

In the “Supreme Court-of-Law”
for the People of the Constitutional “United States of America”;
all as under Open-Sourced/Public/DeJure/Nation-State-Ex-Rel/Popular/Grassroots/Bottom-Up/
Consent-Based/Living/Breathing/Organic-Body-Politic: “General Jurisdiction”;
&, in parallel, also in the
“United States Supreme Court”,
of Municipal/Civil/Private/Corporate/DeFacto/Provisional/Administrative/Statutory
“Limited-Jurisdiction”.

USA Supreme Court-of-Law; Case #: 2018-00001;
& here, under the “General Jurisdiction” of our Common-People’s
/Open-Sourced/Public/DeJure/Constitutional/Nation-State-Ex-Rel/Organic-Body-Politic.

As related to, & built off of, a similar filing, in the DeFacto: Federal New-York District-Court,
Case #: 1:16-CV-1490; as Originally Filed & Dated: 16-February-2018;
& as publicly available through the web-link, here:
<https://scannedretina.files.wordpress.com/2018/03/northern-new-york-district-court-clinton-indictment.pdf>

Constructive Notice:

Class-Action Felony Criminal Complaint,

in & from the People’s General Organic Common-Law Jurisdiction.

Notice to the Agent, is Notice to the Principle.

Notice to the Principal, is Notice to the Agent.

This Criminal Complaint is similarly constructed as that filed previously,
in the above named New-York Federal District Court.
Copies of this newer “Criminal Complaint” document are being similarly also sent to that court,
& also to its parent civil/municipal “United States Supreme Court”;
& also to Attorney General Jeff Sessions, Senator Chuck Grassley, President Donald Trump;
& to many of the Defendants here-in named & accused, & numerous other concerned parties;
all as we are reasonably able to recognize & accomplish.

Evidence, as previously submitted, of: 649 Pages, & 27 Video-Links;
including available CD, all included here-in, by way of this reference there-to.

Nation/State Ex-Rel Relators, Complaining-Parties, Witnesses, & Jurists:

Charles Stewart; ... Rick Newberry, Jim Bleakley; & numerous others yet to be affirmed; in
the process of affirming these, & of recruiting those few but courageous & patriotic others who thirst
for the holy Justice of God.

This version of this document is a “Rough Final-Draft”; which, is “Completed”, for the most
part; but with smaller details, such as this list of complaining-parties, to be edited, before official
publication & distribution, all as is needed & reasonable.

We the People, proceeding in the Name of, & on the Behalf of, the Common People of our consensually collective & united American Nation/State, do here-by Submit, in-to this more “Public Venue”; this Written Summary of our Publicly, Verbally, & Unanimously Affirmed Judgement & Criminal Complaint; here-in being presented in-to the legalistically “foreign”, & “private”, municipal jurisdiction of the above referenced Civil Federal Attorney-General’s Office, & District & Supreme Courts; & here-by Offering “Limited Time to Act” there-in, especially through Attorney General Jeffrey Sessions; to Prosecute this Criminal Complaint, on Behalf of our American People; or else, if not clearly moving forward with said case with-in 30-days of this filing, then as having “Admitted by Default”, that, the supreme constitutional “Interests of Justice”, Mandate that We the People “Act”, through Our Own Courts of General Jurisdiction, so-as-to Bring this Case to a “Lawful Judgement”; & there-under to Organize Qualified-Electors & Constituents from the Common People of our Nation/State, as Constables, Peace-Officers, Posse-Comitatus, & Militia; all so-as may be necessary in order to Directly Execute any “Final Judgement” that might be issuing here-from.

In the light of the mountains of Evidence that the Courts & Prosecutors of the civil/municipal governments of our States & Nation, are Routinely “Failing to Respond” to the multitudes of “Sworn Criminal Complaints” similar to this one; here-under, we are Presuming that smaller but concerned jural-society communities of “We the People”, such as we signatory here-to; have the Inherent “Right & Duty” to follow “Due Process of Law”, in such manners as to render constitutionally paramount “Justice”, for our common American People.

If any court officers, prosecuting attorneys, or others what-so-ever, might have Any “Objection” to our proceeding in this manner; then, we respectfully Demand that you set forth your “Basis in Law” for such Objections; & if we find such to be either reasonable or well-founded, we will solemnly consider the merits of possibly Aborting our entire effort here at bringing forth “Justice” for our common American People.

We are inclined to believe that No such Reasonable “Basis in Law” Exists to show that we do not have the constitutionally lawful authority to proceed in this manner; & unless, such Reasonable Objection with “Basis in Law” is promptly forth-coming, then, we fully intend to move forward as generally described here-in.

This document is our “Sworn Criminal-Complaint”, that, We the People, in & of these United States of America; are suffering Subversion by Enemies, resulting in Abuse of Powers, such as Wrongful Prosecution, & Murder; all as Perpetrated by the following Accused Criminals:

Hillary Clinton;
Harry Mason Reid;
BLM Special Agent Daniel Love;
Attorney General Loretta Lynch;
FBI Director James Comey;
Oregon Governor Katherine Brown;
FBI Special Agent Gregory T. Bretzing,
Grant County Commissioner Boyd Britton;
Harney County Sheriff David Ward;
Harney County Judge Steven Grasty;
FBI Agent W. Joseph Astartita;
Federal Nevada District Chief Judge Gloria Navarro; +
Federal Nevada District Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen;
Federal Nevada District Magistrate Judge Carl Hoffman;

US Attorney Daniel G. Bogden;
US Attorney Steven W. Myhre;
Federal Oregon District Magistrate Judge Michael R. Hogan;
Federal Oregon District Chief Judge Ann L. Aiken,
Federal Oregon District Magistrate Judge Patricia Sullivan,
U.S. Attorney Amy E. Potter,
U.S. Attorney Frank R. Papagni, Jr.,
Judge Anna J. Brown,
Magistrate Judge John Acosta,
Judge Stacie F. Beckerman,
Judge Dustin Pead,
U.S. Attorney Billy J. Williams,
U.S. Attorney Ethan D. Knight,
Assistant U.S. Attorney Geoffrey A. Barrow,
Assistant U.S. Attorney Craig Gabriel;
Past President: Barack Obama;

and numerous John/Jane Does, presently un-known; but many of whom are from differing civil governmental offices & agencies; & these include, but are not limited, to Local Police, Sheriffs, & Deputies; Oregon State Police, Federal BLM, FBI, and NGO Contractors; all of whom are to be identified in as much greater detail as is reasonably possible, at later times.

