

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County

In the Name of & On Behalf of)
the Constitutionally Lawful:)
“State of Oregon”;)
Gary Wayne, McGuire;)
Charles Bruce, Stewart;)
& many others herein proceed as Co-Plaintiff’s;)
State Ex Rel, Rex, Propria Persona & Sui Juris,)
& under)
Title 4 U.S.C. 1 American Flag of Peace;)
together with John & Jane Does 1-100,000)

Vs.)

DeFacto State of Oregon acting through Various)
Clackamas County Governing-Body Public-Servants:)
All in Personal Capacity, as follows:)

Sheriff’s Deputies,)
Millett, Skelton, & Unknown’s # 3 & 4;)

Retired Judges Sams & Sid Brockley;)
Canby Municipal Judge Hendrickson;)

District Attorney’s Office,)
McFarland, Langdon, & Gustafson;)

County Commissioners,)
Kennemer, Jordan, & Sowa;)
County Council/Attorneys:)
Ward, Coleman, & Huva;)

County Clerk: Kauffman,)

& others, all more completely described herein,)
or in attached “Exhibit A ... Conspirators List”; &)
John & Jane Does 1-1,000.)

1st Amended
Criminal Complaint
In the Nature of
Quo Warranto
pursuant to ORS 30.510;
Class Action

Treason
pursuant to ORS 166.005;
Kidnaping
pursuant to ORS 163.235;
Abuse of Public Office &
Official Misconduct
pursuant to ORS 162.415;

Malfeasance & Corruption
pursuant to both versions of Article 7, Oregon Constitution;

Perjury
pursuant to ORS 162.065;
Terrorism & Coercion
pursuant to ORS 163.275;

Conspiracy
pursuant to ORS 161.450;

Injury, Damages
pursuant to Article 1 Section 10, Oregon Constitution; &
Other Crimes as Listed below.

Action at Law
Jury Trial Demanded

Clackamas County Circuit Case #: 98-07-063,
98-07063-B, D, & F
DA No: 21033 NS
DHR No: 0056949SED41
Clackamas Cnty Sheriff Warrant # : C 0949687 (v-3.0)

Sworn Subscribed & Verified:

Background: Comes Now, the Constitutionally Lawful & DeJure State of Oregon, by way of it's "Joint Tenants", Gary Wayne, McGuire; Charles Bruce, Stewart; & more than 9 Supportive Others presently. These Supportive Others are all incorporated into this case by way of previously submitted Complaints of Coercion/Terrorism. Those first Supportive Complaints have been submitted in "Good Faith" for supporting Gary McGuire as he proceeds with this Counter-Complaint, & here-under they are reasonably construable as being Intended to be modified as this Amended Counter-Complaint is now so modified to now reflect the Charges of Treason. Proper paperwork reflecting such modifications will be forthcoming asap, as limited time energy resources allow. The first version of this Complaint which reflected Class A Felony "Kidnaping", is hereby now Modified to include the more serious charge of "Treason". This now allows for that first "Kidnaping" Charge to express its "Basis in Law" more accurately & clearly, for numerous reasons, among which are that this allows for the "Pattern of Activity" wherein Conspiracy to Subvert Constitutionally Lawful Governing Processes (as originally stated) can now more clearly & accurately tie into this main Complaint. That "Kidnaping" Charge is now incorporated as merely a specific sub-count of the over-all Treason Complaint.

Criminal Charges against the above listed Accused: As required by ORS 133.007, 133.015, 135.711, & 135.715; I, Gary Wayne McGuire do hereby Swear & Subscribe & Verify that each of the "Breach of the Peace" Acts Described within each Offence & Count were either directly committed within the geographical boundaries of Clackamas County on the date of December 27th 1999; or by way of "Criminal Conspiracy", as recognizable under ORS 161.450; they were indirectly committed some time before this, all with knowing & willful intent to directly cause the same "Breach of the Peace" events which did later actually occur on December 27th 1999 within this County. These Facts are as follows:

Count 1: Said herein named Defendant Troxell did proceeded unlawfully & knowingly sometime prior to August 15th 1997 to seek to break a 3-way marital agreement between myself, herself, & that "Almighty God" as referred to in Article 1 Section 2 of Oregon's Constitution. I informed her that her acts in this manner were contrary to these Higher Laws of "Almighty God", & I plead with her to refrain from such Godless actions. She Refused. She then left me & with the Assistance of Lawless California Executive Personnel, she Forcibly took my two male children with her, all over my strenuous objections & to my great spiritual anguish.

She then proceeded unlawfully & knowingly with "Initiating a False Report" which is a Class C Misdemeanor under ORS 162.375. She thereby made Complaint to an Extra-Constitutional Administrative Agency of the DeFacto State of California that she was En-titled under Constitutionally valid Law to some amounts of money from myself, Gary Wayne, McGuire; for so-called "Child-Support". This woman knew or should have known that such Complaint was Base-less under the General-Public Laws for Civil Governments applicable in the matter, & that there was no "Legal-Nexus" Minimal-Contacts with any Special-Private Malum-Prohibitum Jurisdiction whereby the Force of any Civil State could be applied against me under applicable principles of Constitutional Law. I told her that if she could not support my sons by herself, that then she should let me have custody of them, & that I would support them in a responsible manner.

She Refused to allow me to take care of my own sons. She proceeded with un-lawful & Malicious Intent to make such Complaint. She knew that this Complaint may be enforced by Lawless Breaches of the Peace within this State of Oregon, & this County of Clackamas, & she didn't care about that, & hereunder she did knowingly & willfully act contrary to the applicable Laws & Statutes of this State of Oregon which are made & provided to protect against such Breaches of the Peace & Dignity of the State of Oregon. She did all of this so-as-to Conspire with others so-as-to Breach the Peace & Dignity of this County of Clackamas & this State of Oregon, all for her own self-serving & unlawful purposes.

Defendant Gonzales is a Social Worker for the State of California. He Swore under Penalty of Perjury (on August 15th 1997 in a document filed in the “Superior Court of California, County of Orange”, entitled” Karilyn L. McGuire Vs. Gary W. McGuire, Case No: 93 D06394, “Affidavit of Accrued Arrears”); that “attached exhibit “A,” is a full and accurate statement of child support arrears for the period February, 1994 through July 30 1997, as I know it to exist. The total accrued arrears to date is \$6696.23. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true & correct. ...”. Defendant Gonzales knew or should have known that, as stated previously & incorporated by reference herein, that this entire Complaint is based upon an Extra-Constitutional Jurisdiction being attempted to be enforced over Mr McGuire . Defendant Gonzales knew or should have known that his participation by way of Sworn Testimony as to the Lawfulness of these alleged “Arrears” was baseless under the applicable General-Public Laws of the Republican States of California & Oregon, & that there was no “Legal-Nexus” Minimal-Contacts with any Special-Private Malum-Prohibitum Jurisdiction whereby the Force of any Civil State could be Lawfully applied against him under applicable principles of Constitutional Law. He proceeded with un-lawful & Malicious Intent to make such Complaint anyway. He knew that this Complaint may be enforced by Lawless Breaches of the Peace within this State of Oregon & Clackamas County; & hereunder he did knowingly & willfully Conspire with others to act Contrary to the applicable Laws & Statutes of this State of Oregon which are made & provided to protect against such Breaches of the Peace & Dignity of this County & State.

