal prosecution for a violation of section 5 or 7, and has the burden of proof on that defense by a preponderance of the evidence, that the person lawfully transferred, obtained, or attempted to obtain personal identifying information of another person for the purpose of detecting, preventing, or deterring identity theft or another crime or the funding of a criminal activity.”*
- e) **MCL 780.754a, Sec. 4a(1)** of the **William Van Regenmortor Civil Rights Act** provides, *“To facilitate compliance with 15 USC 1681g, a bona fide victim of identity theft is ENTITLED to file a police report with a law enforcement agency in a jurisdiction where the alleged violation of identity theft may be prosecuted as provided under section 10c of chapter II of the code of criminal procedure, 1927 PA 175, MCL 762.10c, and to obtain a copy of that report from that law enforcement agency.”*
- f) **MCL 780.758 (1)** stipulates, *“Based on the victim’s reasonable apprehension of acts...or intimidation by the defendant or at the defendant’s direction against the victim or against the victim’s family, the prosecuting attorney may move that **the victim or any other witness not be compelled to testify at pretrial proceedings or at trial for purposes of identifying the victim as to the victim’s address, place of employment, or other personal identification without the victim’s consent.**”* This would certainly include the right of the victim not to be forced involuntarily to divulge the sole source or sources of his financial sustenance and support for his dependant family.
- g) **MCL 780.758 (2)** reinforces **Article I of the State Constitution of 1963** *“guaranteeing to crime victims the right to be treated with respect for their dignity and **privacy**”*, and prohibiting certain public release of information related to the victim. **Under such laws protecting even the use of the victim’s name in public records, and particularly given the nature of this case involving criminal violation of my right to privacy, it is certainly within the “spirit” of Michigan law – and federal laws – that I rely to prohibit the disclosure of what, if anything, remains of my personal assets.** Under the conditions of this case, I would be providing these private financial matters openly to the criminal perpetrators named as co-conspirators, and those who are otherwise directly closely affiliated with the judges of the Michigan and Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals who I also accused of a criminal *“conspiracy to deprive of rights”*.
- h) **MCL 780.766, Sec.16(2)** stipulates, *“...in addition to or in lieu of any other penalty authorized by law or in addition to any other penalty required by law, that the defendant make full restitution to any victim of the defendant’s course of conduct that gives rise to the conviction or to the victim’s estate”*; and, (3) *“If a crime results in damage to or loss or destruction of property of a victim of the crime or results in the seizure or impoundment of property of a victim of the crime, the order of restitution shall require that the defendant*

do 1 or more of the following, as applicable: (a) *Return the property to the owner of the property or to a person designated by the owner; and, (4) If a crime results in physical or psychological injury to a victim, the order of restitution shall require that the defendant:* (a) *Pay an amount equal to the reasonably determined cost of medical and related professional services and devices actually incurred and reasonably expected to be incurred relating to physical and psychological care; and (d) Pay an amount equal to the reasonably determined cost of psychological and medical treatment for members of the victim's family actually incurred and reasonably expected to be incurred as a result of the crime.”*