The People of these united States of America, do here-by list many of the Crimes which we solemnly Affirm to have been Committed in this case, in that context fashionably recognized under the “US Code”; but as a point of both Law & Fact, we do Not Rely on the “US Code” for our authority to bring this complaint; but rather we rely on the Source of Law recognized in the Fifth, Sixth, & Seventh Amendments, as “Due Process of Law”, & the “Rules of the Common-Law”.

Here-under; We the People of this American Nation/State, do here-by formally Accuse:

Hillary Clinton, Harry Mason Reid, BLM Special Agent in Charge Daniel Love for Utah and Nevada, Attorney General Loretta Lynch, FBI Director James Comey, Oregon Governor Katherine Brown, FBI Special Agent Gregory T. Bretzing, Grant County Commissioner Boyd Britton, Sheriff David Ward, Judge Steven Grasty, FBI Agent W. Joseph Astarita, and numerous John/Jane Does from multiple agencies, to be identified in more detail later; but which include, but are not limited, to Local Police, Sheriffs, & Deputies; Oregon State Police, BLM, FBI, and NGO Contractors;
of having Committed the Crimes of:

Racketeering & Corrupted Organizing; aka: RICO.

Murder, wrongful prosecution, abuse of powers and subversion

18 USC, §-241: “Conspiracy against Rights”; resulting in murder of LaVoy Finicum; & where-under any Lawful Jury may legitimize & warrant the death penalty.

18 USC, §-242: “Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law”; which resulted in the murder of

LaVoy Finicum, & where-under any Lawful Jury may legitimize & warrant the death penalty.

18 USC, §-1001: “knowingly and willfully falsifying and concealing material facts”, “making materially false statements”; all of which statutorily authorizes 8 years of imprisonment.

42 USC, 1983; presented as a general “Deprivation of Rights” of the victims referenced here-in; & where-under the People of this Nation/State do seek restitution for all such victims, from the Jury which is to finally adjudicate this case; & this all so-as to full-fill the Common-Law Maxim, that: “for every injury, there must be a remedy”.

42 USC, §-1986: “Action for Neglect to Prevent”; & where-under the Jury is fully aware of their power of nullification of the civil government’s statutes & previous court-decisions; & there-under have the authority to reward any amount of money as damages.

18 USC, §-1117: “Conspiracy to Murder”, as against LaVoy Finicum, and others; all where-under the Jury trying this case may legitimize & warrant either life imprisonment, or the death penalty.

18 USC §1111 Murder, first degree; where-under the Jury trying this case may authorize the death penalty.

18 U.S. Code, §-2331: “Domestic Terrorism”; for Conspiring to put out the Order to Escalate the Peaceful Protest to Bloodshed, as their solution by bringing violence in efforts to end the peaceful demonstrations at the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge; all of which resulted in the Murder of LaVoy Finicum, the attempted murder of others; & the subsequent conspiracy to obstruct justice by covering- up those felonious crimes.

Further here-under; & more specifically; We the People of this American Nation/State do formally Accuse:

Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, Magistrate Judge Carl Hoffman, US Attorney Daniel G. Bogden, US Attorney Steven W. Myhre, U.S. Attorney Nicholas D. Dickinson, US Attorney Nadia J. Ahmed, US Attorney Erin M. Creegan, Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro, Assistant U.S. Attorney Steven Myhre, Magistrate Judge Michael R. Hogan, Chief Judge Ann L. Aiken, Magistrate Judge Patricia Sullivan, U.S. Attorney Amy E. Potter, U.S. Attorney Frank R. Papagni, Jr., Judge Anna J. Brown, Magistrate Judge John Acosta, Judge Stacie F. Beckerman, Judge Dustin Pead, U.S. Attorney Billy J. Williams, U.S. Attorney Ethan D. Knight, Assistant U.S. Attorney Geoffrey A. Barrow, Assistant U.S. Attorney Craig Gabriel and John/Jane Doe(s); of having Committed the Crimes of:

Jury Tampering (Stacking),

Wrongful prosecution, abuse of powers and subversion, 18 18

USC, §-241: Conspiracy against Rights,

18 USC, §-242: Deprivation of rights under color of law,
18 USC, §-1001: knowingly and willfully falsifying and concealing material facts, 42 USC,
§-1983 Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights,
42 USC, §-1986: Action for neglect to prevent.

