

This verse in Romans clears up much confusion. The "He" that does the bruising of Satan's (the serpent's) head is "the God of peace." Of the three choices we had before, only Christ can be called the God of peace. Thus the head of Satan would be the Antichrist (a son physically sired by Satan—not a race). There are several verses supporting this view:

- Gal. 4:4 shows that Christ was "made of a woman."
- Gal. 3:16 shows that the promise to "Abraham and his seed" referred not to "many" but to "one," "which is Christ."
- Many believe that II Thess. 2:3-4 and 8-9 show that the "son of perdition . . . whose coming is after the working of Satan" is Satan's literal, physical son. Although these verses are not clear, there is room for that possibility.
- Finally, although the evidence is against Gen. 3:15 showing a physical Satanic seedline race, there is room for it in part of the verse, and to be fair and honest, one must conclude that this verse does not prove the case one way or the other.

ARGUMENT: Cain is not found in the genealogy of Adam, thus showing that Adam was not the father of Cain.

ANSWER: This argument is used often, but it is one of the most ignorant arguments used. Howard Rand said in *Primo-Genesis* (p. 44) that

"In that genealogy Cain is not mentioned, for he and his line had passed from the Book of Life."

The genealogy of Adam found in Gen. 5 focuses on the line which would produce Christ. Cain is not mentioned because his line was not that line (not because he wasn't a son of Adam). To support this position, notice in Gen. 5:4 that Adam had other "sons and daughters," yet their descendants are also not recorded in the genealogy. This is for the same reason—their line did not produce Christ.