Defendant Langdon is an “Administrator” with the Clackamas County District Attorney’s Office. On the date of 12-13-99 this woman did execute Sworn Oath stating with reference to myself certain false, & misleading, & Fraudulent Statements, stating with reference to myself that: “Respondent-Obligor’s support arrears are \$18,870.23 through December 7, 1997.”. She also stated: “Said Payments are required to be made through the Oregon Department of Human Resources, by operation of Law or Court order.”. She also stated that “I believe Respondent-Obligor has willfully neglected and refused to obey ...”. Ms Langdon represented herself to be proceeding in the name of & on behalf of & by relation to the “State of Oregon” - “Petitioner”. Herein, she Fraudulently Represented that she was Acting Lawfully on behalf of the Constitutional “State of Oregon”, & the General-Public Statutes & Laws in pursuit thereof. She knowingly & willfully & with “Culpable Mental State” Failed to Disclose that she was proceeding under the Extra-Constitutional & Private/Special DeFacto ORS 131.205 “ABOVE” State, also under that slightly different label for the same entity known as the ORS 131.215 “This State”. She Knowingly & Willfully Failed to Disclose these critically important matters because she Intended to Conspire with others to commit a Constitutionally Lawless “Breach of the Peace” & Dignity of this County of Clackamas & this Constitutional Sate of Oregon.

Defendant McFarland, did execute a document entitled “Motion to Show Cause & Order Punitive Contempt, ORS 33.065”, on the date of 12-10-99. Said document did Falsely & Maliciously build upon all of the above mentioned errors in Law, so as to inflict a Malicious Prosecution & Terrorism/Coercion against myself, & to aid & abet in the previously mentioned Kidnaping. Ms McFarland is a skilled attorney, & she knew that she was prosecuting me on behalf of the Extra-Constitutional & Private/Special DeFacto ORS 131.205 “ABOVE” State, also under that slightly different label for the same entity known as the ORS 131.215 “This State”. She Knowingly & Willfully Failed to Disclose these critically important matters because she Intended to Conspire with others to commit a Constitutionally Lawless “Breach of the Peace” & Dignity of this County of Clackamas & this Constitutional Sate of Oregon.

Ms McFarland was provided with the first version of this amended Felony Criminal Counter-Complaint against her & the others named herein over many months ago. Ms McFarland has failed to respond to these most serious charges in a timely manner, thus effectively Admitting to her Felony Criminal Intent in the matter. Ms McFarland was also then provided with copies of the many accompanying Felony Criminal Complaints against her & the others similar from those members of the Public who are of sufficient courage & integrity to stand up and speak out about how they also feel terrorized/coerced by

these actions of Ms McFarland & her co-conspirators. Ms McFarland hereunder has committed “Conspiracy” to commit “Kidnaping”, & Terrorism which is recognizable as “Coercion” under Oregon Statutes.

Defendant Gustafson; did knowingly & willfully direct her Conscienceless Subordinates to participate in the Malicious Prosecution, Terrorism/Coercion, & Kidnaping of Gary Wayne, McGuire. Ms Gustafson was respectfully approached by way of 20 page letter, therein painstakingly explaining to her that she was enforcing Constitutionally Lawless “Malum Prohibitum” against Gary McGuire, all through the Extra-Constitutional & Private/Special DeFacto ORS 131.205 “ABOVE” State, also under that slightly different label for the same entity known as the ORS 131.215 “This State”. She was respectfully asked to honor Mr McGuire’s Constitutional Rights to “Due Process”, & to reign-in her subordinates. She Blatantly Refused, thereby showing her Treasonous Contempt for this State’s “Due Process” Laws.

Clackamas County Deputy Sheriff’s Gill Millett, Badge #’?; K. Skelton, Badge #’?; Unknown Deputies # 3 & 4. These are Sheriff’s Deputies who Lawlessly Kidnaped Gary McGuire under a Lawless Warrant, all of which is the basis of the underlying “Kidnaping” Charge, which is an “Overt Act of War” against an undivided Component-Member of the “Body-Politic” of this Constitutionally Lawful State of Oregon . These men acted in precisely the same Conscienceless manner as the Lawless Nazi Brown Shirts who would fit in so nicely with Adolph Hitler’s Nazi Germany. Those Nazis were hung by the neck until dead at the Nuremberg International War Crimes Trials for what they did, and there is absolutely no substantive difference between what those Nazi’s did & what these Sheriff’s Deputies have Lawlessly done to Gary McGuire. This will be Proven to a Conscience Bound & Reasonable Jury at Trial.

The following Crimes are elements applicable to most or all of the above Conspirators.

Count 1: Perjury, pursuant to ORS 162.065; (Class C Felony)

Count 2: Initial Aggressor, Un-Justifiable Use of Force ORS 161.215

Count: 3: Un-Justifiable & Un-Reasonable use of Force to effect an Arrest. ORS 161.245,

Count 4: First Degree Kidnapping ORS 163.235 (Class A Felony). As described above, & within the County of Clackamas, the above described police officers did knowingly, willfully & with “Culpable Mental State”, “take” me “from one place to another”. They did this “with intent to interfere substantially with” my “personal liberty” & “without consent or legal authority”, & in clear Malicious efforts to “Terrorize” me, & to “Cause” me direct “Physical Injury . They had no Arrest Warrant what-so-ever when they arrested me, & when one finally was produced, it had no Signature but just printing of a Judges Name on the Signature Line. Even in the Alternative that the printing is found to be acceptable, the underlying cause of the Warrant is Un-Lawful, & therefore the Warrant itself is Un-Lawful, & the Sheriff’s & the others so involved should be informed as to these the Lawfulness underlying Warrants before they go out practicing Mindless Drone Obedience so-as-to “Breach the Peace”.

Count 5: Unlawful Debt Collection, ORS 646.639. This Crime was committed in Clackamas County on or about December 27th 1999.

Count 6: Robbery, First Degree. ORS 164.415. Class A Felony. This Crime was committed in Clackamas County on or about December 27th 1999.

Count 7: Theft by Deception, ORS 164.085,

Count 8: Theft by Extortion, ORS 164.075, Class B Felony

Count 9: Coercion, pursuant to ORS 163.275; (Class C Felony)

Count 8: Abuse of Public Office & Official Misconduct, ORS 162.415; Class A Misdemeanor

Count 10: Conspiracy, pursuant to ORS 161.450;

Count 11: Racketeering ORS 166.715 & 166.720. Class A Felony. This Crime was committed in Clackamas County on or about December 27th 1999.

Count 12: Injury, Damages; pursuant to Article 1 Section 10, Oregon Constitution.

Count 13: **Oregon's Constitution: Article 7 Section 19 (Original); & Section 6 (Amended/Supplanted) version. Incompetency or malfeasance of public officer.**

Public officers shall not be impeached; but incompetency, corruption, malfeasance or delinquency in office may be tried in the same manner as criminal offenses, and judgment may be given of dismissal from office, and such further punishment as may have been prescribed by law.

This outlines all of the charges being brought forth herein, however the full text in support of each accusation is not completed at this time. This and other minor defects will be remedied as:

An Amended version of this Counter-complaint will soon be forthcoming.