And also for:

Denying the defendant's right of Habeas-Corpus, Conspiring to Manipulate the Jury to achieve Guilty Pleas in the Nevada Bundy Ranch Trial, & in the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge Trial, and also in the Trial of the Hammonds.

~~~

Next here-in is presented, the General Time-Line & Description of Facts & Circumstances Involved in the Crimes here-in solemnly Affirmed to have been Committed, intermixed with "Conclusions of Law"; all as originally worded in the original "Grand Jury Indictment", as was previously filed in this general case, in the New-York Federal-District-Court; but with reservational notes here being presented, that, current complaining-parties listed here-in have noticed much benefit to be had from extensive re-wording of that previously submitted "Grand Jury Indictment"; & that we do intend to move forward with such re-wording with-in the 30-days above-referenced for the Federal Prosecutors & Court Officers to begin clearly moving forward on rendering Lawful "Justice", in response here-to; or else we will move in-to the vacuum, as a "Necessity of Law", for Dispensing Lawful "Justice", for the Common People of our American Nation/State.

**General Introductory Time-Line & Description of Facts & Circumstances**  
**& inter-mixed with "Conclusions of Law"**:

Our jural-society community has investigated the circumstances surrounding all accusations contained here-in; & here-under, the evidence indicates, that, a vast conspiracy was in play, in order to remove American ranchers, miners and loggers from their lawful right of land usage, in order to sell uranium and other land usage to foreign entities, for private gain. The evidence seems to indicate, that, this was the situational/factual back-drop to the incidents that lead up to the murder of LaVoy Finicum, and the unlawful arrest and prosecution of many innocent People.

This evidence further indicates, that, accused Criminals Hillary Clinton and Harry Mason Reid conspired to sell our American the peoples' uranium, along with allowing use of our land or selling it to foreign states or entities. The evidence indicates this was the under-lying cause for co-conspirators acting as public officers to engage in patterns of behavior for intimidating and terrorizing American Ranchers, American Miners, and American Loggers, in criminal efforts to force them off the the land they had been peaceably occupying for its best public use. Further; Clinton & Reid also conspired with Attorney General Loretta Lynch and FBI Director James Corney to stop the peaceful demonstration that was exposing their acts of RICO and subversion against We the People.

Near the 1980's, Congress had two in-depth committee hearings about all of this, and therein was fully informed of these tyrannical atrocities, orchestrated by these higher-level conspirators. There-by; the Congressional Assembly was made formally aware of the "Threat, Intimidation & Bullying by Federal Land Managing Agencies"; and they did nothing about it.

Whereas, Congress could have denied BLM funding; and there-by could have ended this routine pattern of domestic terrorism, by preventing the said atrocities.

The members of the larger protest group at the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge had been acting lawfully, peacefully, and they were unarmed. The evidence indicates that the demonstrators were restoring the buildings and artifacts there, which were in serious decay through neglect by the supposed custodians, the BLM officers. The demonstrators were not threatening anyone; and they were in peaceful communications with the towns people and law enforcement; all until an order seemingly came from Washington-DC to use violence to end the demonstration.

The evidence further indicates, that, Grant County Commissioner Boyd Britton, Judge Steven Grasty, Harney County Sheriff David Ward, United States Attorney General Loretta Lynch, FBI Director James Comey, and Oregon Governor Katherine Brown; all conspired to use whatever violence was necessary, in order to terrorize these innocent Americans from the land they were peaceably occupying. These felonious criminal acts seem to have included intimidation, conspiracy to commit murder, abuse of powers, subversion, terrorizing; burning grazing areas, cattle, and homes; wrongful imprisonment; and the subsequent cover up of these criminal activities.

On January 26, 2016 in Harney County, Oregon, LaVoy Finicum and others were traveling away from the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge on a remote highway, to meet with Grant County Sheriff Glenn Palmer, who was trying to assist them in bringing an end the peaceful, lawful, & unarmed demonstration, without bloodshed.

While so traveling, people acting under color of law, as “law enforcement officers”, & with malice of fore-thought, pulled up behind the travelers, & lawlessly & fraudulently flashed police lights at the travelers, there-by mandating that the travelers stop, which they did.

After LaVoy Finicum and the others had stopped for those acting as FBI and police, the members of the Police/FBI group shot military style fire-arms at the Finicum group, with-out any legitimate reason for doing so; all of which provoked the Finicum group to flee for their lives.

Realizing that they were being ambushed by rogue & lawless conspirators masquerading as public servants; the Finicum group fled while being pursued at speeds up to 90 miles per hour; until finally, the Finicum group crashed into a ‘dead man's roadblock’, which was purposefully & maliciously hidden behind a curve. The impersonators of law enforcement had maliciously forced the high speed chase, knowing there was a blind road-block around the curve. The Finicum group applied their brakes with barely enough time to stop. Then LaVoy Finicum raised his hands up & exited his vehicle, while numerous shots were being fired at him; and then he was brutally murdered in cold blood by FBI, police, federal agents, and other secretive conspirators assisting from remote distances.

The available evidence indicates that the more specific criminal conspirators who abused the powers & subverted the public offices which they held, under color of law; and who apparently & maliciously intended to ambush & murder LaVoy Finicum and others in cold blood; specifically included FBI Special Agent Gregory T. Bretzing, BLM Special Agent in Charge Daniel Love; and FBI Agent John Doe #1, who seems to have been sent from Washington-DC to violently end the peaceful demonstration.

The evidence further indicates, that, one specific Agent/Officer Sniper #1 (as identified by Peter O's video analysis) appeared at the first stop along highway 395, about one mile before the dead man's

road block; & this individual prominently, knowingly, & willfully, took part in the murder of LaVoy. This was the individual who Shot at LaVoy after he stopped his automobile and tried to communicate; all of which there-by forced LaVoy and the others to flee for their lives.

