***Joe Sixpack***

***666 Styx Way***

***Cucamonga, California, united States***

***650-999-9999//YukFut@gmail.com***

To: ***Your Governor -- Office of Your State (territorial) Governor***

***In Re: Location of State judicial Courts to remove Mortgage foreclosure cases***

 ***On advice of Counsel,*** who might well be appearing in the instant case as an ***expert witness on the Constitution, history and laws of the united States, t***his letter is addressed to you as per to your ***SWORN*** duty pursuant to the equivalent of ***Article V, Section 7 of the California Constitution of 1849***, which the official record in any ensuing action will prove, just as in California, has ***NOT*** (!) been repealed, which states, about the Governor: “He shall see that the laws are ***faithfully*** executed”.

 The issue here is finding a court of common law general jurisdiction exercising the ***judicial*** power of ***your State***, admitted as a ***sovereign, independent State*** into “***this Union***” as a common law State (like California; see e.g. ***Report on the Civil & Common Law 1 Cal. Rpts 588*** et seq.) and/or the ***judicial*** power of the united States (see e.g. ***Claflin v Houseman 93 US 130***).

 This seems to be a simple enough request, especially since ***Article VI, Section 1 of the California Constitution of 1849*** , as an exemplar, ordains and establishes the ***California District Court*** as a ***constitutional, common law Court***, one ***contemporaneously*** recognized as such by the California supreme Court in ***Ex Parte Knowles 5 Cal. 300***, with ***Article VI, Section 7*** naming the ***County Clerk*** as “***ex officio clerk of the California District Court***”., or the equivalent agent in ***your jurisdiction***.

 These judicial Courts are required to exist, ***at least*** one in every County, since Counsel advises that otherwise ***ALL allegedly applicable*** statutory schemes on the books would be ***NULL and VOID nunc pro tunc ab initio*** as ***at least Bills of Attaimder***, pursuant to ***Article I, Section 9 or 10***, as the case may be, of and/or the ***9th & 10th Articles of Amendment*** to, the ***Constitution for the united States {1787-1791} (CuS).***

In my case, what with, in effect a summary, ex parte mortgage foreclosure, for which I am in ***immediate need*** of at least redress of grievance, if not a summary removal of the ***administrative proceeding*** in the instant case, one in which I was ***NEVER knowingly*** in a judicial Court, and one in which Counsel advises that I was ***fraudulently*** induced to enter a ***non-existent agreement***, in large part by reason of the ***MORTgage*** ***Bank$ter’$*** failure to make a ***FULL*** disclosure of the terms of the agreement, which they knew, or ***SHOULD*** have known, was lawfully required.

 Not only have I learned that this fact alone is an ***AFFIRMATIVE*** defense to any such summary foreclosure, let alone in a State court of general jurisdiction exercising the judicial power of Your State, but I know now that the ***UNDEFINED*** (?!?) dollars used as “consideration” by the ***Bank$ter*** are defined, ***IF*** at all, by the President, apparently acting as ***Commander-in-Fief of the Armed Forces***, with “emergency powers” emanating from what the record will establish is the non-existent Federal Reserve Act of 1913.

 ***Even more revealing***, is that ***FDR*** conceded, in his summary of the “Bank holiday” of 1933, was the fact that he also invoked, on who knows ***WHAT*** provision(s) of the ***CuS***, the ***Trading with the Enemy Act of 1917***, with, as the record will also establish, is good reason to believe, especially with the ***RAT***ification of the ***equally non-existent 14th war “amendment***” (***NEFWA***), that ***at least lawful, de jure, jus sanguinis State Citizens*** have somehow ‘morphed’ into ***undocumented enemy aliens***.

Indeed, Counsel advises that ***NEFWA*** has ***NEVER*** existed at all, having effectively, not to mention ***contemporaneously***, been ruled unconstitutional by the US supreme Court in ***Ex Parte McCardle 7 Wall. 506***, an opinion that the Justices were ***prevented*** (?!?) from announcing by yet another ***WAR*** act of the ***39th radical, runaway, renegade CONgress***.