More Background:

The many Supportive Complaints are now increasing in number daily. The People so Complaining are becoming signatory hereto in a "Class-Action" manner, based on a "Pattern of Activity", as similar to a RICO Complaint, but going beyond that format's mere Economic focus. All of these Complaining Parties are being added hereto as the word circulates among the Terrorized Populace that this Criminal Treason "Action at Law" Remedy for these Social Evils is Now Available. The List of Supportive Complaining People will be Expanded as time goes on, but the mere fact that this List is constantly Expanding, should not interfere with the Jury moving forward "Without Delay". This is "Justifiable" because moving forward presently will allow for "Justice" to be Administered concerning what is presently before the Court in a more "Speedy" manner, all of which is a well-settled Obligation of Constitutionally Lawful Judicial Process. Additional Time Allowances can be made for either side to make Reasonable preparations to meet the substantive issues raised.

This Class Action is based upon 2 different Classes. The Class of the Accused, & the Class of the Victimized People. **Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 32 specifically states that:**

One or more members of a class may sue or be sued as representative parties on behalf of all only if : A1" The class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; and A2: There are questions of law and fact common to the class; and A3: The claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims of the class; and A4: The representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class; and A5: In an action for damages under subsection 3 of section B of this rule, the representative parties have complied with the pre-litigation notice provisions of section H of this rule.

The last portion does not apply herein, because we are not seeking mere Economic "Damages" Compensation. However, the Notice Requirements to the opposing parties have been complied with in Spirit; & if Reasonably Necessary, will be complied with in the letter. The above citation clearly shows that Class Actions such as this are appropriate under Oregon law.

Within this document, a few other essential co-conspirators are listed who were not mentioned above because of space limitations & lesser Localized & Evil Involvement. All such Accused Treasonous Conspirators who have direct relation to this Case of Gary Wayne McGuire are listed in this Document. The fuller names & descriptions of All-Other presently Accused Treasonous Terrorist Co-Conspirators, as listed above (in mere part); are all set forth more fully in an accompanying document entitled "Clackamas County Treasonous Terrorist Conspirators List", attached hereto as "Exhibit A". This List therein sets

forth sufficient data concerning each of the supportive Complaints so-as-to orientate readers as to the essential elements of each of those Complaints. The List is Compiled by Temporary County Court Judge Charles Bruce, Stewart; & others who are supporting this work to Restore Constitutionally Lawful County Government. By being signatory to this Counter-Complaint, Charles Stewart does hereby submit Sworn Testimony that this Supplemental List of Supportive others does Truly set-forth additional Complaints from the persons therein mentioned, who have solemnly affirmed to me that they will Submit Sworn Testimony before a Trial Jury that the therein mentioned Acts of treason have actually occurred against them by those named therein.

Due to the volume involved in these other Complaints, this mere List will have to suffice for now. When the Sworn Testimony is presented to the Jury, the complete case will be presented at that time. If the Jury finds that it is appropriate for continuances to be allowed so that the Accused may then have more time to prepare responses, these parties will not then object. However, the lack of microscopic detail should not interfere with Citations being Issued against these Accused Treasonous Conspirators therein Notifying them to Appear & Defend before the Jury, Forth-with.

The various already submitted Supportive Coercion Complaints are merely skeletal outlines. They are boiled down to the bare essentials, so-as-to keep information overload for all to a minimum, & hereunder they are dependant upon this document for the full explanation of the Basis in Law & Fact for the charges being set forth therein. Those Supportive Complaints are presently designed only to substantiate that those Complaining People are Sufficiently Informed to Swear by Oath that the Facts & Law alleged within each supportive document are True. The newer Treason Supportive Complaints will be modeled similarly. In view of concerns for efficient allocation of limited resources, these Supportive Complaints all rely upon this main Complaint for the full Explanation & Description of the “Basis in Law & Fact” for these various Complaints of Treasonous Terrorism.

Those documents & all similarly forthcoming, are all incorporated herein by reference. Fully amended documents setting forth these precise new charges will be presented as the opportunity for such upon this under-funded & under-manned but Constitutionally Lawful “State of Oregon” is presented.

This document bears the dual signatures of Gary Wayne, McGuire; Charles Bruce, Stewart; & constitutes Complaint by both of them, & of this State of Oregon; of the Facts as alleged herein. We are acting as Co-Plaintiff’s with the Constitutionally Lawful State; & as Agents for the more than 9 others presently Co-Complaining, & the many more others similar, soon forthcoming. As shown by the Supportive Complaint of Mike Rinkes, there are 137 “Affidavits of Probable Cause of Racketeering” in his mere case alone. These are all focused on the Epidemic of Corrupted Public Officials, precisely as herein Complained of. These will all be presented to the Trail Jury at the appropriate time.

Basis in Law: This action is being prosecuted pursuant to those Natural Laws which respect the Natural Rights to Self-Preservation which Govern every Organic Body. This “State of Oregon” is an Organic Body-Politic, with certain fundamental “Organic Laws”. Among these are the Laws which govern how acts of “Treason” are to be prosecuted within this State. These are all similarly defined within the United States Constitution at Article 3 Section 3; Oregon Revised Statutes at ORS 166.005; & the Oregon Constitution at Article 1 Section 24. This latter document reads precisely as follows:

Treason against the State shall consist only in levying war against it, or adhering to its enemies, giving them aid or comfort. No person shall be convicted of treason unless on the testimony of two witnesses to the same overt act, or confession in open Court.

The US Code is presumed to have a similar section, & authority under that body of Law is also hereby invoked. The Acts Complained of herein are commonly known among the Terrorized Populace as being Routinely Committed by Judges, D.A.’s, & Police Officers. Thus these are “bell-weather indicators”

that very many other Acts of Lawless Violence are being Routinely Committed against “We the People” of Clackamas County & this State of Oregon. These Acts are being committed by these Violent Criminals by way of mere Color Of Lawful Authority. These Violent Criminal Conspirators commonly purport themselves to be & are thereby commonly conceived of as “Public Servants” & as “Public Officials”. These are mostly (but not limited to) various Municipal City Police Officers, Clackamas County Sheriff’s Deputies, County Judges & District Attorneys & their Assistants. These acts are herein Sworn to be Knowingly, Willfully, & Purposefully Orchestrated against “We the People”, in a “Pattern of ... Activity” manner similar to the Statutory Definitions necessary to meet in order to state a Complaint under the Rackets Involving Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Statutes. However, this Treason Complaint goes way beyond that mere Economic focus of the RICO Statutes, to address the rampant actual “Breaches of the Peace”, which the RICO statutes (ORS 166.715, 166.720) does not address.

Because this “Pattern of Activity” goes way beyond the mere Economic focus of the RICO Statutes, what is now shown here is a “Pattern of Lawlessly Violent Activity”, which is much more detrimental to the Peace, Safety & Happiness of “We the People” who Constitute these “Body Politics” which are effectively known as this “State of Oregon” & “Clackamas County”. Because of the extreme vulnerability of this State of Oregon to “Lawlessly Violent Activity” being Conspiratorially Committed & Orchestrated by way of its entrusted Public Officers, the “Class A Felony” penalties, & the otherwise serious concerns associated with the ORS 166.715 & 166.720 RICO Statute, is in this case insufficient to adequately address this “Pattern of Lawlessly Violent Activity”. Hereunder, these Acts do effectively amount to a “State of War” against “We the People” of Clackamas County & this State of Oregon. All of the Acts complained of in this document & the various ones in Support hereto, are all usually matters of clear & Open Public-Record, & thus they are within the Definition of being “Overt”. Thus, in turn hereunder, these “Overt Acts” may be to a Conscience-Bound & Reasonable Jury, with Reasonable Expectations that they will recognize said “Overt Acts” to be within the Definitions set forth within the U.S. Constitution & Code, & Oregon Constitution & Statutes as: “Treason”.

