

In the Supreme Court of Law for the United States of America:

The private de-facto municipal)
 governmental corporation,)
 which is commonly known as the:)
 “STATE OF COLORADO”;)
 & consisting of various ‘mixed war)
 belligerents’ who are there-under)
 Acting as Public-Servants, in Denver)
 County, Colorado; the first of whom is)
 acting as a Prosecuting-Attorney, under the)
 name of: “Robert S. Shapiro”;)
 & also “ ... ” :)

Vs:)

“Laurence R. Goodman”;)
 a Qualified-Elector & Constituent Member)
 of the People’s Organic/Constitutional)
“State of Colorado”, Ex-Relatione;)
 in our fully “Sovereign” capacity;)
 & there-under, with similar Constitutional)
 Constituent Membership in our Larger)
 Socially-Compacted De-Jure Organic)
 Body-Politic, commonly known as these:)
“United States of America”.)

Affidavit of True & Accurate
Common-Law Jury Verdict,
 Judgement, Mandate, & Order;
 & of Public Adjudication, that,
 one “Laurence R. Goodman”,
is “Not Guilty”
 of the Crimes that these Individuals
 Acting as ‘Public-Servants’
 have Accused him of.

(Rough-Draft; Version-1;
 Juror-Verdict Signatures Needed.)

USA-Court-of-Law: Case-Number: 2018-0001.
 Colorado, Denver District Court,
 Case-Numbers: 17CR 10087, & 17CR 10088.
 Grand-Jury Case #: 16CR001.

1: With regard to the above entitled case; this Judicial-Officer, as under-signed, here-by solemnly Affirms the “Truth” of the Testimony which I present here-in. Further; I do here-by Consent, that, if I should knowingly & willfully “Speak Falsely” concerning any material fact here-in, that I should not only suffer Ostracism & Dis-Honor up-on My Reputation in our Larger Community; but that I also should suffer what-ever “Penalty of Perjury” that any conscience-bound & reasonable twelve Jurists might unanimously adjudicate to be Justified in my punishment.

2: With reference to All Twelve of we Jurists referenced below here-in, & including myself; each of us have become sufficiently familiar with our fellow Jurists, in this case; to form our well-reasoned & unanimous Belief, that, All of Us, Are, of “Good and Lawful” Character & Reputation as Honorable Members of our Sovereign American People; & that we are all “Qualified according to Law” to participate as Jurists in this Jury; & that, each & all of us are Not of any Kin-Relation to either the Complaining or Accused Parties, in this case.

3: A partial explanation of the “Justification” for Our Court to Assume Jurisdiction over this Case in this manner; is because, the Jurists who organically constitute Our Court, are of basically the same “Political Venue” as is the Accused, Laurence Goodman. Even though we are spread across

the entire USA, & we are there-under in a smidgen of dis-harmony with the Common-Law Principles which prioritize the formation of Juries from geographical localities, as affirmed with-in the Sixth-Amendment; the sad fact is that most modern Americans have been subjected to such intense Propaganda as to render them incompetent to comprehend how American Constitutional “Due Process of Law” actually functions. Since this form of “Due Process of Law” is the precise mechanism through which American Constitutional “Justice” is to be secured, & since a large body of “Good & Lawful” Americans are readily available to fill the Constitutional Priority of here-by securing “Justice”, here-under, we have come to believe that we are “Justified” in assembling our Jury in this manner.

Useful it is here to Compare the “Mode of Procedure” offered by the “District Court” of the Civil-Government of the “STATE OF COLORADO”, As compared against Our “Court of Law”. Here-under; the District Court is technically superior in its focus on the “Geographical Locality” from which it draws its Jurists. How-ever; the presiding Judicial-Officers in that District Court have clearly Demonstrated that they are “Tainted”, by the nature of their municipal corporate franchise, which prioritizes concerns for the survival of their military-police-state form of Roman-empire slave-traders defacto government, all as presently manifesting as the defacto “STATE OF COLORADO”. The Judicial-Officers there-in routinely Obstruct the formation of the “Conscience of the Jury”, & also the “Conscience of the Community”, as becoming an Instrument for Securing Naturally-Conscionable “Justice” for all concerned parties.