The evidence further indicates, that, Agent/Officer Chaser (as identified by Peter O's video analysis) knowingly & willfully participated in the ambush & murder by participated in the terrorizing chase which forced LaVoy Finicum to admitted speeds up to 90 mph; & this all while the conspirators knew that there was a dead man's road block just over a mile away.

The evidence further indicates, that, an Oregon State Police Sniper (as identified by Peter O's video analysis) knowingly & willfully took part in the conspiracy to murder LaVoy; by shooting three shots at LaVoy's truck, all well after LaVoy was attempting to stop for the road-block. These shots seemed maliciously intended to assure that LaVoy would not be able to stop in time to avoid crashing.

The evidence further indicates, that, the Agent/Officer Shooter# 4 (as identified by Peter O's video analysis) knowingly & willfully took part in the murder of LaVoy, by also shooting at LaVoy while LaVoy was crashing into the snow bank.

The evidence further indicates, that, the Agent/Officer Shooter(s) (as identified by Peter O's video analysis) did knowingly & maliciously take part in the murder of LaVoy, by shooting numerous shots at LaVoy, after LaVoy exited the truck with his hands up.

The evidence further indicates, that, the Agents/Officers Shooters 1 through 7 (as identified in the video analysis of Peter O) also maliciously & knowingly took part in the murder of LaVoy.

The evidence further indicates, that, numerous John/Jane Doe(s) from multiple agencies (To be identified) also knowingly & maliciously took part in the murder of LaVoy; & that these include, but are not limited, to the Local Police, State Police, BLM, FBI and NGO Contractors, all of knowingly & willfully participated in the planning, set up, and execution, of LaVoy.

The evidence further indicates, that, FBI Agent W. Joseph Astarita, did knowingly & willfully fire two shots at LaVoy Finicum; all as part of his own voluntary participation in the planning & set up of the murder of LaVoy Finicum.

The evidence further indicates, that, all of the aforesaid Agents/Officers acted under the color of law, & with malicious intent to murder LaVoy Finicum, and others, all in cold-blood; all while the Finicum group was peaceably traveling in route on highway 395 to meet with Sheriff Glenn Palmer who was trying to end the lawful demonstration back at the wildlife refuge without bloodshed.

In the context of the Nevada Bundy Ranch Trial; the evidence indicates, that, various powerful public-servants conspired to act out-side of their lawful authority, & criminally, in knowing & willful efforts to tamper with, stack, & manipulate the jury, in-to achieving a guilty verdict; & to deny the defendants' their unalienable right to Habeas Corpus proceedings; & to participate in wrongful prosecution, abuse of powers, subversion, 18 USC §241 Conspiracy against Rights, 18 USC §242 Deprivation of rights under color of law, 18 USC §1001 knowingly and willfully falsifying and concealing material facts, 42 USC 1983 Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights, & 42 USC §1986 Action for neglect to prevent.

Corrupted & conspiratorial powerful public-servants in this instance included Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen, Magistrate Judge Carl Hoffman, United States Attorney Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney Steven W. Myhre, United States Attorney Nicholas D. Dickinson, United States Attorney Nadia J. Ahmed, United States Attorney Erin M. Creegan, Assistant U.S. Attorney Steven Myhre, and Chief Judge Gloria M. Navarro.

In the context of the Oregon Malheur National Wildlife Reserve Trial; the evidence indicates, that, various powerful public-servants conspired to act out-side of their lawful authority, & criminally, in knowing & willful efforts to tamper with, stack, & manipulate the jury, in-to achieving a guilty verdict; & to deny the defendants' their unalienable right to Habeas Corpus proceedings; & to participate in wrongful prosecution, abuse of powers, subversion, 18 USC §241 Conspiracy against Rights, 18 USC §242 Deprivation of rights under color of law, 18 USC §1001 knowingly and willfully falsifying and concealing material facts, 42 USC 1983 Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights, & 42 USC §1986 Action for neglect to prevent.

Corrupted & conspiratorial powerful public-servants in this instance included Federal District Judge Anna J. Brown, Magistrate Judge John Acosta, Judge Stacie F. Beckerman, Judge Dustin Pead, U.S. Attorney Billy J. Williams, U.S. Attorney Ethan D. Knight, Assistant U.S. Attorney Geoffrey A. Barrow, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Craig Gabriel.

In the context of the Oregon Hammons Trial; the evidence indicates, that, various powerful public-servants conspired to act out-side of their lawful authority, & criminally, in knowing & willful efforts to tamper with, stack, & manipulate the jury, in-to achieving a guilty verdict; & to deny the defendants' their unalienable right to Habeas Corpus proceedings; & to participate in wrongful prosecution, abuse of powers, subversion, 18 USC §241 Conspiracy against Rights, 18 USC §242 Deprivation of rights under color of law, 18 USC §1001 knowingly and willfully falsifying and concealing material facts, 42 USC 1983 Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights, & 42 USC §1986 Action for neglect to prevent; & in willfully causing the Hammons to suffer double jeopardy.

Corrupted & conspiratorial powerful public-servants in this instance included Magistrate Judge Michael R. Hogan, Chief Judge Ann L. Aiken, Magistrate Judge Patricia Sullivan, U.S. Attorney Amy E. Potter and U.S. Attorney Frank R. Papagni, Jr..

The jural society/assembly of electors/constituents moving forward with this criminal complaint & court action finds that the Hammons and others in the Bundy and Malheur National Wildlife Refuge trials were criminally “Coerced” into a plea-bargaining process; & that, here-under, the interest of Justice, Demand the immediate release of all people who there-under remain unjustly incarcerated.

Evidence indicates, that, various patriotic activists have filed Habeas Corpus demands in the federal courts & prosecutors offices, as concerned with these three cases; & that those officers in all three of these courts have maliciously & blatantly ignored, concealed, & failed to respond to the clear record these court filings; all of which amounts to a “Default” under fundamental rules of due-process, common-law, & Habeas-Corpus. Here-under; in the interest of Justice, all of the prisoners from all three of these cases are “political prisoners”, & Justice Mandates that they be Released, Immediately.

### **More Comprehensive & Specific Time-Line of Facts & Events involved here-in:**

In 1964 the Hammonds purchased their ranch in the Harney Basin. The purchase included approximately 6000 acres of private property, 4 grazing rights on public land, a small ranch house and 3 water rights. The ranch is about 53 miles South of Burns, Oregon.