Everyone Signatory hereto & by accompanying or future supplementary documents affirming such, do hereby Swear, Subscribe, & Verify that he/she has come to the well founded knowledge that this above described “Pattern of Lawlessly Violent Activity” does actually exist within Clackamas County, & that it does amount to “Treason”. This is all as precisely defined within the herein cited authoritative documents. Hereunder, this “Pattern of Lawlessly Violent Activity” is more effectively termed a “Pattern of Treasonous Activity”.

In manners similar to that for setting forth a proper RICO Complaint pursuant to ORS 166.715 & 166.720; Everyone Signatory to this or to the Supportive Complaints does now or will at the first opportunity Swear, Subscribe, & Verify that he/she has come to the well-founded knowledge that this “Pattern of Treasonous Activity” is actually being actively pursued by a Core “Nexus” of “Accomplices”, & that these Treasonous Acts are “Not Isolated Incidents”, & that they are “Interrelated by (the) Distinguishing Characteristics” of being all carried out by Persons Acting under Color of Lawful Authority of Constitutionally Lawful Public Offices as within this Constitutionally Created “State of Oregon”. Hereunder, the actors herein Accused of Treason are similarly Sworn to have engaged in “at least two incidents” of said Treasonous Activity, & these Acts “Have the Same or Similar Intents, Results, Accomplices, Victims (&) Methods of Commission”.

Those Signatory hereto & the various Treasonous Acts incorporated by way of reference herein are constantly growing in number, as the Public is being made aware that this “Class Action Treason Complaint” is being made available as Remedy so-as-to address these most grievous Wrongs on their behalf.

The McGuire Case: The many previously submitted Felony Criminal Complaints did specify how

Gary Wayne McGuire was Kidnaped by certain therein named Clackamas County Deputy Sheriffs, all acting beyond their Constitutionally Lawful Authority; & how the others complaining in accompaniment thereto were suffering ORS 163.275 recognizable “Coercion”, which as a matter of Law is the equivalent of “Terrorism”. All of these Citizens of this Constitutionally Lawful “State of Oregon” did therein exercise their Right to proceed in the Name of & on Behalf of the Constitutionally Lawful “State of Oregon”, all so as to protect the State from the Damages being afflicted upon its ‘Body-Politic’, by way of the therein described Violent Felony Criminal Acts of these Lawless Rogue Deputy Sheriffs, & those in Conspiracy there-with.

Gary Wayne, McGuire; & his 10 other co-plaintiffs with this State of Oregon, have all suffered Violence at the hands of these Sheriff’s Deputies. While that of Gary Wayne, McGuire; has been the direct & most egregious form of Violence any have suffered, the ORS 163.275 “Coercion”, which is the equivalent of “Terrorism”; is clearly a Common-Law “Breach of the Peace” Offence, of a very most serious “Class C Felony” nature, as so recognized within Oregon Statutes.

As evidenced by the 10 accompanying Felony Criminal Coercion/Terrorism Complaints to Gary Wayne, McGuire’s Counter-Complaint, very many of “We the People” of this “State of Oregon” are effectively “Terrorized” & “Coerced” away from exercising our Constitutionally Lawful Rights. This is all as a direct result of the Lawless Administration of Aggressive Force directly against Gary Wayne, McGuire; & in-directly through “Terrorism” & Coercion” against the rest of his & the State’s co-plaintiffs. By so administering Lawless & Aggressive Force against “We the People” of this State, these Rogue Deputy Sheriffs & their Co-Conspirators have Defied the “Law”. They are “Outside” of the “Sphere” of the Law. They are “Outlaw”. Those who Break such Law have “Gone to War with the Community”. Authoritative citations affirm this in the following:

Background of Good Law:

“... the evidence which comes to us from England and elsewhere invites us to think of a time when law was weak, and its weakness was displayed by a ready recourse to outlawry. ... he who defied it was outside its sphere; he was an outlaw. He who breaks it has gone to war with the community; and the community goes to war with him. It is the right and duty of every man to pursue him, to ravage his land, to burn his house, to hunt him down like a wild beast and slay him; for a wild beast he is; not merely is he a friendless man, he is a wolf. History of English Law; Frederick Pollock & Frederic Maitland, (1899). Cambridge University Press, Volume 2, Pages 449 & 450.

This citation shows clearly that in times past, any Law-breaker was viewed as being at “War with the Community”. “Law” was then a most serious & revered object, because it was viewed to be a Blessing to the Communities from a Heavenly Godly & Lovingly Religious Source. Many Prominent Men influenced the formation of the Constitutions of this State & Nation in this Godly manner. Among them was William Blackstone who wrote as follows:

The law of nature, being ... dictated by God Himself, is ... superior in obligation to any other. It is binding over all the globe in all countries, and at all times: no human laws are of any validity, if contrary to this; and such of them as are valid derive their force, and all authority ... from this original.”

These Principles of Godly Natural Law were well settled at the time of the American Revolution. The Declaration of Independence was clearly based upon these concepts when it stated that it was Lawful for “We the People” to :

assume among the powers of the earth the separate & equal station to which the Laws of Nature & Nature’s God entitles them ... (because) We hold these truths to be self evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed. That whenever any Form of Government

becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.

Yes. These are the Supreme Laws of “Almighty God”, & such Supreme Laws support the Rights of “Conscience”. Article 1 Section 2 of Oregon’s Constitution specifically recognizes these realities as follows:

“All men shall be secure in the Natural right, to worship Almighty God according to the dictates of their own consciences.”

Yes. These are the Supreme Natural Laws which come from “Almighty God” himself, precisely as codified in one of the most advanced Constitutions in these United States of America. As Blackstone states, this is the “First” form of Law. All else is Secondary, aka: “Secular”.

And Tradition has provided a mechanism for Scientifically Codifying these Natural Godly Laws into “Maxims” of “Common-Law”. Its called the “Jury Trial” Process, & it was commonly practiced in America & Oregon before the Civil War; as the following shows: .

“In America the refinement of the judge-jury relationship also occurred over a lengthy time period. The right of juries to decide questions of law was widely accepted in the colonies, especially in criminal cases. Prior to 1850, the judge and jury were viewed as partners in many jurisdictions. The jury could decide questions of both law and fact, and the judge helped guide the decision-making process by comments on the witnesses and the evidence. Legal theory and political philosophy emphasized the importance of the Jury in divining natural law, which was thought to be a better source for decision than the "authority of black letter maxim." Since natural law was accessible to lay people, it was held to be the duty of each juror to determine for himself whether a particular rule of law embodied the principles of the higher natural law. Indeed, it was argued that the United States Constitution embodied a codification of natural rights so that "the reliance by the jury on a higher law was usually viewed as a constitutional judgement * * *." Civil Procedure; West Publishing Co., Friedenthal, Kane & Miller; Hornbook Series; 1985: Pages 476 & 477.

In ancient England these Jury Trials did routinely make “Findings of Law” which they Codified into well-settled “Precedent”. When different Juries from Different Counties found the same Rules of “Law” to be Godly & “Conscionable”, then such findings were embodied into the Common-Law “Maxims”, & these are modernly still available though admittedly unfashionable & obscure. After literally thousands of years of development, there is now very little new under the sun which this well-settled body of Godly Principles of Natural Law cannot fully address.