In stark raving contrast; the Jurists in Our Court are Free to Examine All Evidence of both Law & Facts which relate to this specific case; where-as the Jurists of the District-Court are Manipulated & Coerced in-to facilitating actual “Obstruction of Justice”. Examples of this are the “Motion in Limine” which is frequently used in those District-Courts, as more fully explained in the accompanying Affidavit from Laurence Goodman. There-under; the traditionally well-settled common-law “Course of Justice” has become “Perverted”, there-in; from its natural function of ascertaining the “Conscience of the Jurists” as the “Conscience of the Community”.

Because the Judicial-Officers of that Romanized Civil-Government of the “STATE OF COLORADO” are hopelessly entangled in schemes to expand & empower the Roman-Empire Model of “Civil Governing”, here-under, that entire de-facto Court System has become “Tainted”, & the “Interests of Justice”, Mandate, that, a More Lawfully Compliant Jurisdiction is “Justified” in Assuming Jurisdiction, at the bequest of the Accused, so that the Accused as well as the common People of Colorado & our entire USA might become more reasonably well assured of Securing “Justice”, through Constitutionally Compliant Anglo/American “Due Process of Law”.

Unless the Crime is Alleged to have been Committed in a Separate Geographical Jurisdiction from that in which the Accused is well-known, & has his own established “Reputation”, then this well-settled “Course of Step-by-Step Procedures” Prioritizes the Formation of a Jury from “Any Venue” which might reasonably contain Jurists who possess the Qualifications of Electors & Constituents from with-in the Special/Private Jurisdiction & Venue of the Accused.

The Jurists in Our Court Are the “Peers” of the Accused, & we are from his same “Special/Private Venue”; & we are Following “Due Process of Law” More Closely than has likely ever been done in any District-Court in the “STATE OF COLORADO”.

Further, the Accusers have been Invited to Appear & Assist in Assembling Jurists to Adjudicate the Merits of this Criminal-Complaint, & to even “Bring Their Own Jurists”; so-as-to influence the “Course of Justice” as it might flow freely on our “Open & Public Court Proceedings”.

I further solemnly affirm, as the Evidence before Our Court does clearly show, that, the Prosecutors in this case were “Notified”, in a timely manner, of their “Duty”, to Appear & Prosecute their Complaint before Our Court.

Even after they were so Notified; the Accusers of Laurence Goodman have “Failed” to so “Appear & Prosecute”; & they have also Failed to Participate in the Assembling of the Jurists who Are to Adjudicate their Complaint against him.

Proceeding under these circumstances; here-under, our vigilant assembly of scholarly Jurists has proceeded, based on the Evidence available before Our Court, with each of us proceeding “Inquisitorially” so-as-to Arrive at Well-Reasoned “Judgement”, so-as-to render what here-under amounts to a “Judgement by Default”, against the Complaint of Prosecutor Shapiro in this case; & in the Favor of the Accused, Laurence Goodman.

4: I, Judicial-Officer Charles Stewart, further solemnly affirm, that, we Jurists have arrived at this Verdict, by way of Sufficient Counseling with our fellow Jurists, to find that all of us are “Reasonable People”, & that we are all “Bound by Conscience”; & that we all seem to be similarly seeking to bring forth only naturally-conscionable “Justice” to this controversy. We have shared in this Council at sufficient length, & all in un-hurried manners, where-under we do now sincerely believe that our Judgement, Verdict, & Order, here, reflects the “Conscience of the Community” of all of the Good People of these organically united States of America; & that this document is also in complete Harmony with the Supreme “Laws of Nature & of Nature's God”, as that phrase was used in America's “Declaration of Independence”.