By the 1970's nearly all the ranches adjacent to the Blitzen Valley were purchased by the US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and added to the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge. The refuge covers over 187,000 acres and stretches over 45 miles long and 37 miles wide. The expansion of the refuge grew and surrounds the Hammond's ranch. Being approached many times by the FWS, the Hammonds refused to sell. Other ranchers also choose not to sell.

During the 1970's, conspiratorial holders of hi-level offices in the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), & in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), took a different approach in their private racketeering conspiracy to get the ranchers to sell. Under color of public-interest & public-offices, the ranchers were maliciously & deceptively told that, "grazing was detrimental to wildlife, and must be reduced". 32 out of 53 permits were revoked, and many ranchers were forced to leave. Grazing fees were raised significantly for those who were allowed to remain. Corrupted individuals acting as public-servants at the refuge took over the public irrigation-system, claiming it was lawfully under their own exclusive control.

By 1980 a conflict was well on its way over water allocations on the adjacent privately owned Silvies Plain. These same basic corrupted racketeering holders of hi-level offices in FWS wanted to acquire the ranch lands on the Silvies Plain to add to their already vast holdings. Conspirators masquerading as legitimate refuge officials & agents, intentionally diverted the water, thereby bypassing the vast meadowlands, and directing the water into the rising Malheur Lakes. Within a few short years the surface area of the lakes doubled. Thirty-one ranches on the Silvies Plains were flooded. Homes, corrals, barns and graze-land were washed away and destroyed. The ranchers that once fought to keep the FWS from taking their land, now broke and destroyed, were there-by coerced in-to begging the corrupted FWS officers to acquire their useless ranches. In 1989 the waters began to recede, and by then the conspirators had converted the once thriving & privately owned Silvies Plains in-to becoming part of what the corrupted office holders at FWS claimed was the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge.

By the 1990's, the Hammonds were among only a very few ranchers that still owned private property adjacent to the refuge. In an effort to make sense of what was going on, Susie Hammond began compiling facts about the refuge. In a hidden public record, she found a study that was done by the FWS in 1975. The study showed that the "no use policies of the FWS on the refuge were actually causing the wildlife to leave the refuge and move to private property. The study showed that the private property adjacent to the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge attracted & retained 4 times more ducks and geese than the refuge did. It also showed that these migrating birds were 13 times more likely to land on private property than on the dysfunctionally socially-engineered land at the refuge. When Susie brought this as evidence to the attention of the office-holders at FWS and the Refuge, her and her family became the subjects of a long train of abuses and corruptions.

In the early 1990's, the Hammonds filed for a livestock water source, and obtained a deed for the water right from the State of Oregon. When conspirators holding hi-level offices in the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and US Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) found out that the Hammonds obtained new water rights near the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, they became belligerent, agitated, and vindictive towards the Hammonds. Those office holders challenged the Hammonds rights to the water in an Oregon State Circuit Court. The court found that, under State law, the Hammonds had legally obtained their rights to the water, and therefore 'use of the water' belonged to them.

In August 1994, hi-level office-holders in the BLM & FWS illegally began building a fence around the Hammonds water source. The Hammonds knew that their cattle relied on that water source daily, so they tried to stop the building of the fence. The BLM & FWS called the Hamey County Sheriff department, and had Dwight Hammond (Father) arrested and charged with "disturbing and interfering with" federal officials or federal contractors (two counts, each a felony). He spent one night

in the Deschutes County Jail in Bend, and a second night behind bars in Portland, before he was finally brought before a federal magistrate, and released without bail. A hearing on the charges was postponed and the federal judge never set another date.

Corrupted hi-level office-holders in the FWS also then began restricting access to upper pieces of the Hammond's private property. In order to get to the upper part of the Hammond's ranch, they had to go on a road that went through the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge. Those hi-level FWS office-holders then began barricading the road and threatening the Hammonds when-ever the Hammonds tried to drive through it. The Hammonds justifiably removed the barricades and gates, and continued to use their right of access. The road was proven later to be owned by the County of Hamey. The fact that the Hammonds were standing-up & successfully defending against the conspiracy of these hi-level office-holders in the BLM & FWS caused them to sink even further in-to mindlessly un-reasonable & despotic rage.

Shortly after the road & water disputes, the corrupted hi-level BLM & FWS office-holders arbitrarily revoked the Hammond's upper grazing permit, without any given cause, court proceeding, or court ruling. Oregon's statutes & public-policy refuses to require or obligate any owner of land to keep his or her livestock with-in a fence, or to maintain control over the movement of their livestock, under the concept of a traditional "fence out state". The Hammonds intended to still use their private property for grazing. However, the Hammonds were informed that a federal judge had ruled, in a federal court, that, the federal government did not have to observe the Oregon "fence out law". "Those laws are for the people, not for them"; seemed to be the message being sent here.

The Hammonds were here-by coerced in-to either build and maintain miles of fences, or else to be restricted from the use of their private property. This despotic situation coerced the Hammonds in-to cutting their ranch almost in half, because they could not afford to fence the land; & this caused their cattle to be removed permanently.

The Hammonds experienced many years of financial hardship due to their ranch being abused & diminished in this manner. The Hammonds had to sell their ranch and home in order to purchase another property that had enough grass to feed their cattle. This property included two parcels of public-land which possessed grazing rights; both of which were arbitrarily & despotically revoked later. The owner of the Hammond's original ranch passed away from a heart attack, and the Hammonds made a trade to get the ranch back.