And this is why Mr McGuire & his Supporters have realistic hope of winning on this Counter-Complaint. Our “Basis in Law is Solid. We are Proceeding Directly In the Name of & On Behalf of this “State of Oregon”. Some Citations in support of these concepts are as follows:

“State”; “A people ... bound together by common-law habits and custom into one body politic “state” is a body politic or a society of men. ... A body of people occupying a definite territory ... Term may refer to a body politic of a nation or to an individual governmental unit of such nation The people of a state, in their collective capacity, considered as the party wronged by a criminal deed, the public, as in the title of a cause, “The State vs A.B.” ... Term “state” as used in rules providing when a state may appeal in a criminal case is all inclusive and intended to include not only the state but its political subdivisions ...

(Blacks Law Dictionary, 5th Edition.)

STATE, government. ... This word ... (i)n its most enlarged sense, it signifies a self-sufficient body of persons united together in one community for the defence of their rights, and to do right and justice to foreigners. In this sense, the state means the whole people united into one body politic; (q. v.) and the state, and the people of the state, are equivalent expressions. ... (Law Dictionary; by John Bouvier; 1856)

The “Rights” of the People within the “Social-Compact” are to be Secured by the Lawful “State”. This is the purpose of the Formation of the “State of Oregon” precisely as set forth in the Preamble & Bill of Rights to our State’s Constitution. Reviewing the Definitions of “Right” supports this, as follows:

Right: As a Noun, and taken in the abstract sense, means justice, ethical correctness, or consonance with the rules of law or the principles of morals. In this signification it answers to one meaning of the Latin “jus”, and serves to indicate law in the abstract, considered as the foundation of all rights, or the complex of underlying moral principles which impart the character of justice to all positive law, or give it ethical content. ... And the primal rights pertaining to men ... existing prior to positive law. But leaving the abstract moral sphere and giving to the term a juristic content, a “right” is well defined as “a capacity residing in one man of controlling, with the assent & assistance of the state, the actions of others.” As an adjective, the term “right” means just, morally correct, constant with ethical principles or rules of positive law. It is the opposite of wrong, unjust, illegal. ... A legally enforceable claim of one person against another, that the other shall do a given act or not do a given act. That which one person ought to have or receive from another, it being with held from him, or not in his possession. In this sense, “right” has the force of “claim”, and is properly expressed by the Latin “jus”. ... Natural rights are those which grow out of the nature of man and depend upon personality, as distinguished from such as are created by law and depend upon civilized society; ... they are those which are plainly assured by natural law; ... those which, by fair deduction from the present physical, moral, social, and religious characteristics of man, he must be invested with, and which he ought to have realized for him in a jural society, in order to fulfill the ends to which his nature calls him.

(Blacks Law Dictionary, 5th Edition)

“Jurisprudence is specifically concerned only with such rights as are recognized by law and enforced by the power of the state. We may therefore define a “legal right” in what we shall hereafter see is the strictest sense of that term, as a capacity residing in one man of controlling, with the assent and assistance of the state, the actions of others. That which gives validity to a legal right is, in every case, the force which is lent to it by the state. Anything else may be the occasion, but not the cause of its obligatory character.” (William Casey Jones, Director of the School of Jurisprudence, University of California. Pg 121/199, Section 160; Footnotes; “Commentaries on the Laws of England”, by William Blackstone; Bancroft Whitney)

These are Fundamental Dynamic Principles of Law which are set forth in these authoritative sources. Herein is shown that within Anglo-American Jurisprudence, that this term “State” invokes a Socially Compacted Relationship where-under specific individual members are to be allowed the authority to “Control ... Others” ... by way of their “Relationship” with the “State”. Hereunder, Logic Dictates that they will not be able to “Control” those “Others”, Unless they can also Control the “State”.

When a member of the “Social Compact” is Directly Physically Injured, it is an injury to everyone. It is a “Breach of the Peace”, a Trespass, a Common-Law Crime; of “Malum in Se”, aka: “Wrong in It’s-Self”. We are all bound together in this Social-Compact to defend the Rights of each other against Physical Crimes against us. We call these “Public” Crimes; as Opposed To “Private” or “Malum Prohibitum” so-called “Crimes” which relies upon some Contract or Legal Nexus.

When Mr McGuire & his many Supporters, or others are Physically Harmed or Terrorized; ORS 30.510 & 30.610 specifically gives these “Private Persons” so afflicted within this “State of Oregon” this “Right” to “Control the ... State” so-as-to thereby: “Control ... the Actions of Others.” This specific wording referring to the ability of Particular Individuals to “Control ... Others”, by way of their “Control” over the “State”, is clearly set forth in both of the above citations.

Mr McGuire & his Supporters are “Joint Tenants in the Sovereignty” of this “Social Compact” known as the “State of Oregon”. Case law in support of this reads as follows:

Chisholm Ex'r. v. Georgia; 2 Dall. {U.S.} 419, 1 L.Ed. 440, {U.S.Ga. 1793}.

"The revolution, or rather the Declaration of Independence, found the people already united From the crown of Great Britain, the sovereignty of their country passed to the people of it; "We the

people of the United States, do ordain and establish this constitution." Here we see the people acting as sovereigns of the whole country; and in the language of sovereignty, establishing a constitution by which it was their will, that the state governments should be bound, and to which constitutions should be made to conform...

It will be sufficient to observe briefly, that the sovereignities in Europe and particularly in England, exist on feudal principles. That system considers the prince as the sovereign, and the people his subjects; it regards his person as the object of allegiance, and excludes the idea of his being on an equal footing with a subject, either in a court of justice or elsewhere. That system contemplates him as being the fountain of honor and authority; and from his grace and grant, derives all franchises, immunities and privileges; it is easy to perceive, that such a sovereign could not be amendable to a court of justice, or subjected to judicial control and actual constraint... The same feudal ideas run through all their jurisprudence, and constantly remind us of the distinction between the prince and the subject.

"No such ideas obtain here; at the revolution, the sovereignty devolved on the people; and they are truly the sovereigns of the country, but they are sovereigns without subjects... and have none to govern but themselves; the citizens of America are equal as fellow-citizens, and as joint tenants in the sovereignty.

From the differences existing between feudal sovereignties and governments founded on compacts, it necessarily follows that their respective prerogatives must differ, Sovereignty is the right to govern; a nation or state sovereign is the person or persons in whom that resides.

In Europe, the sovereignty is generally ascribed to the prince; here it rests with the people; there the sovereign actually administers the government; here never in a single instance; our governors are the agents of the people; and at most stand in the same relation to their sovereign, in which the regents of Europe stand to their sovereigns. Their princes have personal powers, dignities and preeminence, our rulers have none but official; nor do they partake in the sovereignty otherwise, or in any other capacity, than as private citizens."

Each of "We the People" (such as Mr McGuire & his Supporters) are these precise "Joint Tenants in the Sovereignty" of this "State of Oregon". Each of us are "Joint Tenants" in the "Master" position of this Master/Servant Relationship. This is our "Relation" to this "State of Oregon". This is why each of "We the People" are all Lawfully Entitled to proceed with all of the authority & more than that which the District Attorney him/her-self possesses.

The District Attorney as well as the Judges touching upon this case are all "Public Servants". These "Public Servants" have a partially Disabled "Relation" to the "State of Oregon", where-under they are in the "Servant" Position under traditionally recognizable "Master-Servant" Relationships. This is why they must proceed through Grand Juries before they can secure Criminal Indictments. This is known in Law as a "Legal Disability".

