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)
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)

)

)

)

)

)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_)

Please take notice that I will not, and ***CANNOT***, put on ***ANY*** defense in this matter, despite having made a ‘timely’ demand for our ***Right*** to Trial by Jury according to the course of the common law, with a Jury of my peers who can rule on the facts ***AND THE LAW***.

This is ***ENTIRELY*** the fault of ‘official’ actors in positions of “***honor***, profit and ***trust***”, especially the local ***D***umb ***A***ss and what the US supreme Court has ***RULED*** must be a ***neutral*** magistrate, in what the record would have ***READILY*** established is a ***de facto*** government executing yet another court martial of a member of its ***purported SUBORDINATE*** body politic /aka/ ***You too***, “created” by the ***RAT***ification of the ***NON***-existent 14th ***WAR*** “amendment”.

On advice of Counsel, an ***expert witness*** on the Constitution, history and laws of the united States, albeit ***NOT*** a ‘state’ ***BAR ASS***ociation attorney, who is willing to testify, but whose testimony you will never be ***permitted*** (?!?) to hear, who has assisted me in ***ABSOLUTELY futile*** attempts to present the multiple ***UNOPPOSED*** Constitutional issues he and I advocate, ***ANY ONE*** of which defeat the jurisdiction of the “trial court”, ***ANY*** active participation in this “trial” /aka/ ***Directed Verdict of Guilt***, runs the unknown, and ***UNKNOWABLE***, risk of being “judicially” construed as a general appearance and thus a “***stipulation***” to the jurisdiction of the “trial court” it could get in ***NO*** other way.

I am ***NOT*** making this up; rather this is the US supreme Court sating it, which is ***VERY*** often the case with ***ALL*** of my issues. Here, for example, is a ***DIRECT*** statement from the US supreme Court, from their “***Ashwander Doctrine***” for status and standing (***Ashwander v TVA 297 US 288***):

“The court will ***NOT*** address an issue of Constitutional law, though ***PROPERLY*** (?!?) presented by the record, ***IF*** there is “some other ground” (***UNDEFINED*** ??) upon which the case (not to mention our ***Rights*** secured by the Constitution !) can be ***DISPOSED*** of”.

And one look at the nearest Federal military cemetery will indelibly etch into memory ***exactly*** how “good” this government is at “***DISPOSING*** of things”, and that it does ***NOT*** care a ***rat’s ass*** about your ***Rights*** or mine, ***AND*** does ***NOT*** think that it has to care, this from ‘official’ actors who are ***supposedly*** ***BOUND*** by Oath to “***this Constitution*** and the laws enacted ***in pursuance thereof***” !!

***YOU***, however, ***can*** begin to ***make a difference*** in this case and do not even need to retire to the deliberation room to do so.