In the early fall of 2001, Steven Hammond (Son) called the fire department, informing them that he was going to be performing a routine prescribed burn on their ranch, to which there was no objection. Later that day he started a prescribed fire on their private property. The fire accidentally went onto public land and burned 127 acres of grass there-on. The Hammonds promptly & vigilantly put the fire out themselves. There was no complaints from the federal government to the Hammonds about that burn at any reasonable time after that burn. This is because "prescribed fires" such as these are a quite common method that Native Americans and ranchers have used in the area for centuries to increase the health & productivity of the public lands.

In 2006 a massive lightning storm started multiple fires that joined together inflaming the countryside. To prevent the fire from destroying their winter range and possibly their home, Steven Hammond (Son) started a backfire on their private property. The backfire was successful in putting out the lightning fires that had covered thousands of acres within a short period of time. The backfire saved much of the range and vegetation needed to feed the cattle through the winter. Steven's mother, Susan Hammond said, "The backfire worked perfectly, it put out the fire, saved the range and possibly our home."

The next day, federal agents went to the Hamey County Sheriff's office, and filled a police report, there-in making accusation against Dwight and Steven Hammond for starting the backfire. A few days after the backfire a "Range Con" officer from the Burns District BLM office, asked Steven if he would meet him in town (Frenchglen) for coffee. Steven accepted. When leaving the meeting, Steven was arrested by the Hamey County Sheriff Dave Glerup and BLM Ranger Orr. Sheriff Glerup then ordered Steven to go to the ranch and bring back his father. Both Dwight and Steven were booked on multiple Oregon State charges. The Hamey County District Attorney reviewed the accusation, evidence, and charges; and determined that the accusations against Dwight & Steven Hammond did not warrant prosecution, and the DA dropped all of those charges.

In 2011, 5 years after the police report was taken, the corrupted hi-level "U.S. Attorney" office-holders accused Dwight and Steven Hammond of completely different charges; including that of being "Terrorists", under the Federal Antiterrorism Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996. This act carries a minimum sentence of five years in prison, and a maximum sentence of death. Dwight & Steven's mug shots were all over the news the next week, posing them as "Arsonists". Susan Hammond (Wife & Mother) was maliciously & cold reptilian-brained socially-ostracized & "traumatized" by all of this, as evidenced by her testimony, that, 'I would walk down the street or go in a store, & people I had known for years would take extreme measures to avoid me.'

Shortly after the sentencing, "Capital Press" ran a story about the Hammonds. A person who identified as "Greg Allum" posted three comments on the article, maliciously calling the ranchers "clowns", who had allegedly endangered firefighters and other people in the area while burning valuable rangeland. The true "Greg Allum", a retired BLM heavy equipment operator, soon called Capital Press to complain that he had Not made those comments, and he request that the comments be taken down from the website. Capital Press removed the comments. As evidence of the larger "conspiracy" complained of generally here-in; a search of the Internet Protocol address associated with the eventually removed & malicious & fraudulent comments, revealed that IP-Address to be owned by the BLM's office, in Denver, Colorado. Allum said he is friends with the Hammonds, and that he was alerted to the fraudulent comments by neighbors who knew he wouldn't have written them. "I feel bad for them. They lost a lot, and they're going to lose more," Allum said of the ranchers. "They're not terrorists. There's this hatred in the BLM for them, and I don't get it," the retired BLM employee said. One "Jody Weil", a deputy state director for communications at BLM's Oregon office, indicated to reporters, that, their official policies have no concern about these sorts of flagrant instances of injustice & despotism, by declaring, that, if one of their agents falsified the comments, they would keep it private, and not inform the public.

In September 2006, Dwight & Susan Hammond's home was raided. The agents informed the Hammonds that they were looking for evidence that would connect them to the fires. The Hammonds later found out that a boot print and a tire tracks had allegedly been found by these agents near one of the many fires. No matching boots or tires were found in the Hammonds home or on their property; & thus no case was able to be built through this "fishing trip" to find evidence against the Hammonds.

Susan Hammond (Wife) later said, "I have never felt so violated in my life. We are ranchers not criminals." Steven Hammond openly maintains his testimony that he started the backfire to save the winter grass from being destroyed, and that the backfire ended up working so well it put out the more dangerous fire entirely.

During the trial proceedings, Federal Court Judge Michael Hogan conspiratorially obstructed the well-settled "course of justice", by refusing to allow testimonies and evidence to be presented in the trail which would have made it very clear to the Jury that the Prosecutors were proceeding against the Hammonds With-Out any "Probable Cause", & more likely even with "Malicious Intent". Federal prosecuting attorney, Frank Papagni, was given full access to dominate these court proceedings for 6

days. He had ample time to use any evidence or testimony that strengthened the demonization of the Hammonds. The Hammonds attorney was “obstructed”, by only being allowed 1 day to present evidence & testimony supportive of the Hammonds. Much of the facts about the fires, the land, and why the Hammonds acted the way they did, was not allowed into the proceedings by corrupted & lawless Judge Hogan, and was not allowed to be heard by the Jury. For example, Judge Hogan did not allow time for the Jury to hear or review certified scientific findings that the fires improved the health and productivity of the land; or, that the Hammonds had been subject to vindictive behavior by corrupted hi-level office-holders in multiple federal agencies, for years.