Each of "We the People" (such as Mr McGuire & his Supporters) are under no such "Legal Disability". We may state "Criminal" Complaints Directly. Such is plain from ORS 133.007, 133.015, & 135.715." ...

Article 1 Section 1 of Oregon's Constitution commands that "... All Power is Inherent in the People ...".

But this all leaves very little room for Criminal Conspirators to Plunder the People, & so there does exist an alternative system of so-called "Law", which is more fully described as follows:

Background of Bad Law: Malum Prohibitum, Situation Ethics, & Equity-Discretion:

The fashionable, modern & well financed "Secular" Communities of this planet are comprised of a well-Financed & Self-Selected Class of Persons, & their Slaves; all of whom are Not Bound by the Higher Moral "Laws" of "Conscience". The Corrupted Public-Servants Accused in this Complaint are of such ilk. While there are many good Public Servants, these herein Accused have all been respectfully talked to about how Constitutional Law works in this Country, & they have Responded with that precise abysmal Lack of Respect for Constitutional Natural Law as did Hitler's Brown Shirts, Stalin's KGB, & all of the

other Lawless Tyrants which have sought to Forcibly Reduced humanity to Slavery. This Lawful State will show that the herein Accused have established a “Pattern of Activity” of routinely & knowingly partaking in this Conspiratorial Cup of Moral Turpitude. Just like Hitler’s Brown-shirts, & Stalin’s KGB agents; their Moral Prostitution brings them significant monetary & status rewards.

These ones seek to use this “Malum Prohibitum” Machine of Terrorism so-as-to establish their own self-serving Micro-management Fiefdom Jurisdictions over the Lives of “We the People”. Persuasive Evidence will be Sown that these Infidels do Secretly-Know that they are Acting as Constitutionally Lawless Criminals as they carry forth these most Evil of Schemes. The Leadership within these Moral Degenerates find it imperatively Necessary to devote much of their ill-gotten vast Wealth Resources to Propaganda, all so-as-to Bamboozle the People into Believing their Masquerade that this “Malum Prohibitum” Religious Jurisdiction of Evil, is; in fact; an Honorable system of “Constitutional-Law”, all purportedly for the benefit of modern American & Oregon Communities. Malum Prohibitum & Malum In Se; are defined in the 26th Volume of “Words & Phrases”, which are standard lawyers reference material books published by the authoritative West Publishing Company, & reading:

The ancient differentiation between “malum prohibitum” and “malum in se” is a manifestation of the same common-sense separation between offenses which spring from wickedness of character and those which do not. *Petition of Schlau, D.C.N.Y., 41 F.Supp. 161, 163.*

An offense “malum in se” is one which is naturally evil, as adjudged by sense of civilized community; but act “malum prohibitum” is wrong only because made so by statute. *State v. Trent, Or., 259 P. 893, 898.*

Crimes are of two classes, “malum prohibitum” which do not require criminal intent and “malum in se” which require criminal intent. *Duncan v. Commonwealth, 158 S.W.2d 396, 397, 289 Ky. 231.*

An offense malum in se is one which is naturally evil, as adjudged by the sense of civilized community, such as murder, arson, theft, and the like. *Bouv. Law Dict. ; Hanauer v. Doane, 79 U.S. (12 Wall.) 342, 20 L.Ed. 439. The offense of selling liquor without a license by an innkeeper is not malum in se. Lewin v. Johnson, N.Y., 32 Hun, 408, 411.*

Theft, whether it is grand or petit larceny, is “malum in se” and consensus of opinion deduces from the commission of crimes malum in se the conclusion that the perpetrator is depraved in mind and is without moral character because his very act involves “moral turpitude” *Chartrand v. Karnuth, D.C.N.Y., 31 F. Supp. 799, 800.*

The phrase “malum in se” means literally a wrong in itself and is an act involving illegality from the very nature of the transaction upon principles of natural, moral and public law. *State v. Shedoudy, 118 P.2d 280, 287, 45 N.M. 516.*

This shows that there are effectively Two Categories into which are truly Scientifically Divided the Rules which Govern Human Conduct upon this planet. “Malum In Se” is clearly a Godly Set of “Natural Laws”, based upon traditionally recognizable & well-settled Principles of both “Conscience” & Universal “Consent of the Governed”. “Due Process of Law” is the by way of True “Jury” Trials & the “Rules of the Common-Law (as specified in the 7th Amendment) is the essence of this Traditional & Constitutionally Protected Body of Law.

“Malum Prohibitum” (on the other hand) is but a UnNatural, Conscienceless & entirely Godless Jurisdictional Frame of Moral Reference for Human Conduct. “Malum Prohibitum” is but a “Man Made” set of Human-Behavior Regulating Scheme Codifications. It gains “Color of Authority” based upon Majority Rule of the Civil Legislature. And though the Legislative Bodies are frequently outside of their Lawful Authority, it is usually not by a very big stretch. And hereunder the true source of the “Malum Prohibitum” “Situation Ethics” Jurisdiction becomes apparent. It is based upon the “Discretion” of the so-called “Equity” Jurisprudence school, & hereunder the Judge may make up his Private “Law” any time it serves the interests of those Powerful Private Special Interest Groups to which he belongs.

This is all Not traditionally recognizable “Rule of Law”, but is rather traditionally recognizable “Rule of Men”. This “Rule of Men” is commonly referred to as being a “Secular”, which means that it is a

“Secondary” form of Law. This “Secular” form of so-called “Law” does clearly infer an “Earthly” Governing Process, all as clearly differentiated from the above Citations concerning Godly “Natural-Law” from Blackstone, the Declaration of Independence, & Oregon’s Constitution.

Because this term “Secular” is commonly associated with the Earth, & because it is not commonly recognized as being a “Secondary” form of so-called “Law”; this all seems at first glance to be a form of “Natural Law”, & perfectly fine for governing mankind. And this could remain unchallenged, if it were not for the Light of the more Spiritually Advanced Frames of Reference. These number many, some of which are cited above. But to many unbiased Witnesses, the greatest Spiritually Advanced Insight into these problems seems to come from the Bible. Hereunder, new motivational Light is shed upon why the Bible was emphatically sought to be Burned by the Conspiracy of the “Synagogue of Satan” during the Middle Ages. Earthly so-called “Law” is an Inferior form of Law, as Revelation chapters 17 & 18 shows, all by way of reference to the “Great Wore Babylon”, who “is that great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth.”. Those who seek to establish this Secondary “Secular” form of so-called “Law”, as the First & Highest form of Law for Governing mankind, have great vested-interest in Destroying the messages contained within the pages of the Bible concerning the Command from God & Christ Jesus to “Self-Govern” according to His “Natural Laws”. It was to the great Anguish of the Evil Empire that the Bible was not completely Destroyed during the Book Burnings of the Middle Ages.

The “Malum Prohibitum” Codifications are a Godless & Conscienceless Body of Human Conduct Governing Codes. Their so-called “Secular” mantle of authority shows clear connection with the “Secular Humanism” school of thought, which has clearly placed itself upon an entirely Godless set of Codifications.

The followers of this “Secular Humanism” school of thought entirely abandon any adherence to the traditionally Universally Applicable “Laws” for the Governing of Human Conduct. They so Purposefully Abandon these Well-Settled & Traditional Social-Norms of Jury Trial & Common-Law, all because that would render their Priest-Class unable to Usurp Authority over their Targeted Salve Class. “Usurpations” of Authority are universally recognizable as Lawless; Except among conquered & brainwashed Slaves, & the Forked-Tongued Priesthood Class which governs them.

