

Joe Sixpack  
666 Styx Way  
Cucamonga, California  
666-666-6666  
In Propria Persona Sui Juris

## *Your County Court*

## “People” of the State of Confusion

VS.

Joe Sixpack,

Petitioner

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

Citation No. \_\_\_\_\_

## Opening Statement to Jury

Please take notice that I will not, and **CANNOT**, put on **ANY** defense in this matter, despite having made a ‘timely’ demand for our **Right** to Trial by Jury according to the course of the common law, with a Jury of my peers who can rule on the facts **AND THE LAW**.

This is **ENTIRELY** the fault of ‘official’ actors in positions of “**honor**, profit and **trust**”, especially the local **Dumb Ass** and what the US supreme Court has **RULED** must be a **neutral** magistrate, in what the record (of this Court) would have **READILY** established (if properly following constitutional due-process) is a **de facto** government executing yet another court martial of a member of its **purported SUBORDINATE** body politic /aka/ **You too**, “created” by the **RAT**ification of the **NON**-existent 14<sup>th</sup> **WAR** “amendment”.

On advice of (my) Counsel, (who is) an **expert witness** on the Constitution, history and laws of the united States, albeit (who also is) **NOT** a ‘state’ **BAR ASS**ociation attorney, (&) who is willing to testify,(;) but whose testimony you will never be **permitted** (!!?) to hear, (&) who has assisted me in **ABSOLUTELY futile** attempts to present the multiple **UNOPPOSED** Constitutional issues he and I advocate, **ANY ONE** of which defeat the jurisdiction (falsely claimed by the district-attorney & approved by the corrupted presiding magistrate) of the “trial court”, **ANY** active participation (by common people in-to the proceedings) in this “trial” /aka/ **Directed Verdict of Guilt**, runs the unknown, and **UNKNOWABLE**, risk of being (falsely but) “judicially” construed as a general appearance and thus a “**stipulation**” to the jurisdiction (being lawlessly & maliciously exercised by the corrupted district attorney & the presiding magistrate) of the “trial court” it could get in **NO** other way.

I am **NOT** making this up; rather (this conclusion of applicable law here & now) is all based on the (actual rulings & words of the) US supreme Court sating it, which is **VERY** often the case with **ALL** of my issues. Here, for example, is a **DIRECT** statement from the US supreme

Court, from their “*Ashwander Doctrine*” for status and standing (*Ashwander v TVA* 297 US 288):

“The court will **NOT** address an issue of Constitutional law, though **PROPERLY** (!?) presented by the record, **IF** there is “some other ground” (**UNDEFINED** ??) upon which the case (not to mention our **Rights** secured by the Constitution !) can be **DISPOSED** of”.

And one look at the nearest Federal military cemetery will indelibly etch into memory **exactly** how “good” this government is at “**DISPOSING** of things”, and that it does **NOT** care a **rat’s ass** about your **Rights** or mine, **AND** does **NOT** think that it has to care, this from ‘official’ actors who are **supposedly BOUND** by Oath to “**this Constitution** and the laws enacted **in pursuance thereof**” !!

**YOU**, however, **can** begin to **make a difference**  
in this case and do not even need to retire to the deliberation room to do so.