Further; federal prosecuting attorney Frank Papagni hunted down a witness, Dusty Hammond, age 24, & who had been suffering with “mental problems” for many years; & was thus not mentally capable of being a “credible witness”. Dusty Hammond (grandson and nephew) testified that Steven told him to start a fire. He was 13 at the time, and 24 when he testified (11 years later). Dusty had suffered the trauma of being estranged from his family, including his mother. Judge Hogan noted that Dusty's memories as a 13-year-old boy were not clear or credible. However, corrupted Judge Hogan continually allowed the prosecution to use Dusty's testimony anyway. The Hammonds later indicated, that, they understood why Dusty was manipulated in-to giving this testimony, and they expressed nothing but love for their troubled grandson.

Corrupted Judge Michael Hogan & Prosecutor Frank Papagni tampered with the Jury many other times throughout the proceedings, including during the Jury selection process. Hogan & Papagni only allowed people on the Jury who did not understand the customs and culture of the ranchers, or how the land is used and cared for in the Diamond Valley. All of the Jurors were selected from “foreign venue”, long distances from the trial; & they had to drive back and forth to Pendleton daily. Some drove more than two hours each way. By day-8, the Jurors were exhausted, and expressed desires to be done with the trial, so they could return to their homes. On the final day, corrupted Judge Hogan kept pushing them to make a verdict, on multiple occasions during the deliberations.

Judge Hogan also refused to allow the Jury to hear what Punishment could be imposed upon an individual that has convicted as a “Terrorist” under the 1996 federal statutory act. The members of the Jury, not understanding the customs and cultures of the area, & un-fairly influenced by the corrupted prosecutors & judge for 6 straight days, & unaware of the ramifications of convicting someone as a “terrorist”, & very exhausted & desperate to go home; declared their un-justified & lawless “verdict”, and there-by obtained release from their coercively imposed environment, & went home.

Under these influences of Michael Hogan, Frank Papagni, & numerous other conspiratorially corrupted hi-level Federal Judicial & Executive Office-holders; & on June 22, 2012; this maliciously coerced & manipulated Jury illegitimately but colorably found Dwight and Steven Hammond both to be “guilty”; not only of starting both the 2001 and the 2006 fires, but also of acting as "Terrorists" under the Federal statutory 1996 “Antiterrorism Act”. Judge Hogan colorably but un-justifiably sentenced Dwight (Father) to 3 months in prison, and Steven (son) to 12 months in federal prison. These men were also ordered to pay \$400,000 to the BLM. Judge Hogan showed a rare moment of humanity & redeeming-virtue, by overruling the “minimum terrorist sentence”; & commenting thereon, that, if the full five years were required, it would be a violation of the 8th amendment's prohibition against “cruel and unusual punishment”. An interesting foot-note in this larger conspiracy; is that, on the day of this sentencing, Judge Hogan retired as a federal judge. In respect for him, the court staff served chocolate cake in the courtroom. The Hammonds were un-likely to have had much of an appetite, even if they might have been invited to share in the cake.

On January 4, 2013, Dwight and Steven reported to prison. They fulfilled their sentences, (Dwight 3 months, Steven 12 months). Dwight was released in March 2013; and Steven, January 2014.

Sometime in June 2014, Rhonda Karges, Field Manager for the BLM, and her husband Chad Karges, Refuge Manager for the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge (which surrounds the Hammond ranch); along with federal prosecuting attorney Frank Papagni, exemplified further vindictive behavior, by filing an Appeal with the “9th Circuit Federal Court”, seeking Dwight's and Steven's return to federal prison for the entire 5 years.

In October 2015, the “9th Circuit Court” proceeded to "resentence" Dwight and Steven Hammond; there-by colorably but illegitimately requiring them to return to prison for several more years. Steven (46) has a wife and 3 children. Dwight (74) will leave Susan (74), to be alone after 55 years of marriage. If he survives, he will be 79 when he is released. During the court preceding, the Hammonds were forced to grant the BLM “first right of refusal” on any sale of their land. If the Hammonds ever sell their ranch, they will have to sell it to the BLM.

Dwight and Steven were colorably but illegitimately ordered to report to federal prison again on January 4th, 2016; to begin their longer imprisonment under the “resentencing”. Both of their wives will have to manage the ranch for several years without them. To date they have paid \$200,000 to the BLM, and the remainder of \$200,000 was due to be paid before the end of 2015; but ultimate status there is un-known by these complaining parties. If the Hammonds cannot pay the fines to the BLM, they will be forced to sell their ranch to the BLM, or else face further prosecution.

It is important to note that federal agencies have no Constitutional authority to buy land. They are merely "supposed" to be “federal caretakers of the land”, which ultimately belongs to our American People.

Local BLM Field-Manager “Rhonda Karges” specifically over-sees all BLM issues relating to the area in and around the Hammonds property, including "grazing denial". Her husband, Chad Karges just happens to be the Local Refuge Manager for the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge; & he also has vast influence over the issues surrounding the Hammonds ranch, such as "water and access".

Soon after the water rights dispute, these & other hi-level office-holders in the federal government corruptly influenced the government of the State of Oregon to change their statutory water law codes, so-as to surrender even larger portions of their 9<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> amendment sovereignty, & there-by even further centralizing power over our common people under the control of despotic holders of reckless “agency” delegations-of-authority from the federal civil-government. Here-under; wildlife in the State of Oregon is routinely but un-justifiably & illegitimately considered to be a “beneficial use”, under the authority of federal civil government “agents”, only.

Being colorably convicted as Terrorist, has further colored the Hammonds as “felons”. They have now colorably been stripped of their right to have guns. This is recognizable as a serious “hardship”, when objective & un-biased observers recognize that the Hammond live 53 miles from the closets town, and they have no practical way of defending themselves, or their cattle. Several times the Hammonds have watched their baby calves being eaten by predators, but they were powerless to do any-thing to prevent it.

### **NEVADA BUNDY RANCH TRIAL**

During the case of “US v. Bundy, et al”; the evidence before this court seems to clearly indicate, that, Acting Federal Nevada District Chief Judge “Gloria Navarro” actively Obstructed the adjudicatory process in the Court over which she then colorably presided & held control. There-in; Navarro Obstructed the well-settled path-way & traditional course-of-procedure for securing constitutional