Here-under, the Code of Human Conduct for the Governing of the Regimens which are ruled by this Secular Humanism & its “Malum Prohibitum”, are modernly referred to “Situation Ethics”. These result in Massive Codifications, in which every conceivable situation has a particular guideline which is Commanded to be Obediently Followed. The American Bar Association’s “Code of Professional Responsibility” & the “Babylonian Talmud” are prime examples of these Massive & Incomprehensible Codifications, all of which are specifically designed for the Micro-Managing of Human Conduct.

These Codifications are Purposefully so Massive that the traditional Maxim of Common-Law that “Ignorance of the Law is No Excuse” here-under then becomes an entirely Un-Reasonable & Un-Workable Maxim. For what human being is there which can comprehend all of the micro-managerial codifications for every Situation-Ethic situation which may happen to come up in daily life. From their massive size, these are obviously all entirely un-workable sets of Human Behavior guidelines.

And close readings of these “Situation Ethics” Codifications will reveal “Exceptions” to almost every single Code. Hereunder, those Priest-Class members who are entrusted with what passes therein as a “Judicial” Process, therein make so-called “Judgements” based upon their well-settled “Discretion” so-as-to allow for the other members of their secretive Priest-Class to escape from any efforts from “We the People” to bring to Conscionable Accountability any from their Private Privileged Class.

This veiled implication that “Natural Law” is underpinning the purportedly benevolent rule by these “Priest-Class” members necessitates a Propaganda Campaign where the Constitutionally Unfounded “Separation of Church & State” Doctrine is argued to be a valid interpretation of both “Natural Law” & Constitutional Law. The reality is that the State & Federal Constitutions merely Prohibited these Civil Governments from giving Preferential Legislation to any Particular Religious Body. There was Absolutely

No Prohibition from the Religious Bodies influencing the Civil Government.

Yet, under these ‘Secular Humanism’ codes of Godless “Malum Prohibitum”, those professing that “Law comes from God” are routinely oppressed by being secretly labeled as a bunch of religious kooks, who have not any real capabilities of effectively addressing the true & substantive problems which routinely confront human kind upon this earth.

The Higher-Ups with-in this secretive Secular Humanism school of thought, effectively act as a “Priest” Class within their own Private & Secretive Religious Jurisdiction. Here-under, they preside over a Private Spiritual Waste-Land which is organized by Fear into a Pyramid-Scheme in which the lower levels are inhabited by Obedient & Mindless Drones. These Drones are motivated by Two Singular Forces. These are “Terrorism”, & the many “Franchise-Opportunities” which flows from the Licentiousness of the entity’s “Malum Prohibitum” based Licence & Franchise Schemes. This is the traditional “Carrot & Stick” Motivational Scheme, & all possible input from Godly “Conscience” is effectively Surgically Removed from the Obedient Drones Frame of Reference.

Those Drones who prove themselves effective in Subduing their Natural Instincts to be Governed by Godly “Conscience” (as they Sell the un-suspecting People of Oregon & America off into Slavery) are Rewarded with much Wealth, Power & Authority Over those Lower-level Drones (who are either new, or are in penance for violating the well-settled Principles of Blind Obedience).

This is the manner in which that “Malum Prohibitum” Religious Pyramid-Scheme is ordered. The Higher-Ups within these Intellectually & Morally In-Bred Circles of Corruption, routinely present to their lower-level Drones, Opportunities for Mercenary Pay & Career Advancement, all if the Drones will but merely continue in their Routine & Secret Violations of the Unalienable Rights of their Spiritually More Honorable yet unsuspecting & trusting fellow Human Beings. Many of these mindless Drones Welcome with Delight each new opportunity they are given to go out crack skulls on Command, all precisely as the Nazis were accused of having done at the Nuremberg-Trials at the close of World War 2.

This is the Essence of the very Nature of the “Malum Prohibitum” “Situation Ethics” Jurisdiction. It operates on a thick coating of Terrorism which gently descends over the population. It Can-Not Compete with the Higher Laws of “Conscience” which flow from God, nor with the similar “General Laws” to which the various State & Federal Legislative Bodies are Constitutionally limited. This is why the “Rules of the Common-Law” (as specified in the 7th Amendment to the US Constitution) for the conduct & Governing of True “Jury Trials”, are almost Non-Existent in American & Oregon Courts today. This is why Six (6) Persons are frequently used; & this is also why on the rare occasions when 12 Persons are used, that a mere Majority of 10 can establish a Conviction. This is Not Lawful “Jury Trial”, it is Not “Due Process of Law”, & it serves the end-goals of the “Malum Prohibitum” “Situation Ethics” Regime quite well. This is because the Result is that many People are sent-off into hell-holes for much of their lives, & the remainder of the populace are quietly notified that if they don’t grovel like good Slaves before the Mandates of the Magistrate/Pretor, that their fate will be of Slavery also.

This “Malum Prohibitum” Jurisdiction must of Necessity operate in Secrecy. This is because when its Officers are Confronted with the Evil Effects & Constitutional Lawlessness of their activities, they have No Answers, & so they routinely must Retreat into Darkness & Silence. This “Darkness” is routinely mentioned in the Bible as being of that singular Religious Jurisdiction which has Opposed Almighty God, From the Beginning. This is the “Start Chamber” Courts of old England staring us in the face, as plain as day; with just another variation on the Amputation of Limbs & other heinous Abuse of Power which was Secretively Enforced back then. This monumental Evil is also recognizable as the “Synagogue of Satan”, as described in Revelation 2:9 & 3:9. It is as Serious as a heart attack. There is no-other-explanation for this Systematic, Secretive, & Purposeful Destruction of the entire Foundational Structure of Godly-Principles of “Law” upon which our State & National Constitutions are based.

Hereunder, this “Malum Prohibitum” Jurisdiction is in Gross Violation of every Fabric of

Goodness within the State & Federal Constitutions, & it is a Religious Jurisdiction of Spiritual Evil & of Tyranny & of Slavery. There is absolutely No-Other-Reason-Why the Public-Servants herein named do Routinely Refuse to Discuss these Concerns in Reasonable Manners, with Godly People.

Hereunder, is recognizable that the Founders of this State & Nation did effectively set up these respective Constitutions as Instruments for the Establishment of Godly forms of Government. Effectively, they Succeeded in this effort, precisely because this well financed & organized “Malum Prohibitum” Religious Jurisdiction of Evil is Moving so Softly & Quietly as it Tightens up the Screws to the Shackles which are so Designed to Bind the People of these States & Nation into their “New-World-Order” Pyramid-Scheme of Perpetual Slavery.

Here-under, it is construable that even the would-be Slave-Masters do recognize (with fear) that the People have their own Remedy under Law. The People Need Not Submit to this Constitutionally-Lawless, & God-less, Yoke of “Malum Prohibitum” “Situation Ethics” Slavery. Those ones who are knowingly & willfully committing these Overt Acts of Subverting these Fundamental Principles of Constitutional Jurisprudence are effectively in a State of War against the States of this Union. Everyone who is paying attention to these most serious matters is fully aware of this Conspiratorially Engineered State of War. This Purposeful, Secretive & Violent Subversion of Constitutional Jurisprudence is the very Definition of “Treason”, just precisely as set forth in both our State & Federal Constitutions.