16. Appellant David Schied wishes to make additional record, by this Affidavit, of the following:

- a) Accumulated personal assets, including auto, home furnishings, and financial assets of the Appellant are estimated at being no more than \$2,000 in total value. Forcing me as Petitioner to provide any form of itemized statement listing such assets would be unduly burdensome and unconstitutional given that the intent of the my “Complaint” involves criminal offenses that continue to have a detrimental effect upon me and what is left of my family, as well as their finances; and because, as a CRIME VICTIM, making an accurate assessment of assets is virtually impossible given the resulting accumulation of insurmountable damages the I have sustained, and continue to sustain, both emotionally and financially.
- b) I have no source of “*income*” whatsoever. Currently, due to the extreme detriment that government corruption has had upon my family, I have recently had to divorce my wife who has had a lifelong disability, had long been unemployed, and was compelled to leave the State of Michigan for fear of further retaliation and peonage by Michigan government. For this past year and a half, I have been a full-time graduate student living entirely upon federally subsidized and unsubsidized student loans.
- c) It should be noted by this Court that I am still substantively the sole financial supporter of my child as long as my child is in grade school. As a causal effect of the initial crimes against me by the co-defendant Lincoln Consolidated School District administrator Sandra Harris in late 2003, I was forced to borrow money from my institutional savings, as well as my wife’s retirement fund and my child’s educational savings, in order to pay for extended medical benefits for my wife and child, and in order to pay for continued treatment of the my chronic liver disease and Hepatitis C viral infection. The total cost of continuing that COBRA coverage for the 18 months following the my termination from employment at the Lincoln District – excluding doctor and prescription co-pays for his family – well exceeded \$20,000. These medical premiums were all paid for from borrowed funds that have still the need to be repaid and for which victim restitution is currently owed. Since then counseling costs have doubled, even with intermittent insurance coverage, and are still owed.
- d) Though I have had my family in individual psychotherapy, in marriage counseling and family counseling from about the time of that the Lincoln Consolidated School District terminated my employment, during the early summer of 2004 my ex-wife became so distraught that she sought to flee the state of Michigan with our only child of elementary school age. When she fled with our child back to California, I was forced to hire an attorney and to file for a legal divorce in order to secure a court-ordered judgment for the safe return of the child to Michigan. Meanwhile, I worked with my personal and family therapists in getting my former wife to move back to Michigan while divorce proceedings continued into the subsequent year.
- e) Despite thousands of dollars paid out in attorney fees, I cancelled the divorce proceedings the day before my divorce was to be final. My decision to stay in the marriage was based both

upon the commitment by my wife to continue with individual, couples, and family counseling and because my individual and couples therapist strongly believed that the marriage could be salvaged and recommended it. Unfortunately, in the past few years of ongoing criminal victimization by Michigan government officials, and in the face of my relentless – seemingly obsessive – yet unsuccessful efforts to regain back my damaged personal and professional reputation, my former wife continued to be dissatisfied with my inability to provide for the family; and so the family was broken apart.

- f) In addition, **despite my having to start over at the bottom of the salary ladder with each new job as a public schoolteacher, I have for the past nearly eight years been spending a substantial amount of that income on the exorbitant costs of “properly” bringing these crimes to the attention of various levels of law enforcement seniority, and to a multitude of civil court justices, only to see them “improperly” handled. I have found an element in truth to the claim that the persistent condemnation of a person for an act by which they have already been formally “forgiven” tends to “harden the heart” as it pertains to the forgiveness of others. Perhaps this explains my fervor and dedication toward seeing my criminal perpetrators prosecuted, not as an “eye for an eye” but in truth of the fact that THEY HAVE PERSISTED IN VIOLATING THE MAXIMS OF COMMON AND ADMIRALTY LAW, and the fact that “as we judge others, so too we are judged”.**
- g) **I have borrowed against my good credit to bring this instant former Washtenaw County Circuit Court-turned-U.S. District Court CRIMINAL matter in front of a judge “pro per”, and while also having borrowed huge sums of money from credit card companies to pay attorney retainers on the filing of his previous “civil rights” and “criminal” claims in federal courts.**
- h) The above-described “liabilities” are just an abbreviated summary of the past, present, and future “damages” caused by the government co-conspirators’ INTENTIONAL GROSS NEGLIGENCE, INCOMPETENCE, and CRIMINAL MALFEASANCE OF THEIR GOVERNMENTAL DUTY. These are “damages” for which I as the Appellant insist that these co-conspirators should be held accountable for paying back to me as the VICTIM, and to my family as “restitution” and other compensation. **These losses amount to \$2,000,000.00 per person, per incident or occurrence.** Public notice of the specific grievances against the individuals involved in many of the above-referenced crimes is posted at the website: <http://michigan.constitutional.gov.us/Cases/DavidSchiedOW/>
- i) Under these circumstances, and given the nature of this case, I believe that these financial FACTORS should all be taken into account when evaluating my “Application to Appeal” to the Michigan Court of Appeals. Furthermore, the report of these financial factors should not be levied AGAINST me as the plaintiff/appellant, or otherwise discounted in favor of only considering the nature of my allegations against government “actors” including Michigan and United States judges. To do so would only serve to further support my theory of an ever-growing “criminal conspiracy” involving a corrupt Michigan and United States government and judiciary that serves to protect only “their own”, while intentionally neglecting to act in the best interests of the law-abiding citizens of this otherwise great state and nation.