5: We Twelve Jurists, who are bringing life & legitimacy to this Supreme Court of Law, have come to recognize, unanimously, that, a literal multitude of the various Judicial-Officers presiding with-in the “Courts” of Colorado & the USA, are all Franchisees of various Roman-Law based Systems of Government; all of which are inherently Hostile to Anglo-American traditional/organic Constitutional “Due Process of Law”, aka, the “Rules of the Common-Law”.

Here-under; those Judicial-Officers are routinely pressured in-to conspiracies by corrupted & malicious prosecutorial officers, so-as-to “Obstruct Justice”; & this all by way of allowing various forms of “Motion in Limine”, to be used as devices for limiting good-faith defenses by honorable defendants such as Laurence Goodman.

This argument has been articulated extensively in an accompanying 7-page document entitled similarly as: “Commercial Affidavit – Notice of Interest”; & there-in alleging that Prosecutor Shapiro & Judicial-Officer Spears are acting maliciously as “Mixed War Belligerents”, & as “Mixed War Offensive Declarant Parties”. A copy of this document should be in accompaniment here-with; & it also should be available in pdf format on our web-page for this case as linked else-where here-in.

6: We Twelve Jurists, have similarly come to recognize, unanimously, that, the “Complaining Party” so moving in this case, is a “Legal Fiction”; which, in & of it's-self, does Not Possess the “Real Party of Interest Status” which is Inherently Required under American & Colorado Constitutional “Due Process of Law”, aka, the “Rules of the Common-Law”.

Further; the Complaint there-by being prosecuted does Not plausibly Allege that the Accused Laurence Goodman was motivated by any “Malicious Intent”, aka “Mens Rea”; & it does Not plausibly allege that there was any Natural-Person “Victim” of the Actions of Laurence Goodman, aka : “Corpus

Delicti"; & it is even sloppily ambiguous in its allegations that Laurence Goodman even committed a specific Criminal "Act", aka "Actus Reus".

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mens_rea

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corpus_delicti

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Actus_reus

6: Here-under; each of the Twelve Jurists listed here-in below, have all solemnly affirmed before me, that, the Action of Laurence Goodman in bringing this case before Our Supreme Court of Law, is in the "Public Interest" of our American People.

7: Here-under; we do collectively affirm, that, our assembly of Jurists here is collectively "Bound by Conscience" so-as-to responsibly participate in this effort at rendering Naturally Conscionable "Justice" in this case; & further here-under, we have each taken the time & energy necessary, in order to "Inquire" in-to, & Examine, the "Evidence" available in this case; & to use Logic & Reason, as "Reasonable People", all so-as-to "Distinguish Truth from Falsehood, & Good from Evil". Further here-under, & to the best of our Reasonable Capabilities; each of we Twelve Jurists have followed that specific Course of the Procedural "Steps" which are Mandated by our organic & traditional Anglo/American concept of Constitutional "Due Process of Law".

7: Further here-under; each of we Twelve Jurists have reviewed sufficient Evidence in this case, & we have communicated sufficiently with all Witnesses to the Events of this case, & we have communicated sufficiently with all parties who might have any Personal-Interest in this case; all so that we have here-under now come to Know that the "Interests of Justice" before God, as well as the Cause of "Truth, Justice, Safety, Peace, & Happiness", of the Common People of this Nation, & Planet; are all Best Served by the Issuance of this Verdict, Judgement, & Mandate.

Much of the Evidence which our body of Jurists have relied on in our formation of our Unanimous Judgement here, is openly available to the public, on the web-page which we have set-up for the purpose of bringing "Justice" to this case, here:

<http://constitutionalgov.us/SupremeCourtOfLaw/Cases/LaurenceGoodman/>

~*~

Findings of Evidence & Facts:

8A: Each of we Twelve Jurist's have personally "Inquired", at Sufficient Length & Depth in-to the "Evidence" available to us from our Larger Historical & Social Situation surrounding the Complaint up-on which this Case is based, so that each of us is Confident of the Truth & Justification of all of the words presented here-in. These Inquires include our reasonable Communications with Witnesses, & Interested Parties; & this all so that we are now quite certain in our more specific declarations & Findings of Evidence & Facts, here-in, as follows:

8B: Testimony of Laurence Goodman, the Accused Defendant in this case, & from Colorado. Laurence has here-in submitted solemnly affirmed Evidence before our court, based up-on his own personal interactions with the Accusers who have brought their Complaint against him. Our Court present this Evidence to the Public, in the "Public Interest", as generally available through documents & audio-recordings of proceedings related to this case, as presented on this court's web-pages, as web-linked else-where here-in.