Hereunder, is shown that “War with the Community” is attached to Lawbreakers. And while this most serious escalation of Judgement should be perhaps tempered concerning a hungry pick-pocket stealing money for a loaf of bread; yet when Sheriff’s Deputies or Police Officers are effectively granted Licence by Judges to beat the stuffing out of members of the Community, & there-under Judges act so-as-to Insulate the clear Intent behind both Constitutional & Statutory Law from bringing to a Just & Lawful Accountability these Rogue Lawless Violent Criminals, precisely as so done as clearly shown herein; then hereunder “War against the Community” is an entirely appropriate use of the terminology within modern Jurisprudence.

The herein Accused who did not directly participate in the acts of Violence, are hereby Sworn to have Knowingly & Willfully Committed “Overt Acts” which have directly “Given Aid & Comfort” to these Lawless Violent Rogue Sheriff’s Deputies. In accompaniment to such Lawless Violent Acts, they have also Obstructed the Ability of this Constitutionally Lawful “State of Oregon” to protect itself from these Sociological Parasites upon the Body-Politic. This constitutes the essential elements of a “Conspiracy” as recognizable under ORS 161.450.

If these Acts Complained of herein were the only known acts Complained of, such would not be so Socially Traumatic. But as shown by the many supportive Complaints, this is not so.

Sufficiency of Criminal Complaints:

Those Statutory Rules for Criminal Procedure which govern the Sufficiency of Sworn Felony-Criminal Complaints, are set forth in Title 14 of ORS at 133.007, 133.015, 135.711 - 135.715. These sections read as follows:

133.007 Sufficiency of information or complaint.

(1) An information or complaint is sufficient if it can be understood therefrom that:

- (a) The defendant is named, or if the name of the defendant cannot be discovered, that the defendant is described by a fictitious name, with the statement that the real name of the defendant is unknown to the complainant.**
- (b) The offense was committed within the jurisdiction of the court, except where, as provided by law, the act, though done without the county in which the court is held, is**

triable within.

(c) The offense was committed at some time prior to the filing of the information or complaint and within the time limited by law for the commencement of an action therefor.

(2) The information or complaint shall not contain allegations that the defendant has previously been convicted of any offense which might subject the defendant to enhanced penalties.

(3) Words used in a statute to define an offense need not be strictly followed in the information or complaint, but other words conveying the same meaning may be used. ...

SUFFICIENCY OF ACCUSATORY INSTRUMENTS

135.711 Facts constituting crime or subcategory of crime required.

For any felony committed on or after November 1, 1989, the accusatory instrument shall allege facts sufficient to constitute a crime or a specific subcategory of a crime in the Crime Seriousness Scale established by the rules of the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission.

135.713 Necessity of stating presumptions of law and matters judicially noticed.

Neither presumptions of law nor matters of which judicial notice is taken need be stated in an accusatory instrument.

135.715 Effect of non-prejudicial defects in form of accusatory instrument.

No accusatory instrument is insufficient, nor can the trial, judgment or other proceedings thereon be affected, by reason of a defect or imperfection in a matter of form which does not tend to the prejudice of the substantial rights of the defendant upon the merits.

It appears that this applicable Statutory "Law" does clearly show that a Mere Single Sworn & Verified "Accusatory Instrument" &/or "Complaint", properly presented before the presiding Magistrate, is "Sufficient" Evidence, so-as of itself to Establish the sought after "Probable Cause" to Form the Belief in the mind of the "Law", that these Felony-Crimes have actually been committed.

All of the documents from both sides are based on this same set of facts. In the attempts by those in Support of Mr McGuire to Defend the "Public Interest" of the Constitutionally Lawful State from these Felony-Criminals; the many honorable People filing in Support of & Supplementary to this main Criminal Counter-Complaint have modeled their "Accusatory Instruments" upon that of Mr McGuire, all as shown by these many documents thus far presented to the file.

Hereunder, & by their numbers; they do show large quantities of "Probable Cause" Evidence before this Court, that the Peace & Dignity of the "State of Oregon" has actually been Criminally Violated by the hereby Accused Original Plaintiff & those acting therewith. Hereunder, it is shown by this State that the Complaints against Mr McGuire are being advanced for a Criminal Purpose, & that they are "Malicious Prosecution", "Perjury", "Abuse of Public Office", "Official Misconduct" & are of no merit; & that all of these acts are "State & Public Justice Offences" as recognizable within ORS Chapter 162."

When Any Person proceeds against the State & Public Justice as herein described, their acts are effectively "Terrorizing" to "We the People". This is in Violation of the States Statutes which Prohibit such Conduct. This is Oregon's "Coercion" Statute, which reads as follows:

163.275 Coercion. (1) A person commits the crime of coercion when the person compels or induces another person to engage in conduct from which the other person has a legal right to abstain, or to abstain from engaging in conduct in which the other person has a legal right to engage, by means of instilling in the other person a fear that, if the other person refrains from the conduct compelled or induced or engages in conduct

contrary to the compulsion or inducement, the actor or another will:

(a) Unlawfully cause physical injury to some person; or

(b) Unlawfully cause damage to property; or

(c) Engage in conduct constituting a crime; or

(d) Falsely accuse some person of a crime or cause criminal charges to be instituted against the person; or

(e) Cause or continue a strike, boycott or other collective action injurious to some person's business, except that such a threat shall not be deemed coercive when the act or omission compelled is for the benefit of the group in whose interest the actor purports to act; or

(f) Testify falsely or provide false information or withhold testimony or information with respect to another's legal claim or defense; or

(g) Unlawfully use or abuse the person's position as a public servant by performing some act within or related to official duties, or by failing or refusing to perform an official duty, in such manner as to affect some person adversely.

(2) Coercion is a Class C felony.

“Coercion” herein is clearly the equivalent of “Terrorism”. “Terrorism”, especially when directly accompanied by Acts of Violence as are so well documented herein, is the equivalent of “Overt Acts” of War. Hereunder those herein Accused, & those Accused by way of the Supplemental Complaints incorporated herein by way of reference; are all engaged in these Terrorizing “Overt Acts of War” against “We the People” of this good “State of Oregon”.

Hereunder, & by way of the herein described Constitutions, Statutes & Codes, & by way of these “Overt Acts”, these ones are Guilty of “Treason”; & a Jury of Lawful & Reasonable People will so find when all of the evidence to this effect is so presented to them at said trial.

Again, this First Amended Counter Complaint is In-Complete at this point. This Complaint is sincerely believed to be more than Sufficient to meet those minimal-standards for Criminal Complaints as set forth with in Oregon’s Statutory Rules for Criminal Procedure governing the same as within Title 14 ORS 133.007, 133.015, & 135.711 - 135.715. If it is somehow defective in some areas, those defects will be remedied forthwith, as soon as an honorable Judge merely informs us of wherein our defect lies.

An amended version which is more manicured will be forth-coming as we find honorable Judges who are willing to allow us to move forward in accord with well settled “Due Course & Process of Law”.

Sworn, Subscribed, & Verified,
by this Constitutionally Lawful “State of Oregon”,
in-turn by way of it’s “Joint Tenants in the Sovereignty :

Gary Wayne, McGuire; Sui Juris.
Stewart
C/o: 22988 South Day Hill Road,
Estacada Oregon Near: [97023];
[97055]
Msg: 503-630-3578
3932

Charles Bruce,

39275 Hood St. # D
Sandy, Oregon Republic

(503) 668-