This seems to explain why the ***MORT***gage ***Bank$ter*** did ***NOT***, for all apparent intents and purposes, have ***ANY*** burden of proof to sustain in the summary foreclosure, when in a common law proceeding it would, very arguably, have had proof by ***at least clear and convincing evidence***, on the lawfulness of the “agreement” ***AND*** the evidentiary ***DUTY*** to establish a “***voluntary, knowing and intelligent” waiver of Rights secured by the CuS***, ***THE*** reference standard of the US supreme Court (***Johnson v Zerbst 304 US 458***).

All of this is true a fortiori, when one learns that these ***UNDEFINED*** dollars ‘circulate’, as it were, pursuant to the commerce clause powers of ***CON***gress, which the US supreme Court has ruled, in ***NJ Steam v Merchants Bank 6 How. 344***, are “closely associated with the ***admiralty*** jurisdiction /aka/ to the Framers of the Constitution as a “jurisdiction ***FOREIGN*** to our Constitution and ***unacknowledged*** by our laws”, which ***ALL*** State courts are Constitutionally ***BARRED*** from exercising (***Article III, Section 2***).

Such a waiver most particularly applies to the ***Right to Trial by Jury according to the course of the common law***, a ***Right*** still available to “***inhabitants of territories***” pursuant to ***Article II of the Northwest Ordinance of 1787***, as reenacted by the 1st Congress, yet nowhere in sight in ***Your State***.

 Yet the record in any ensuing action will forcefully establish, by a ***daunting amount of relevant, admissible documentary evidence***, in concert with Counsel’s ***a cappella expert witness testimony***, among other things, that even ‘official’ actors in positions of “***honor***, profit and ***trust***”, albeit in the de facto government do not even agree that California is a State at all, very possibly true in ***your State*** as well.

On the one hand, the office of the (territorial) Secretary of State, the office which one assumes would officially know, has stated, correctly in my view, that the ***California Constitution of 1849 has not been repealed***.

On the other the “judicial” department, has not only stated ***exactly*** the opposite, when properly understood, the record ***WILL*** establish, to an ***inflamed*** (***Grand***) Jury, that ***at least*** that U***NOPPOSED*** ***Non***-statutory ***federal*** Writs of Habeas Corpus /aka/ since ***Magna Charta in 1215*** as the “***Great Writ of Liberty***” have suffered many summary, ex parte “FRCP 12(b)(6) denials”.

This has occurred when not only have the Writs been ***UNOPPOSED***, they arise from records in trial “courts” /aka/ ***administrative tribunals***, which are also ***UNOPPOSED***, yet with a ***persistent “erroneous confusion***” by ***purportedly neutral*** magistrates (***Tumey v Ohio 273 US 510***), with a statutory Writ as per 28 USC 2455, which goes back only as far as the Habeas Corpus Act of 1867 and the multiple ***WAR*** acts of the 39th ***CON***gress.

Indeed, the ‘***Great Writ***’ does ***NOT*** need any statutory authority at all, although ***Section 14 of the Judiciary Act of 1789, 1 Statutes at Large 73*** et seq., a provision adopted ***consistent*** with the ***CuS*** and ***NOT in outright contravention*** of it, is ***conspicuously*** cited in ***ALL*** of the Petitions Counsel has prepared.

This includes the ***18 page hand printed Writ of Habeas Corpus*** which Counsel sent to the US supreme Court while ***ALONE*** in a S.C. jail cell during a 7 month ‘vacation’ as a ***POLITICAL*** prisoner, which included ***40 case law*** decisions and ***NO*** errors.

To be sure, no ‘***official***’ action was taken on this Petition, but charges were dropped, this in a jurisdiction in which Counsel had ***NEVER*** set foot, and he returned home, this in a situation when he was then, as now, making a ***DIRECT challenge*** to, among other things, the ***malignant, malevolent monopoly*** on the “practice of law” by ‘state’ ***BAR ASS***ociation attorneys /aka/ ***unregistered foreign agents of at least the City of London***).

***Article I, Section 9, Clause 2 of the CuS*** cannot be any simpler: “The Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, ***except*** in (declared) states of ***rebellion or invasion”*** (***NOT*** (!) ***Covid Plandemic*** !!) see e.g. ***Ex Parte Merryman 17 Fed. Cases 144***.