8G: Concluding here-under; the available Evidence clearly indicates, that, Laurence Goodman has Not Committed the Crimes Alleged against him. Further here-under; Laurence Goodman appears before us as very likely being the “Victim” of a “Malicious Prosecution” by Prosecutor Shapiro; the later of whom seems to be involved in a Larger Malicious Conspiracy to Obstruct the ability of Multitudes of Honorable Americans to Organize to Secure Constitutional “Justice” by Establishing Our Own Responsible Self-Governing American Common-Law Compliant Communities.

~~~

### **Conclusions of Law:**

9A: We do believe that the Evidence presented in this Court's proceedings does “Prove Beyond Reasonable Doubt” that Laurence Goodman is “Innocent” & “Not Guilty” of the Crimes alleged in the Complaint against him.

9B: As the multiple sources of testimony cited above here-in so indicate; Prosecutor Shapiro has Failed to Prosecute his Complaint against Laurence Goodman in manners compliant with American Constitutional “Due Process of Law”.

~~~

Final Judgement, Verdict, Mandate, & Order:

10: Speaking in the Ex-Rel Sovereignty of the People of these united States of America; each & every one of we Twelve Unanimous Jurists, here-by Command, Mandate, & Order, that,

10A: all Qualified-Electors & Public-Servants in our American Nation are hence-forth Required by Law to Recognize, that:

10A1: “Laurence Goodman” is “Not Guilty” & “Innocent” of the Crimes which have been alleged against him.

10A3: All Public-Servants & Qualified-Electors in our American Nation are Obligated to do every-thing in their power to insure that Laurence Goodman does Not Suffer Any Harm as the result of the Complaint which Prosecutor Shapiro has brought against him .

11: Each of the Jurists deliberating the merits of this case in Our Court have solemnly affirmed, that, we will remain “Open”, to any New Evidence, including any New Witness Testimony, regarding the Events of this case; & here-under, that, if, at any-time in the future, any such Witness Testimony or other Evidence seems logically & reasonably to establish “Probable Cause to Form the Belief” that this Judgement, Verdict, & Order, might be: “In Error”; then, each of us affirm that we will, Publicly, With-draw, Recant, & Vacate this Judgement, Verdict, & Order.

At this point in time, we sincerely believe that possible course-of-events will never happen. The Evidence presently before this Jury is this solid, & this un-controverted.

~~~

### **Jurors Listed:**

12: The below listed Twelve Jurists, have solemnly affirmed before Our Court, that, we have here-in proceeded to Follow American Constitutional “Due-Process-of-Law”, which is also known as the “Rules of the Common-Law”, to the best of our reasonable capabilities; & that we have proceeded

here-under in the name of, & on the behalf of, the Common People of the USA, to collectively adjudicate this complaint, in this manner, before Our Court, & before our entire larger American Organic Body of People; & before the Supreme Judge of the Universe.

Here-under; our Court's List of Twelve Jurists Affirming the Legitimacy & Justification of this Jury Verdict, Judgement, & Order; are listed as follows:

Jurist 1, Jury Foreman, & Presiding Judicial Officer: "Charles Bruce, Stewart"; Nation/State-Ex-Rel Complaining Party, & Presiding Judicial-Officer, in this Supreme Court of Law for the United States of America; & rooted on the Land in rural areas surrounding Sandy Oregon.

<http://constitutionalgov.us/> charles@constitutionalgov.us / 503-668-5091 .

Jurist 2:

Jurist 3:

Jurist 4:

Jurist 5:

Jurist 6:

Jurist 7:

Jurist 8:

Jurist 9:

~~~

Case-Law in Support of this general process, reads as follows:

In the seventh amendment ... by "common law" is meant what the Constitution denominated in the third article as "law"; ... suits in which legal rights were to be ascertained and determined, ... Thus a fact once tried by a jury, cannot be retried or reexamined except by another jury,

... Michaelson v. Cautley, 32 S.E. 170, 172, 45 W. Va. 533.

~~~~~

### **Concluding Notes:**

13: Our Jurists have come to know, that, obtaining the "Unanimous Agreement of Twelve People" on any issue is very "Difficult"; & once this task is accomplished, this is a form of Naturally Logical "Evidence" that the Final Judgement of such a Jury is a "True Verdict". As is well documented in the history of our Anglo/American culture, this Concept of relying on the Unanimous Agreement of Twelve concerned Members of the Community, has produced a powerful & fundamental Belief & Conviction, that, this is a very "Reliable Process" for achieving "Truth, Justice, Safety, Peace, & Happiness", in all of our Communities. These words are especially "True", when, as in this case, both sides of the dispute have been given full & Ample Notice & Opportunity to Participate in the Open & Public Debate of the Merits of the Arguments Presented.

14: We Twelve Jurists are participating in issuing this Jury Verdict, also, because, each of us have come to know, that, there is a Constitutionally Prioritized “Necessity” & “Desperate Need” for “Justice” to be provided for the People of our Nation & Planet. This “Necessity for Justice” exists even in the best of communities, & in the best of times.

15: Each & every one of we Jurists have further come to know, that, there does exist on our Planet, a very powerful group of “Criminally Syndicated Conspirators”, who are using Deception, Propaganda, & Criminal Military & Economic “Coercion”; on our Planet's People. Those “Powerful Criminal Conspirators” are especially “Targeting” our honorable Public Servants, especially including Honest Judges, Attorneys, Court Staff, Sheriffs, Deputies, & Police Officers. There-by; those powerful conspirators clearly Evidence that they are scheming to Convert our Nation into an “Empire”, where-in our common People are reduced to little more than “Slaves”. Reducing People to “Slaves”, is “Criminal”, under our nation's traditional Anglo/American Due-Process-of-Law.

More specifically; those powerful Criminals have infiltrated & secured “hostile take-over” of the entire Court System of our nation's present Civil Government, at all levels; & the minority of honorable Judges & related Public-Servants there-in have Not been able to Purge the Courts which they are responsible for presiding over, from these Criminally-Organized, Powerful, & Evil Men.

Here-under; our common People are No Longer capable of Accessing the “Tools” which the “Law” Requires to be used in our Courts, so that our common People may there-in bring in-to existence the “Conscience of the Community” as “Justice”. These “Tools of Law”, which are suppose to me made available to secure this “Justice” in our nations Courts; specifically include our Peoples Rights to “Due Process of “Law” & “Trial by Jury”.

~~~~~

16: May the Supreme Natural-Laws of of Truth, Justice, Safety, & Peace; Come & Rule On this Earth, & in our American Nation, & in the Constitutional “State of Colorado”; & may the Supreme Judge of the Universe Correct us if we Error in any portion of this Verdict & Judgement, & other-wise may he Bless Laurence Goodman with un-adulterated “Liberty”.

I further saith naught.

Charles Bruce, Stewart: _____; Pro-Tem Presiding Judicial-Officer, & Jury Foreman, in this “Supreme Court of Law for the United States of America”; & residing near the mailing-address of, “38954 Proctor Boulevard, # 347”; & near the Municipal/Empirical County Jurisdiction of “Precinct 404”, & the “City of Sandy” [97055]; yet still “On the Land”, in Organic Common-Law Jurisdiction of “Hoodland-Precinct”, of Clackamas-County, & Oregon-State-Republic. 503-676-8048; charles@constitutionalgov.us

~~~

This document was signed & sworn before me, on this date of \_\_\_\_ -August-2017.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Qualified-Elector & Witness # 1:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Qualified-Elector & Witnesses # 2:

Court Seal.