“Justice”. More specifically, Navarro there-in spoke lawless & anarchy-promoting words, to the effect, that, Lawful “Jury Nullification”, is a “bad thing”. She there-in made rulings & gave orders which specifically forbade “nullification” from being used. To her possible credit; she seems to have refrained from any specific declaration that jury nullification is “illegal”; but, to her detriment, she did clearly insinuate that the Jurists might be punished for not returning the “guilty-verdict” which she was clearly & pre-judicially seeking.

In the first go around, when the Jury could not reach a unanimous decision on most of the charges; Navarro declared a “mistrial”. Members of that Jury later declared clearly that they believed that the federal prosecutors had not proven their case. Along with Assistant U.S. Attorney Steven Myhre, Judge Navarro seemed nearly in a panic at the thought that the Jurors might actually prioritize the sacred cause of “Justice”, through considering that some of the the Statutory-Codes &/or Case-Law of her Roman-law based “municipal jurisdiction”, amounted to nothing more than “Legal Fictions”, aka: “Legal Nullities”.

Because the first Jury became deadlocked, Judge Navarro here-by acted under color-of-law to declare a “mistrial”; and then she illegally subjected the Hammonds to “Double Jeopardy”, by ordering another trial. In that second trial; Navarro ruled over 95% in favor of the prosecution on all major motions presented; thus allowing the defacto prosecution-team a much easier court-environment in which to prosecute. Navarro routinely sustained the prosecution’s objections, and allowed them to present all of the frequently superficial evidence which they asked for. In stark contrast, Navarro refused to allow the defense any reasonable opportunity to refute the prosecution’s evidence. Navarro limited the defense to a short 40 minute window with-in which to present their summary & closing-arguments in the case. Navarro routinely ruled against motions from the defense to allow presentation of evidence and witnesses to prove their case.

More specifically, & when defendant Eric Parker was preparing to tell his version of events on the witness stand; acting Judge Navarro ordered that defendant Eric Parker be removed from the courtroom, & that his testimony be stricken from the record. This is only one of many examples of Navarro’s refusal to allow the defense to mount any effective & legitimate challenges to the credibility of the defacto prosecution's witnesses. The defense routinely attempted to present arguments which would impeach the prosecution's witnesses, and Navarro routinely refused to allow them. Navarro clearly stated, that, average American Citizens may Not avail themselves of their Constitutional Rights, such as the Second Amendment, without risking of prosecution by Federal prosecutors.

Acting Judge Navarro obstructed the original intent of our American Nation’s founding documents by ordering that the Jury to not ask questions about such subjects as the Bill of Rights, that they not make any references to the US Constitution, and that they not even make reference to their own understanding of how law & order promotes justice & peace. Navarro refused & obstructed the ability of the Jury to ask questions about subjects as BLM behavior, & why the FBI was even involved in this case. Navarro also refused & obstructed the ability of the Jury to ask most questions to the only defense witness which she allowed to take the stand, Scott Drexler; yet she allowed the Jury broad latitude in asking questions of this witnesses from the prosecution.

According to Navarro, the Jury is not allowed to “Judge” the Statutory “Law” itself. Navarro instructed that the Jurists only consider whether or not the defendant violated her own personal/private/defacto-judicial explanation of the statutory “law”. She spoke these words in court in manners which pre-judicially coercively directed the Jurists in-to adjudicating that the defendants were “guilty”.

The Hammonds case clearly illustrates how many Civil-Servants have Conspired to Organize their own Private “Racketeering Scheme”; through which they further have Conspired to Terrorize our

Common American People, in our Public Communities; & this all by way of Mis-Directing the use of "Force", which is Entrusted to our Executive Officers. Their scheme here is to "Reverse the Master/Servant Relationship"; in such manners as renders their Contracted & Oath-Bound Public-Servant "Duty", to our Common People, to now be routinely Mis-Interpreted, in such manners as allows them to behave as our "Masters". Here-under; these Corrupted Civil-Servants routinely Claim they have Lawful-Authority to Administer "Force", as "Initial Aggressors"; against Any Public Person, but Especially the most Out-Spoken of our common people, such as the Hammonds & the Bundys.

#### AUTHORITY ...

The People are the "judicial tribunal, having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law." 11

11 Jones v. Jones, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227,229; Ex parte Gladhill, 8 Mete. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See, also, Ledwith v. Rosalsky, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688,689.

"The constitutions of most of our states assert that 'all power is inherent in the people'; that they may exercise it by themselves, in all cases to which they think themselves competent, as in electing their functionaries, executive and legislative; and deciding by a jury of themselves, both fact and law, in all judiciary cases in which any fact is involved ... " 12

12 Thomas Jefferson, letter to John Cartwright; June 5, 1824.

#### Conclusion:

We the People have the Right to Fully Adjudicate All Accusations from All Civil-Servants against our Common People. We have the Right to Assemble our Constituent/Member/Electors/Elisors as "Juries"; & there-by to Adjudicate the Law, the Facts; the Guilt or Innocence of the Accused; & if Guilty, then also the Punitive Sentence which we unanimously consider to be "Justified"; up to, & including, the Death Penalty.

<https://thelawdictionary.org/elisors/>

It is the unalienable "Right" of "We the People" to Provide "Justice", by Organizing our reasonably available Common American People as "Juries"; & also through Organizing Our Own "Courts of Justice", in Our Own "Venues" & "Jurisdictions".

All Concerned Parties Take Public Notice to Render Timely "Objections" to these Public Declarations of this innovative but applicable "Higher Law"; or else stand Presumed to have Consented to these words as being "True".

Prepared & Solemnly Affirmed as Legitimate, by:

pro-tem provisional Jury Foreman & Presiding Judicial-Officer, Charles Bruce, Stewart;

Co: Natural-Law Church;

38954 Proctor Boulevard, # 347;

Sandy Oregon; [97055].

charles@constitutionalgov.us / 503-676-8048.

-April-2018.

(Embossed Seal to be Affixed to Final Version.)