Bottom line here is that there are no ***KNOWN*** remedies in any department of the de facto government, from which it necessarily follows that such ‘official’ actors seem to think that multiple provisions of the ***CuS*** have somehow been repealed, especially ***Article I, Sections 9 and 10, Article III, Section 2, Article IV, Sections 2,3 and 4, and/or the 9th and 10th Articles of Amendment***.

And all of these, and perhaps ***ALL 6 Articles of the CuS***, to be effectively replaced by the ***NON***-existent 14th ***war*** “amendment” (***NEFWA***), the ***CAREFULLY concealed*** intent of which was to ‘create’, as it were, a ***SUBORDINATE corporate*** body politic, members of which ‘have ***NO*** rights which the ***de facto national socialist government*** is bound to respect’.

This explains, in ***graphic*** detail, the transition of the united States from a ***federative, republican form of government of defined and limited powers***, to the currently much ***ballyhooed “democrazy***” /aka/ ***3 Wolves*** and ***2 sheep*** voting on what’s for dinner, noting that the same government exists in ***Pyongyang, North Korea***, only they have a ***MUCH*** higher “voter” turnout, 99% in the last “election”.

And speaking of this ***exact*** situation, the record, yet again in any ensuing action, will establish by ***at least clear and convincing evidence*** that ***at least*** the ‘judicial’ victims of the “***Ju$t u$ $y$tem***” (***Ju$***), if not all of us, not only have ***NO*** way to know ***IF*** we are wrong on any of the issues we present, and, more importantly, ***WHY*** (!).

Accordingly, even assuming arguendo that ***CON***gress, one without ***anything remotely resembling a Quorum to do business***, let alone a ***ratio of representation***, has ***ANY*** power to provide for elections in what bear a striking resemblance to ***federal (insular ?) territorial possessions***, for President (***Electoral College***, anyone ??), ***VOTING*** members of the House and/or united States Senator, which remains an ***appointed*** position by State legislatures pursuant to the ***original intent*** of the Framers, the essential question then arises:

And this is short and sweet’, as it were: if ***NO*** members of this electorate have a ***$@#$!%*** clue ***WHY*** they are wrong, how can they invoke the ***Right*** to instruct ***their*** (??) representatives and/or nominate and elect those who ***WILL*** make the desired changes ???

Of course none of this seems to be of any concern where the members of the ***SUBORDINATE*** body politic are presumed, albeit on who knows ***what factual foundation and legal basis consistent with the CuS***, to be ***incompetent***, ***PARTICULARLY*** in the “judicial” department of government, this from day ***ONE***, but this does raise some insuperable objections to the ***Ju$***.

These include as to how “voters”, ***ALL*** of whom are ***required*** to fill out a Voter registration form and declare, ***under penalty of perjury***, that they ***ARE*** members of a ***SUBORDINATE*** body politic, as to how they ***magically*** seem to regain “competence” on “election day”, a stunt seemingly beyond the abilities of even ***Erich Weiss*** !

And now comes the ***magic four words***: where can any neutral investigator find even ***one iota*** of the “***CONSENT of the governed***” to the ***Ju$*** ????

Thus our demand to know ***forthwith*** where we can find, and use, State ***judicial*** Courts to invoke all of our ***Creator endowed inalienable Rights*** secured by the ***Constitution for the united States {1787-1791}*** , most especially the ***Right to Trial by Jury according to the course of the common law***, the ***ULTIMATE*** check and balance on ***ALL*** out of control government actors, or, in the alternative, be advised that there is ***NO*** Constitution and ***NO*** ***sovereign, independent States*** remaining, so that any and all appropriate action in defense of liberty can be taken

 Your ***VERY*** ***prompt*** attention to this matter, which looks a ***LOT*** like a ***discretionless ministerial duty*** to inform a subordinate government officer to do ***HIS discretionless ministerial duty*** to ***FILE*** all documents presented ***promptly***, ***WITHOUT*** any filing fees (notably calculated in ***UNDEFINED*** (?!?) dollars, and ***WITHOUT*** any ***BS*** ‘required forms’ will be expected.

Constitutionally,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

***Joe Sixpack***