

Blackstone Electronic Commentary

by Charles Bruce, Stewart, 12-98

This entire document is accurately hand typed or scanned from the scholarly work of Professor William Blackstone himself, as entitled "Commentaries on the Laws of England", & first published in 1765. It was later published in a more complete 4 volume set in 1789, & more modernly in America by Bancroft Whitney Company in 1915, & later in 1976 by Clatior's Publishing Division of Baton Rouge Louisiana, & under the supervision & commentary from William Casey Jones, Director of the School of Jurisprudence, University of California.

Jones intersperses his own vast quantities of footnotes in amongst the Blackstone material, with but a single line of Blackstone text sometimes on a page, all of which causes the Blackstone material to appear in a manner which is broken, haphazard, & poorly readable. He further enters into outright arguments with Blackstone, as to the merits if Blackstone's interpretation of "Law", the worst part thereunder being that (in this authors humble opinion ((imho)), the vast majority of the Blackstone material was Right, & Jones was Wrong. To give credit where it is due, the Jones footnotes are sometimes of higher Spiritual Truth Quality than Blackstone himself, & Jones is quite frequently brilliantly insightful, providing vast quantities of reference materials from rare book sources not otherwise commonly available.

But Jones higher Spiritual Truth presentations are rare, & the more honorable procedure would have been (imho) for Jones to render to the Elder & more historically significant Blackstone manuscript that Respect to which is Due, by publishing the Blackstone material with clearly placed Endnote Index Marks in its text, & then to merely place his own commentary in an Appendix. This would at least salvage the original continuity of Blackstone's thought to be presented to the student in a karma clean fashion.

Jones seems unconcerned with such violations of minimal norms of spiritual cleanliness, & disturbingly (imho) this occurs at such critically important logic-link junctures, & with such frequency, so as to evidence to this writer's judgement a "Purposeful Intent" to cause that end which was actually achieved therein of seriously hindering the student's ability to extract that higher Godly Spiritual sense of Natural Justice in honestly defined "Law", as is available in the Blackstone text. The term "Infidel" seems applicable, as being well defined as one who is incapable of "being governed by Conscience", & as such term has been used historically to identify those well financed international war-mongers which have warred against the "Pathway of Peace" of the Christian CommonLaw since the times of the Crucifixion.

The Jones molestations herein are herein separated out, thereby giving the more historically & constitutionally significant Blackstone text that single standing-alone significance which it justly deserves. Blackstone honorably renders raw unembarrassed testimony to the Glory of God as the Source of All True "LAW". The Jones commentary frequently outrightly denies these higher Spiritual Truths coming thru from Blackstone. One significant example at ss: 23 is that Jones states flatly as "Not True" Blackstone's well identified Evil & "Bigotry" which those "Popish Clergymen" from Rome's Catholic Church brought to England during the Godless "rude shock of the Norman Conquest" of 1066 (along with their Pharisaical-Talmudian brethren). But this is all to the merits, presentable elsewhere.

Underlining and bolding have been added herein by myself for only the specific purposes of quickly & efficiently drawing the attention of students or incompetent, stupid &/or evil Judges to those particular Blackstone passages which themselves are most potent in showing the Glorious reflection of God within the recognizably Reasonable, Conscionable, & Natural Justice securing Laws of Anglo-American Jurisprudence.

Glory to God !

Charles Bruce, Stewart; modern Christian-Common-Law scholar; December, 1998.