By this signed affidavit and based upon the above, I, David Schied, hereby make declaration that the statements made in this Affidavit are correct to the best of my knowledge. I lack the funds to pay for the costs of filing and other fees in this proceeding because what is being presented before this

Michigan Court of Appeals involves criminal offenses for which my family and I continue to be postponed for the issuance of justice despite clearly being the “CRIME VICTIMS”.

As such, I request that the Court move to issue an Order to:

- Permit me to proceed without payment of filing or any other court related fees, or service fees;
- Direct the clerk of the court to file the pleadings without payment of filing or any other court related fees; and
- Convene a Multi-County Grand Jury or Federal Special Grand Jury to initiate a review and thoroughly investigate the criminal allegations contained by my instant U.S. Court of Appeals case and referenced legal history of previous State and United States court cases.

As the aggrieved party, UCC 1-102(2) Reserving my rights Without Prejudice UCC 1-308, I, David Eugene: from the family of Schied, am pursuing my remedies provided by [the Uniform Commercial Code] UCC 1-305.

I therefore charge that those who have been named in this case, as well as in the previous cases and ALL the judges like Judge Denise Page Hood who have allowed these crimes to continue under fraudulently published public rulings, should pay all damages as indicated by the claim contained herein with Real Money, surrender any and all Public Hazard Bonds, other Bonds, Insurance Policies, 801K, CAFR Funds, etc. as needed to satisfy my counterclaim herein, OR, prove their claims against me by providing me with lawfully documented evidence that is certified true and correct, in their unlimited commercial liability, while under Oath, on and for the Official Record, under penalties of the Law including perjury. This evidence must prove their assertions by preponderance or the greater weight of evidence and must answer each and every averment, point by point individually. If any and all points are not answered fully and accompanied by lawfully documented evidence, as otherwise provided by my Statements and Evidence, that will be Default on the part of the Defendants and the judges involved.

Non-Response according to the conditions herein will be default. Incomplete answers and/or lack of documented evidence as outlined herein will be Default. If the co-Defendants and judges of the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals fail to respond as outlined herein, within 21 calendar days, this will be Default. Non-Response will be a Self-Executing Confession of Judgment by all “*the accused*” as co-Defendants, and will be complete agreement with all the statements, terms, and conditions of this contract. This is notice of a contract in Admiralty. Any officer of the court that interferes or obstructs this claim will be added to this claim and become another “*accused*” and co-Defendant. All of the accused co-Defendants and Judges are jointly and severally liable for this claim.

The “*Oaths of Office*” of all the named and referenced individuals – including each of the various judges named by this instant case and referenced by the numerous Judicial Misconduct complaints identified as in the records of the Sixth Circuit Court, as being involved in the numerous previous court cases – who were all charged with the duty of overseeing these past and present cases I have filed and acting in either their “*official*” or their “*individual*” capacities or both, along with their various “*agents*” as referenced by my many previous filings and these instant filings – are clearly “*accepted for value*” in the same amount of \$2,000,000 per person per incident.


Pursuant to MCR 2.114(A)(2)(b), I declare the above statements are submitted as true to the best of my information, knowledge and belief, and hereby attest that I can and will testify to the truthfulness of these statements in any court of law.


This AFFIDAVIT, is subject to postal statutes and under the jurisdiction of the Universal Postal Union. No portion of this affidavit is intended to harass, offend, conspire, intimidate, blackmail, coerce, or cause anxiety, alarm, distress or slander any homo-sapiens or impede any public procedures, All Rights Are Reserved Respectively, without prejudice to any of rights, but not limited to, UCC 1-207, UCC 1-308, MCL 440.1207. Including the First Amendment to The Constitution of the Republic of the united States of America, and to Article One Section Five to The Constitution of the Republic of Michigan 1963 circa. The affiant named herein accepts the officiates' colorable court oaths of office to uphold the constitution, and those Oaths are hereby accepted for value.

Respectively submitted,

David Schied – Pro Per
P.O. Box 1378
Novi, MI 48376
248-946-4016
deschied@yahoo.com

Dated: December 27, 2011


David Schied – Plaintiff / Crime Victim

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 27th day of December, 2011.

Notary Public, OAKLAND County, MI acting in OAKLAND County Michigan.
My Commission Expires: JUNE 11, 2014